

The anonymization procedures used to produce the COSDEC public use file did not affect constructed variables for the key primary and secondary outcomes for the outcomes analysis presented in the COSDEC final report. More specifically, the variables adjusted or removed for the public use file can be grouped into the following broad categories:

1. *Variables that were not used in the outcomes analysis* (including individual information and details about the COSDEC training). Adjusting or removing these variables therefore does not affect the replicability of the report findings.
2. *Variables used for descriptive purposes* (for example education level, language group, and type of work). To describe these variables in the final report, we grouped the responses into even broader categories than the adjusted categories in the public use file. For example, for analytical purposes we created a binary variable for language group (speaking the majority language, Oshiwambo), whereas the adjusted categories in the public use file are more detailed. The broader analytical categories can therefore be replicated using the adjusted categories in the public use file. Therefore, adjusting these variables does not affect the replicability of the report findings.
3. *Variables related to earnings and income*. In the public use file, we top-coded these variables at the 95th percentile to account for outliers. However, the outcomes analysis already implemented the same top-coding so that outliers would not drive the findings. Therefore, the top-coded versions in the public use file are sufficient to replicate the report findings.

Public use file users should therefore be able to exactly replicate the key findings in the COSDEC final report. Users who are provided with access to the follow-up restricted use file can also recover the unadjusted variables (except for direct identifiers) by merging using the unique identifier `t1_mprid`. Therefore, all the data produced for the study are available to users for replication or further analysis while protecting the confidentiality of respondents.