

## **EQUIP-T Impact Evaluation Endline: additional information on a constructed indicator in the school-level dataset**

The respondent to the head teacher questionnaire was not the head teacher (HT) in all schools. In 40 of the sample 200 schools, the HT was absent on the day of the survey. In those cases, the assistant HT, academic master or a teacher who knows the school records well were interviewed instead. These respondents were asked to complete all sections of the HT instrument except four that were deemed unsuitable to be completed by a respondent other than the HT: training, teacher management, morale and other conditions. At the end of the fieldwork, the survey team attempted to reach the 40 HTs who were not present on the day of the survey over the phone to complete the four outstanding sections and 38 of the 40 HTs were successfully reached and interviewed over the phone.

The result is as follows:

- In 160 schools the HT completed all sections of the HT instrument.
- In 38 schools the HT answered the four sections on training, teacher management, morale and other conditions over the phone, and another respondent completed all other sections.
- In 2 schools the four sections were not completed and another respondent completed all other sections.

A variable is included in the school dataset to identify these schools. It is called 'n\_ht\_respondent' and takes the value '1' if the HT was present on the day of the survey, '2' if the HT was absent on the day of the survey but was reached and responded to the four sections over the phone, and '3' if the HT was absent on the day of the survey and attempts to reach him/her over the phone failed so answers were not provided for the four sections.