Mongolia

World Bank Group (WBG) European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) European Investment Bank (EIB)

Enterprise Survey 2019

Study Documentation

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Development Economics Data Group (DECDG) , The World Bank , Documentation of the DDI
Production Date	December 9, 2019
Version	Version 01 (December 2019)
Identification	DDI_MNG_2019_ES_v01_M

Table of Contents

Overview.	4
Scope & Coverage	
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling	
Data Collection.	
Accessibility.	
Rights & Disclaimer.	
Files Description.	
mongolia 2019 full data.	
Variables List.	
mongolia 2019 full data.	
Variables Description.	
mongolia 2019 full data.	

Enterprise Survey 2019 (ES 2019)

Overview	
Туре	Enterprise Survey [en/oth]
Identification	MNG_2019_ES_v01_M
Series	As part of its strategic goal of building a climate for investment, job creation, and sustainable growth, the World Bank has promoted improving business environments as a key strategy for development, which has led to a systematic effort in collecting enterprise data across countries. The Enterprise Surveys are an ongoing World Bank project in collecting both objective data based on firms' experiences and enterprises' perception of the environment in which they operate. An Enterprise Survey is a firm-level survey of a representative sample of an economy's private sector. Firm-level surveys have been conducted since 1998 by different units within the World Bank. Since 2005-2006, most data collection efforts have been centralized within the Enterprise Analysis Unit. The Enterprise Surveys are conducted across all geographic regions and cover small, medium, and large companies. The surveys are administered to a representative sample of firms in the non-agricultural formal private economy. Data are used to create indicators that benchmark the quality of the business and investment climate across countries. As of July 2019, the ES covers over 180,000 firms in 150 countries, of which 141 have been surveyed following the standard methodology. This allows for better comparisons across countries and across time. Data are used to create statistically significant business environment indicators that are comparable across countries. The ES are also used to build a panel of enterprise data that will make it possible to track changes in the business environment over time and allow, for example, impact assessments of reforms.

Abstract

The survey was conducted in Mongolia between January and May 2019 as joint project of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), the European Investment Bank (EIB) and the World Bank Group (WBG).

The objective of the Enterprise Survey is to gain an understanding of what firms experience in the private sector. As part of its strategic goal of building a climate for investment, job creation, and sustainable growth, the World Bank has promoted improving the business environment as a key strategy for development, which has led to a systematic effort in collecting enterprise data across countries. The Enterprise Surveys (ES) are an ongoing World Bank project in collecting both objective data based on firms' experiences and enterprises' perception of the environment in which they operate.

Kind of Data	Sample survey data [ssd]
Unit of Analysis	The primary sampling unit of the study is the establishment. An establishment is a physical location where business is carried out and where industrial operations take place or services are provided. A firm may be composed of one or more establishments. For example, a brewery may have several bottling plants and several establishments for distribution. For the purposes of this survey an establishment must make its own financial decisions and have its own financial statements separate from those of the firm. An establishment must also have its own management and control over its payroll.

Scope & Coverage

Scope

The 2019 Mongolia Enterprise Survey covered the following topics:

- General information of a firm/ establishment
- Infrastructure and services
- Sales and supplies

- Management practices
- Degree of competition
- Innovation
- Capacity
- Time use of top manager
- Land and permits
- Crime
- Finance
- Business-government relations
- Labor
- Business environment
- Performance

Time Period(s)	2019
Countries	Mongolia

Geographic Coverage

National coverage

Universe

Mongolia ES was based on the following size stratification: small (5 to 19 employees), medium (20 to 99 employees), and large (100 or more employees).

Producers & Sponsors					
Primary Investigator(s)	World Bank Group (WBG) European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) European Investment Bank (EIB)				
Funding Agency/ies	World Bank Group (WBG) European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) European Investment Bank (EIB)				

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

The sample for 2019 Mongolia ES was selected using stratified random sampling, following the methodology explained in the Sampling Note.

Three levels of stratification were used in this country: industry, establishment size, and region.

Industry stratification was done as follows: Manufacturing – combining all the relevant activities (ISIC Rev. 4.0 codes 10-33), Retail (ISIC 47), and Other Services (ISIC 41-43, 45, 46, 49-53, 55, 56, 58, 61, 62, 79, 95).

As it is standard for the ES, the Mongolia ES was based on the following size stratification: small (5 to 19 employees), medium (20 to 99 employees), and large (100 or more employees).

Regional stratification for the Mongolia ES was done across five regions:

- o Central Mongolia comprising Darkhan-Uul, Dornogovi, Dundgovi, Govisümber, Ömnögovi, Selenge, and Töv
- o Ulaanbaatar
- o East Mongolia comprising Dornod, Khentii, Sükhbaatar
- o Khangai comprising Arkhangai, Bayankhongor, Bulgan, Khövsgöl, Orkhon, Övörkhangai
- o West Mongolia. comprising Bayan-Ölgii, Govi-Altai, Khovd, Uvs, Zavkhan

For the purposes of achieving representativeness of the sample, the ES indicators are calculated with some regions combined. Specifically, Central and East Mongolia are combined into one region called East Mongolia, so are Khangai and West Mongolia to form West Mongolia.

Note: Refer to Sampling Structure section in "The Mongolia 2019 Enterprise Surveys Data Set" document for further details on sampling.

Response Rate

Survey non-response must be differentiated from item non-response. The former refers to refusals to participate in the survey altogether whereas the latter refers to the refusals to answer some specific questions. Enterprise Surveys suffer from both problems and different strategies were used to address these issues.

Item non-response was addressed by two strategies:

- a- For sensitive questions that may generate negative reactions from the respondent, such as corruption or tax evasion, enumerators were instructed to collect the refusal to respond (-8) as a different option from don't know (-9).
- b- Establishments with incomplete information were re-contacted in order to complete this information, whenever necessary.

The number of interviews per contacted establishments was 30.0%.

Weighting

Since the sampling design was stratified and employed differential sampling, individual observations should be properly weighted when making inferences about the population. Under stratified random sampling, unweighted estimates are biased unless sample sizes are proportional to the size of each stratum. With stratification the probability of selection of each unit is, in general, not the same. Consequently, individual observations must be weighted by the inverse of their probability of selection (probability weights or pw in Stata.)

Special care was given to the correct computation of the weights. It was imperative to accurately adjust the totals within each region/industry/size stratum to account for the presence of ineligible units (the firm discontinued businesses or was unattainable, education or government establishments, no reply after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours, no tone in the phone line, answering machine, fax line, wrong address or moved away and could not get the new references). The information required for the adjustment was collected in the first stage of the implementation: the screening process. Using this information, each stratum cell of the universe was scaled down by the observed proportion of ineligible units within the cell. Once an accurate estimate of the universe cell (projections) was available, weights were computed using the number of completed interviews.

Due to non-response rates, some stratification cells were collapsed for the purposes of weighting, to preserve the representativeness of the sample. The following cells has been transformed: In Khangai for Retail, medium and large firms are treated as one cell.

Data Collection					
Data Collection Dates	start 2019-01 end 2019-05				
Data Collection Mode	Computer Assisted Personal Interview [capi]				

Data Collection Notes

The surveys were implemented following a 2-stage procedure. Typically, first a screener questionnaire is applied over the phone to determine eligibility and to make appointments. Then a face-to-face interview takes place with the Manager/Owner/Director of each establishment. However, sometimes the phone numbers were unavailable in the sample frame, and thus the enumerators applied the screeners in person. Interviews were conducted using Computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) in Mongolia.

Ouestionnaires

The structure of the data base reflects the fact that 2 different versions of the survey instrument were used for all registered establishments. Questionnaires have common questions (core module) and respectfully additional manufacturing- and services-specific questions. The eligible manufacturing industries have been surveyed using the Manufacturing questionnaire

(includes the core module, plus manufacturing specific questions). Retail firms have been interviewed using the Services questionnaire (includes the core module plus retail specific questions) and the residual eligible services have been covered using the Services questionnaire (includes the core module). Each variation of the questionnaire is identified by the index variable, a0.

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	Enterprise Analysis Unit (World Bank Group) , enterprisesurveys@worldbank.org

Confidentiality

Confidentiality of the survey respondents and the sensitive information they provide is necessary to ensure the greatest degree of survey participation, integrity and confidence in the quality of the data. Surveys are usually carried out in cooperation with business organizations and government agencies promoting job creation and economic growth, but confidentiality is never compromised.

Citation Requirements

The use of this dataset must be acknowledged using a citation which would include:

- the identification of the Primary Investigator (including country name)
- the full title of the survey and its acronym (when available), and the year(s) of implementation
- the survey reference number
- the source and date of download (for datasets disseminated online).

Example:

The World Bank. Mongolia - Enterprise Survey (ES) 2019, Ref. MNG_2019_ES_v01_M. Dataset downloaded from https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/portal/login.aspx on [date].

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The user of the data acknowledges that the original collector of the data, the authorized distributor of the data, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

mongolia_2019_full_data				
# Cases	360			
# Variable(s) 437				
File Content Mongolia 2019 Enterprise Survey data				

Variables List

Dataset contains 437 variable(s)

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idstd	WEB STD FIRMID	continuous	numeric-6.0	360	0	-
2	id	Firm ID	continuous	numeric-4.0	360	0	Questionnaire number
3	<u>a4a</u>	Industry Sampling Sector	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Sampling Information - Sampling sector
4	<u>a6a</u>	Sampling Size	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Sampling Information - Sampling size
5	<u>a2</u>	Sampling Region	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Sampling Information - Sampling location
6	<u>a4b</u>	Industry Screener Sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	Screener Information - Screener sector
7	<u>a0</u>	Questionnaire	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Screener Information - Module
8	<u>a3a</u>	Region of The Establishment	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Screener Information - Screener region
9	<u>a6c</u>	Screener Size	continuous	numeric-4.0	360	0	Screener Information - Screener size
10	<u>a6b</u>	Screener Size, Categorical	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	-
11	a14d	Day	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Day (dd)
12	<u>a14m</u>	Month	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Month (mm)
13	a14y	Year	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: year (yyyy)
14	<u>a14h</u>	Hour	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Hour (00 to 23)
15	a14min	Minutes	continuous	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Minutes (00 to 59)
16	<u>a1</u>	Country Code	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	-
17	<u>a12</u>	Interviewer Number	discrete	character-9	360	0	A.12 Interviewer code
18	<u>a1a</u>	Language of The Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Screener Information - Language
19	a1c	Sample Frame Level	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Sampling Information - Frame level
20	<u>a7</u>	Establishment part of a multi-establishment Firm?	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Screener Information - Multi- establishment firm
21	<u>a7a</u>	Number of Establishments In The Firm	discrete	numeric-2.0	33	327	-
22	<u>a7b</u>	Is This Establishment The Headquarters Location?	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	327	-
23	<u>a11</u>	If Hq, Financial Statements Independent of The Rest of Establishment	discrete	numeric-1.0	12	348	-
24	<u>a7c</u>	Does This Headquarters Location Engage In Production or Sales At This Location?	discrete	numeric-1.0	12	348	-
25	<u>a9</u>	Establishment'S Financial Statements Prepared Separately From Hq Statements	discrete	numeric-1.0	21	339	-

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	<u>a20y</u>	Close Year of The Last Completed Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	A.20. On which calendar date did the last completed fiscal year end? Year
27	<u>a20m</u>	Close Month of The Last Completed Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.20. On which calendar date did the last completed fiscal year end? Month
28	<u>a20d</u>	Close Day of The Last Completed Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.20. On which calendar date did the last completed fiscal year end? Day
29	<u>b1</u>	Legal Status of The Firm	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.1. What is this firm's current legal status?
30	<u>b1x</u>	Other (Specify)	discrete	character-1	0	0	B.1. What is this firm's current legal status? Other specify
31	<u>b3</u>	What Percentage of This Firm Does The Largest Owner(S) Own?	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	B.3. What percentage of this firm does the largest owner or owners own?
32	<u>b2a</u>	% Owned By Private Domestic Individuals, Companies or organizations	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Private domestic individuals, companies or organizations
33	<u>b2b</u>	% Owned By Private Foreign Individuals, Companies or organizations	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Private foreign individuals, companies or organizations
34	<u>b2c</u>	% Owned By Government/ State	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Government or State
35	<u>b2d</u>	% Owned By Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Other
36	<u>b4</u>	Amongst The Owners of The Firm, Are There Any Females?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.4. Amongst the owners of the firm, are there any females?
37	<u>b4a</u>	% of The Firm Owned By Females	discrete	numeric-3.0	166	194	B.4a. What percentage of the firm is owned by females?
38	BMb1	% of the Firm Owned By The Same Family	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	BMB.1. What percentage of the firm is owned by the same family? (If more than one family, refer to the one with largest ownership)
39	BMb2	% of Family Members in Key Management Positions	discrete	numeric-3.0	96	264	BMB.2. What percentage of the key management positions of this firm are occupied by members of this family?
40	BMb3	Does Firm have Formalized Written Business Strategy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMB.3. Does this firm have formalized, written business strategy with clear key performance indicators?
41	BMb4	Does Firm have Board of Directors or Supervisory Board?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMB.4. Does the firm have a board of directors or a supervisory board?
42	BMb5	Owner/CEO/Top Manager/ Board Member Ever Elected/ Appointed to Political Position?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMB.5. Has the owner, CEO, top manager, or any of the board members of this firm ever been elected or appointed to a political position in this country?
43	BMb6	Firm Part of a Business Membership organization/ Trade Association/Etc.?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMB.6. Is this firm part of a business membership organization, trade association, guild, chamber of commerce, or other business support group?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
44	BMb8a	Value of Service: Information/Contacts On International Products & Input Markets	discrete	numeric-2.0	223	137	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Information or contacts on international input and product markets
45	BMb8b	Value of Service: Accrediting Standards or Quality of Products	discrete	numeric-2.0	223	137	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Accrediting standards or quality of products
46	BMb8c	Value of service: Information on government regulations	discrete	numeric-2.0	223	137	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Information on government regulations
47	BMb8d	Value of service: Influencing regulatory decision-making processes / lobbying	discrete	numeric-2.0	223	137	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Influencing regulatory decision-making processes or "lobbying"
48	<u>b5</u>	Year Establishment Began Operations	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	B.5. In what year did this establishment begin operations?
49	<u>b6</u>	Number of Full-Time Employees of The Establishment When It Started Operations	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	B.6. How many full-time employees did this establishment employ when it started operations? Please include all employees and managers.
50	<u>b6a</u>	Was Establishment Formally Registered When It Began Operations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.6a. Was this establishment formally registered when it began operations?
51	<u>b6b</u>	In What Year Was This Establishment Formally Registered?	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	B.6b. In what year was this establishment formally registered?
52	<u>b7</u>	How Many Years of Experience Working In This Sector Does The Top Manager Have?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.7. How many years of experience working in this sector does the Top Manager have?
53	<u>b7a</u>	Is The Top Manager Female?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.7a. Is the Top Manager female?
54	<u>b8</u>	Does Establishment Have An Internationally-Recognized Quality Certification?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	B.8. Does this establishment have an internationally-recognized quality certification?
55	<u>b8x</u>	Specify The Internationally- Recognized Quality Certifications	discrete	character-35	44	0	B.8. Does this establishment have an internationally-recognized quality certification? Specify certifications
56	<u>c3</u>	Application To Obtain An Electrical Connection Submitted Over The Last 2 Years	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	C.3. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain an electrical connection?
57	<u>c4</u>	How Many Days Did It Take For You To Receive An Electrical Connection Service?	discrete	numeric-3.0	77	283	C.4. In reference to that application for an electrical connection, approximately how many days did it take to obtain it from the day of the application to the day the service was received?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
58	<u>c5</u>	Informal Gift/Payment Expected or Requested For An Electrical Connection?	discrete	numeric-2.0	77	283	C.5. In reference to that application for an electrical connection, was an inform gift or payment expected or requested?
59	<u>c6</u>	Over Last FY, Did This Establishment Experience Power Outages?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	C.6. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment experience power outages?
60	<u>c7</u>	Number of Power Outages Experienced In A Typical Month In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-2.0	79	281	C.7. In a typical month, over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many power outages did this establishment experience?
61	<u>c8a</u>	Average Duration of Power Outages: Hours	discrete	numeric-2.0	73	287	C.8. How long did these power outages last on average? Hours
62	<u>c8b</u>	Average Duration of Power Outages: Minutes	discrete	numeric-2.0	73	287	C.8. How long did these power outage last on average? Minutes -
63	<u>c9a</u>	In Last FY, Losses As % of Annual Sales Due To Power Outages	discrete	numeric-2.0	23	337	C.9. Please estimate the losses that resulted from power outages either as a percentage of total annual sales or as total annual losses Loss as percentag of total annual sales due to power outages
64	<u>c9b</u>	In Last FY, Value of Losses Due To Power Outages	discrete	numeric-9.0	56	304	C.9. Please estimate the losses that resulted from power outages either as a percentage of total annual sales or as total annual losses Annual losses due to power outages
65	<u>c10</u>	Generator Shared or Owned Over The Course of Last Fiscal Year?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	C.10. Over the course of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did the establishment own or share a generato
66	<u>c11</u>	% Electricity From Generator Owned/Shared By The Establishment In Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	97	263	C.11. In fiscal year [Insert last comple fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's electricity came from a generator or generators that the establishment owned or shared?
67	<u>c12</u>	Application To Obtain A Water Connection Submitted Over The Last 2 Years	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	C.12. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain a water connection
68	<u>c13</u>	How Many Days Did It Take For You To Obtain A Water Connection?	discrete	numeric-2.0	45	315	C.13. In reference to that application for a water connection, approximately how many days did it take to obtain it from the day of the application to the day the service was received?
69	<u>c14</u>	When You Applied For A Water Connection, Was An Informal Gift/Payment Requested?	discrete	numeric-2.0	45	315	C.14. In reference to that application for a water connection, was an informal given payment expected or requested?
70	<u>c15</u>	Did You Experience Insufficient Water Supply For Production In Last FY?	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	C.15. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment experience insufficient water supply for production?
71	<u>c16</u>	Frequency of Incidents of Water Shortages In A Typical Month In Last FY	discrete	numeric-2.0	2	358	C.16. In a typical month, over fiscal ye [Insert last complete
72	<u>c17</u>	Average Length of Water Shortages (Affecting	discrete	numeric-2.0	2	358	C.17. How long did these incidents of insufficient water supply last on

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Production) In Last Fiscal Year					
73	<u>c22b</u>	Establishment Has Its Own Website	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	C.22b. At the present time, does this establishment have its own website?
74	<u>c30a</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Electricity To Operations of This Establishment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	C.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Electricity an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?
75	dlala	Establishment'S Main Product/Service Last FY	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	D.1a1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was this establishment's main activity and product, that is, the activity and product that represented the largest proportion of annual sales?
76	dialx	Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	character-205	360	-	D.1a1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was this establishment's main activity and product, that is, the activity and product that represented the largest proportion of annual sales? Detailed description of main activity and product.
77	<u>d1a3</u>	Main Product/Service: Percent of Total Annual Sales	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	D.1a3. What percentage of total sales does the main activity or product represent?
78	<u>d2</u>	In Last Fiscal Year, What Were This Establishmentâ €™S Total Annual Sales?	discrete	numeric-12.0	360	0	D.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were this establishment's total annual sales for all products and services?
79	<u>d2x</u>	Please Also Write Out The Number	discrete	character-112	358	-	D.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were this establishment's total annual sales for all products and services? Other specify
80	<u>n3</u>	What Were The Establishment Sales 3 Years Ago	discrete	numeric-12.0	360	0	N.3. Looking back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus two], what were total annual sales for this establishment?
81	BMd1a	Total sales expected to increase, decrease, or stay the same?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMD.1a. Considering the next year, are this establishment's total sales expected to increase, decrease, or stay the same?
82	BMd1b	Expected Annual Sales Growth Next Year	discrete	numeric-3.0	266	94	BMD.1b. In percentage terms, what is the expected change in total sales?
83	<u>d3a</u>	% of Sales: National Sales	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	D.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's sales were: - National sales
84	<u>d3b</u>	% O Sales: Indirect Exports	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	D.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's sales were: - Indirect exports (sold domestically to third party that exports products)
85	<u>d3c</u>	% of Sales: Direct Exports	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	D.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's sales were: - Direct exports

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
86	<u>d4</u>	In Last FY, Avg. Num. of Days For Exported Goods To Clear Customs?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	D.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], when this establishment exported goods directly, how many day did it take on average from the time this establishment's goods arrived at their main point of exit (e.g., port, airport) until the time these goods cleared customs?
87	<u>d5a</u>	In Clearing Exports Through Customs,Informal Gift/ Payment Expected or Requested?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	D.5a. In reference to when this establishment exported goods directly, in clearing these goods through customs was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?
88	<u>d6</u>	In Last FY, Export Losses Due To Theft As % of Value of Products	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	D.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of the products exported directly was lost while in transit because of theft?
89	<u>d7</u>	In Last FY, Export Losses Due To Breakage or Spoilage As % of Value of Products	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	D.7. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of the products exported directly was lost while in transit because of breakage or spoilage?
90	<u>d8</u>	In What Year Did This Establishment First Export Directly or Indirectly?	discrete	numeric-4.0	29	331	D.8. In which year did this establishment first export directly or indirectly?
91	<u>d10</u>	In Last FY, % of Value of Products Lost In Transit Due To Theft	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	D.10. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of products this establishment shipped to supply domestic markets was lost while in transit because of theft?
92	<u>d11</u>	In Last FY, % of Value of Products Lost In Transit Due To Breakage or Spoilage?	discrete	numeric-2.0	359	1	D.11. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of value of products this establishment shipped to supply domestic markets was lost while in transit because of breakage or spoilage?
93	<u>d12a</u>	% of Material Inputs And Supplies of Domestic origin In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	D.12. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's purchases of material inputs or supplies were: - Of domestic origin
94	d12b	% of Material Inputs And Supplies of Foreign origin In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	D.12. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's purchases of material inputs or supplies were: - Of foreign origin
95	<u>d13</u>	Were Any of These Material Inputs And Supplies Imported Directly?	discrete	numeric-2.0	167	193	D.13. Were any of the material inputs of supplies purchased in fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] imported directly?
96	d14	Avg. Num. of Days For Imported Goods To Clear Customs In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-2.0	139	221	D.14. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], when this establishment imported material inputs or supplies, how many days did it take on average from the time these goods arrived to their point of entry (e.g. port, airport) until the time these goods could be claimed from customs?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
97	<u>d15a</u>	In Clearing Imports Through Customs,Informal Gift/ Payment Expected or Requested?	discrete	numeric-2.0	139	221	D.15a. In reference to when this establishment imported material inputs or supplies, in claiming these goods from customs was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?
98	<u>d30a</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Transport?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	D.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Transport
99	<u>d30b</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Customs And Trade Regulations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	D.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Customs and trade regulations
100	BMr1	What Happened When Problem In The Production Process/Services Provision Arose?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165	195	BMR.1. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what best describes what happened at this establishment when a problem in the production process arose?
101	BMr2	Did This Establishment Monitor Any Production/ Service Performance Indicators?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165	195	BMR.2. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment monitor any performance indicators?
102	BMr3	How Many Production/ Service Provision Performance Indicators Were Monitored?	discrete	numeric-2.0	152	208	BMR.3. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many performance indicators were monitored at this establishment?
103	BMr4	Did This Establishment Have Production/Service Provision Targets?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165	195	BMR.4. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have production targets? Examples of production targets are: production volume, quality, efficiency, waste, or on-time delivery.
104	BMr5	What Best Describes The Time Frame of Production/ Service Provision Targets?	discrete	numeric-2.0	151	209	BMR.5. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what best describes the time frame of production targets at this establishment?
105	BMr6	How Easy To Achieve Its Production/Service Provision Targets?	discrete	numeric-2.0	151	209	BMR.6. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how easy or difficult was it for this establishment to achieve its production targets overall?
106	BMr7	Who Was Aware of The Production/Service Provision Targets At This Establishment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	151	209	BMR.7. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], who was aware of the production targets at this establishment?
107	BMr8	Was There Performance Bonuses Based On Production/Service Provision Targets?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165	195	BMR.8. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have performance bonuses for managers?
108	BMr9	What Were Managers' Performance Bonuses Usually Based On?	discrete	numeric-2.0	143	217	BMR.9. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were managers' performance bonuses mostly based on?
109	BMr10	What Was The Primary Way Non-Managers Were Promoted?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165	195	BMR.10. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was the primary way

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							non-managers were promoted at this establishment?
110	BMr11	When Was An Under- Performing Non-Manager Reassigned or Dismissed?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165	195	BMR.11. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], when was an underperforming nonmanager reassigned or dismissed?
111	<u>e1</u>	In Last FY, Main Market For Establishment'S Main Product	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	E.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], which of the following was the main market in which this establishment sold its main product?
112	<u>e2b</u>	Competitors For The Main Product/Service In The Main Market	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	E.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], for the main market in which this establishment sold its main product, how many competitors did thi establishment's main product face?
113	<u>e6</u>	Do You Use Technology Licensed From A Foreign- Owned Company?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	E.6. Does this establishment at present use technology licensed from a foreign-owned company, excluding office software?
114	<u>e11</u>	Does This Establishment Compete Against Unregistered or Informal Firms?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	E.11. Does this establishment compete against unregistered or informal establishments?
115	<u>e30</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Practices of Competitors In Informal Sector?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	E.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are Practices of Competitors in the Informal Sector an obstacle to the current operations of thie establishment?
116	<u>h1</u>	New Products/Services Introduced Over Last 3 Yrs	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	H.1. During the last three years, has this establishment introduced new or improved products or services?
117	<u>h2</u>	New Products/Services Also New For Thr Establishment'S Main Market	discrete	numeric-2.0	182	178	H.2. Were any of the new or improved products or services also new for the establishment's main market?
118	<u>h3x</u>	Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service	discrete	character-213	182	-	h3x question details
119	h4x	Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different	discrete	character-181	182	-	H.4. Please describe in detail how the main new or improved product or service is different than the most simila product or service, if any, previously produced by this establishment.
120	h5	During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Introduced New/Significantly Improved Process	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	H.5 During the last three years, has this establishment introduced any new or improved process? These include: - methods of manufacturing products or offering services; - logistics, delivery, or distribution methods for inputs, products, or services; - or supporting activities for processes?
121	h6x	Describe Main New/ Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced	discrete	character-230	148	-	H.6. Please describe in detail the main new or improved process that this establishment introduced during the last three years. The main innovative proces is the innovative process that had the largest impact on the operations of the establishment during the last three years.

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
122	<u>h7x</u>	How The Main New/ Significantly Improved Process Is Different	discrete	character-195	148	-	H.7. Please describe in detail how the main new or improved process is different than the most similar process that is or was used by this establishment
123	BMh1	During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Spent On Acquisition of External Knowledge?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMh.1. Over the last three years, did this establishment spend on the acquisition of external knowledge? This includes the purchase or licensing of patents and non-patented inventions, know-how, and other types of knowledge from other businesses or organizations.
124	BMh2	During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Spent On R&D Within The Establishment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMh.2. Over the last three years, did this establishment spend on research and development activities within the establishment?
125	BMh3	During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Spent On R&D Contracted Outside Establishment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMh.3. Over the last three years, did this establishment spend on research and development activities contracted with other companies?
126	<u>h8</u>	During Last Fiscal Year, Establishment Spent On R&D (Excl Market Research)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	163	197	H.8. During last fiscal year, did this establishment spend on research and development activities, either in-house or contracted with other companies, excluding market research surveys?
127	<u>h9</u>	How Much Spent On R&D, Either In-House or External?	discrete	numeric-9.0	55	305	H.9. During last fiscal year, how much did this establishment spend on research and development activities, either in-house or contracted with other companies?
128	<u>f1</u>	In Last FY, What Was The Capacity Utilization (%) of This Establishment?	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	F.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was this establishment's output produced as a percentage of the maximum output possible if using all the resources available (capacity utilization)?
129	<u>f2</u>	Number of Hours Per Week Operated By The Establishment In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	F.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many hours per week did this establishment normally operate?
130	BMt1	How Often Does The Top Manager Meet With The COO, CAO etc.?	discrete	numeric-2.0	88	272	BMT.1. In a typical week, how often does the top manager meet with one or more of the following: Chief Operating Officer (COO), Chief Administrative Officer (CAO), Chief Marketing Officer (CMO), Board members, Business Unit managers, or managers from a parent company?
131	BMt2	How Often Does The Top Manager Meet With Suppliers?	discrete	numeric-2.0	88	272	BMT.2. In a typical week, how often does the top manager meet with suppliers?
132	BMt3	How Often Does Top Manager Meet With Employees In Production/ Services Provision?	discrete	numeric-2.0	88	272	BMT.3. In a typical week, how often does the top manager meet with employees involved in production activities (e.g. plant managers, front line production workers)?

гпе	mongona_	2019_full_data				1	
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
133	BMt4	How Many Meetings With Top Manager More Than One Other Participant Involved?	discrete	numeric-2.0	88	272	BMT.4. In a typical week, how many meetings that involve the top manager include more than one other participant?
134	BMt5	How Many Meetings With Top Manager Last Longer Than One Hour?	discrete	numeric-2.0	88	272	BMT.5. In a typical week, how many meetings that involve the top manager last longer than one hour?
135	<u>g6a</u>	Percentage of The Building Occupied: Owned By This Establishment	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	G.6. Of the buildings occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Owned by this establishment
136	<u>g6b</u>	Percentage of The Building Occupied: Leased or Rented By This Establishment	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	G.6. Of the buildings occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Rented or leased by this establishment
137	<u>g6c</u>	Percentage of The Building Occupied: Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	G.6. Of the buildings occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Other
138	<u>g1a</u>	Percentage of The Land Occupied: Owned By This Establishment	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	G.1. Of the land occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Owned by this establishment
139	<u>g1b</u>	Percentage of The Land Occupied: Rented or Leased By This Establishment	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	G.1. Of the land occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Rented or leased by this establishment
140	<u>g1c</u>	Percentage of The Land Occupied: Other	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	G.1. Of the land occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Other
141	<u>g2</u>	Applic. To Obtain A Construction-Related Permit Submitted Over The Last 2 Years	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	G.2. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain a construction-related permit?
142	<u>g3</u>	How Many Days Did It Take For You To Obtain A Construction-Related Permit?	discrete	numeric-3.0	71	289	G.3. In reference to that application for a construction-related permit, approximately how many days did it take to obtain it from the day of the application to the day the permit was granted?
143	<u>g4</u>	Informal Gift/Payment Expected or Requested For A Construction-Related Permit	discrete	numeric-2.0	71	289	G.4. In reference to that application for a construction-related permit, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?
144	<u>g5a</u>	What Is The Total Selling Area In This Establishment?	discrete	numeric-6.0	88	272	G.5. What is the total selling area of this establishment?
145	<u>g5b</u>	The Area Is Measured In:	discrete	numeric-1.0	83	277	G.5. What is the total selling area of this establishment? Specify units
146	g5bx	Specify Other Units (If Not Included Above) The Area Is Measured In	discrete	character-1	0	0	G.5. What is the total selling area of this establishment? Specify units - other psecify
147	<u>g30a</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Access To Land?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	G.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Access to Land an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?
148	<u>i1</u>	In Last FY, Did This Establishment Pay For Security?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	I.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment pay for security, for example equipment, personnel, or professional security services including internet security?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
149	<u>i2a</u>	Percentage of Total Annual Sales Paid For Security In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-2.0	56	304	I.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's total annual sales was paid for security, or what was the total annual cost of security? - Percentage of total annual sales for security
150	<u>i2b</u>	In Last Fiscal Year, What Is The Total Annual Cost of Security?	discrete	numeric-10.0	196	164	I.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's total annual sales was paid for security, or what was the total annual cost of security? - Total annual cost of security
151	<u>i3</u>	Losses Due To Theft, Robbery, Vandalism or Arson Experienced In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	I.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment experience losses as a result of theft, robbery, vandalism, arson on this establishment's premises or from internet hacking or fraudulent internet transactions?
152	<u>i4a</u>	Losses Due To Theft, Robbery, Vandalism or Arson In Last FY (% of Sales)	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	355	i4a question details
153	<u>i4b</u>	Value of Losses Due To Theft, Robbery, Vandalism or Arson In Last FY	discrete	numeric-9.0	9	351	i4b question details
154	<u>i30</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Crime, Theft And Disorder?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	I.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Crime, Theft and Disorder an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?
155	klc	In Last FY, % of Material Inputs or Services Paid For After Delivery	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	K.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of total annual purchases of material inputs or services was purchased on credit?
156	<u>k2c</u>	In Last FY, % of Total Annual Sales Paid For After Delivery	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	K.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's total annual sales of its goods or services was sold on credit?
157	<u>k3a</u>	% of Working Capital Financed From Internal Funds/Retained Earnings	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Internal funds or retained earnings
158	k3bc	% of Working Capital Borrowed From Banks	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Borrowed from banks: private and state-owned
159	<u>k3e</u>	% of Working Capital Borrowed From Non-Bank Financial Institutions	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	k3e question details

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
160	<u>k3f</u>	% of Working Capital Purchased On Credit/ Advances From Suppliers / Customers	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	k3f question details
161	BMk3a	% of Working Capital in Government grants	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, th was financed from each of the followis sources? - Government grants
162	BMk3b	% of Working Capital in Issued Bonds	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, the was financed from each of the following sources? - Issued bonds
163	BMk3hd	% Other, Moneylenders, Friends, Relatives, etc.	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, the was financed from each of the followis sources? - Other, moneylenders, friend relatives, etc.
164	BMk2	The Proportion of Working Capital, Financed By The Same Group	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	BMK.2. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital that was financed by same group to which this establishme belongs.
165	<u>k4</u>	Did This Establishment Purchase Any Fixed Assets In Last FY?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complet fiscal year], did this establishment purchase any new or used fixed assets such as machinery, vehicles, equipme land or buildings, including expansion and renovations of existing structures
166	n5a	Total Annual Expenditure For Purchases of Equipment In Last FY	discrete	numeric-11.0	143	217	N.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complet fiscal year], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: New or used machinery, vehicles, and equipment?
167	n5b	Total Annual Expenditure For Purchases of Land And Buildings In Last FY	discrete	numeric-11.0	143	217	N.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complet fiscal year], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: Land and buildings, including expans and renovations of existing structures
168	k5a	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Internal Funds/ Retained Earnings	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fix assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - International funds or retained earnings
169	<u>k5a1</u>	Fixed Assets - Value Internal Funds or Retained Earnings	discrete	numeric-10.0	22	338	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of thi establishment's total purchases of fix assets that were financed from the

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
	- 1,0000		-3F-				following sources: (amount) - Internal funds or retained earnings
170	<u>k5bc</u>	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Bank Borrowing	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Borrowed from banks: private and state-owned
171	<u>k5bc1</u>	Fixed Assets - Value Borrowed From Banks	discrete	numeric-9.0	22	338	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Borrowed from banks: private and state-owned
172	<u>k5i</u>	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Owners' Contributions or Issued New Equity	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Owners' contribution or issued new equity shares
173	<u>k5i1</u>	Fixed Assets - Value Owners' Contribution or Issued New Equity Shares	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Owners' contribution or issued new equity shares
174	<u>k5e</u>	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Non-Bank Financial Institutions	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	k5e question details
175	<u>k5e1</u>	Fixed Assets - Value Borrowed From Non-Bank Financial Institutions	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	k5e1 question details
176	k5f	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Credit From Suppliers/Advances From Customers	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Purchases on credit from suppliers and advances from customers
177	<u>k5f1</u>	Fixed Assets - Value Purchases On Credit From Suppliers, Advances From Customers	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Purchases on credit from suppliers and advances from customers
178	BMk5a	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Financed with Government grants	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Government grants

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
179	BMk5a1	Fixed Assets - Financed with Government grants	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Government grants
180	BMk5b	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Financed by Issuing Bonds	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Issued bonds
181	BMk5b1	Fixed Assets - Financed by Issuing Bonds	discrete	numeric-2.0	22	338	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Issued bonds
182	BMk5hdj	Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Other (Money Lenders\Friends\Relatives \Etc)	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Other, moneylenders, friends, relatives, etc.
183	BMk5hdj1	Fixed Assets - Value Other	discrete	numeric-8.0	22	338	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Other, moneylenders, friends, relatives, etc.
184	BMk4	Proportion of Total Purchase of Fixed Assets Financed By The Same Group	discrete	numeric-3.0	143	217	BMK.4. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's total purchase of fixed assets that was financed by the same group to which this establishment belongs.
185	BMk5	Lease Any Fixed Assets, e.g. Machinery, Vehicles, Equipment, Land or Buildings?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMK.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment use any assets, such as machinery, vehicles, equipment, land or buildings, under leasing?
186	BMk6	Purchase Any Trademarks, Copyrights, Patents, or Other Intangible Assets?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMK.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment purchase or acquire any trademarks, copyrights, patents, licenses. service contracts, franchise agreements, or other intangible assets?
187	k4b	Purchase Any New/Used Fixed Assets?	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	K.4b. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], did this establishment purchase any new or used fixed assets, such as machinery, vehicles, equipment, land or buildings, including expansion and renovations of existing structures?

		_2019_full_data	T	T	37.11.1	7 111	0
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
188	<u>n5c</u>	Total Annual Expenditure For Purchases of Equipment In Year Prior To Last FY	discrete	numeric-7.0	30	330	N.5c. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: - New or used machinery, vehicles, and equipment
189	<u>n5d</u>	Total Annual Expenditure On Land And Buildings In Year Prior To Last FY	discrete	numeric-9.0	30	330	N.5d. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: - Land and buildings
190	<u>k6</u>	Does This Establishment Have A Checking And\or Saving Account?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.6. At this time, does this establishment have a checking (current) or savings account?
191	<u>k7</u>	At This Time, Does This Establishment Have An Overdraft Facility?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.7. At this time, does this establishment have an overdraft facility?
192	<u>k8</u>	Establishment Has A Line of Credit or Loan From A Financial Institution?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.8. At this time, does this establishment have a line of credit or a loan from a financial institution?
193	BMk7	What Is The Reason For Not Having A Loan or Line of Credit At The Moment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	183	177	BMK.7. What is the reason for not having a loan or line of credit at the moment?
194	<u>k9</u>	Type of Financial Institution That Granted The Line of Credit or Loan	discrete	numeric-2.0	177	183	K.9. Referring to the most recent line of credit or loan, what type of financial institution granted this loan?
195	<u>k10</u>	Year When The Most Recent Loan/Line of Credit Approved	discrete	numeric-4.0	177	183	K.10. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, in what year was the most recent line of credit or loan approved?
196	<u>k11</u>	For The Most Recent Loan, What Was The Value At The Time of Approval?	discrete	numeric-11.0	177	183	K.11. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what was its value at the time of approval?
197	BMk9	In What Currency Is The Most Recent Line of Credit or Loan Denominated?	discrete	numeric-2.0	177	183	BMK.9. In what currency is the most recent line of credit or loan denominated?
198	BMk9x	Other (Specify)	discrete	character-45	1	0	BMK.9. In what currency is the most recent line of credit or loan denominated? Other specify
199	BMk10	What Was The original Duration of The Most Recent Line of Credit or Loan?	discrete	numeric-8.0	177	183	BMK.10. What was the original duration of the most recent line of credit or loan in months?
200	<u>k13</u>	Financing Required For The Most Recent Line of Credit or Loan	discrete	numeric-2.0	177	183	K.13. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, did the financing require collateral?
201	<u>k14a</u>	Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Land, Buildings	discrete	numeric-2.0	174	186	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Land, buildings under ownership of the establishment
202	<u>k14b</u>	Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Equipment	discrete	numeric-2.0	174	186	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Machinery and equipment including movables
203	<u>k14c</u>	Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Accounts	discrete	numeric-2.0	174	186	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							collateral was required? - Accounts receivable and inventories
204	<u>k14d</u>	Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Personal Assets	discrete	numeric-2.0	174	186	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Personal assets of owner (house, etc.)
205	k14e	Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	174	186	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Other forms of collateral not included in the categories above
206	<u>k15a</u>	Value of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Credit/ Loan	discrete	numeric-12.0	174	186	K.15a. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what was the approximate value of the collateral required?
207	<u>k15b</u>	Total Number of Open Lines of Credit and Outstanding Loans	discrete	numeric-2.0	177	183	K.15b. What is the total number of open lines of credit and outstanding loans held by this establishment?
208	<u>k15c</u>	Total Outstanding Balance of All Open Lines of Credit and Loans (LCU)	discrete	numeric-11.0	172	188	K.15c. What is the total outstanding balance of all open lines of credit and loans held by this establishment?
209	<u>k15d</u>	Outstanding Personal Loans Used To Finance Establishment'S Business Activities?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.15d. At this time, does the owner or owners of this establishment have any outstanding personal loans that are used to finance this establishment's business activities?
210	<u>k16</u>	In Last FY, Did Establishment Apply For New Loans/Lines of Credit?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.16. Referring again to the last fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment apply for any lines of credit or loans?
211	<u>k17</u>	Main Reason For Not Applying For New Loans or New Lines of Credit	discrete	numeric-2.0	218	142	K.17. What was the main reason why this establishment did not apply for any line of credit or loan?
212	k20a1	What Was The Outcome of That Most Recent Application For Loan/Line of Credit?	discrete	numeric-2.0	142	218	K.20. Referring only to this most recent application for a line of credit or loan, what was the outcome of that application?
213	<u>k21</u>	Financial Statements Checked & Certified By External Auditor In Last FY?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.21. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have its annual financial statements checked and certified by an external auditor?
214	<u>k30</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Access To Finance	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	K.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Access to Finance an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?
215	<u>h7a</u>	The Court System Is Fair, Impartial And Uncorrupted	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	H7a. The court system is fair, impartial and uncorrupted
216	j2	What % of Senior Management Time Was Spent In Dealing With Govt Regulations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.2. In a typical week over the last year, what percentage of total senior management's time was spent on dealing with requirements imposed by government regulations? (By senior management I mean managers, directors and officers above direct supervisors of production or sales workers.)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
217	<u>j3</u>	Over The Last 12 Months, Was This Establishment Inspected By Tax officials?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.3. Over the last year, was this establishment visited or inspected by tax officials or required to meet with them?
218	<u>j4</u>	Frequency of Inspections/ Requirement For Meeting By Tax officials	discrete	numeric-2.0	233	127	J.4. Over the last year, how many times was this establishment visited or inspected by tax officials or required to meet with them?
219	<u>j5</u>	In Any of These Inspections Was A Gift/Informal Payment Requested ?	discrete	numeric-2.0	233	127	J.5. In any of these inspections or meetings was a gift or informal payment expected or requested?
220	<u>BMj1a</u>	Tax officials Were Professional And Impartial	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - Tax officials were professional and impartial
221	<u>BMj1b</u>	Tax officials Were Transparent When Making Decisions About This Establishment	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - Tax officials were transparent when making decisions regarding this establishment
222	BMj1c	This Establishment Was Able To Voice Complaints	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - This establishment was able to voice complaints
223	BMj1_imp	BMj1: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - Tax officials were professional and impartial
224	BMj1_tra	BMj1: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMj1_transparent_pos question details
225	BMj1_voi	BMj1: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - This establishment was able to voice complaints
226	<u>j6a</u>	Government Contract Secured (or Attempted) In The Last 12 Months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.6a. Over the last year, has this establishment secured or attempted to secure a government contract?
227	<u>j6</u>	% of Value Avg. Establishment Pays In Informal Gifts To Govt To Secure Contract?	discrete	numeric-2.0	122	238	J.6. When establishments like this one do business with the government, what percent of the contract value would be

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
	- Name	2.000	1,10	2 02 200	, 4224		typically paid in informal payments or gifts to secure the contract?
228	<u>j7a</u>	Percent of Total Annual Sales Paid In Informal Payments	discrete	numeric-2.0	269	91	j7a question details
229	<u>j7b</u>	Total Annual Informal Payment	discrete	numeric-8.0	91	269	j7b question details
230	<u>j10</u>	Application To Obtain An Import License Submitted Over The Last 2 Years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.10. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain an import license?
231	<u>j11</u>	How Many Days Did It Take To Obtain Your Import License?	discrete	numeric-3.0	46	314	J.11. Approximately how many days did it take to obtain this import license from the day of the application to the day it was granted?
232	<u>j12</u>	When You Applied For An Import License, Was An Informal Gift/Payment Requested?	discrete	numeric-2.0	46	314	J.12. In reference to that application for an import license, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?
233	<u>j13</u>	Application To Obtain An Operating License Submitted Over Last 2 Years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.13. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain an operating license?
234	<u>j14</u>	How Many Days Did It Take To Obtain Your Operating License?	discrete	numeric-3.0	68	292	J.14. Approximately how many days did it take to obtain this operating license from the day of the application to the day it was granted?
235	<u>j15</u>	When You Applied For Operating License Was An Informal Gift/Payment Requested?	discrete	numeric-2.0	68	292	J.15. In reference to that application for an operating license, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?
236	BMj2a	Public officials Were Professional And Impartial	discrete	numeric-2.0	68	292	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - Public officials were professional and impartial
237	BMj2b	Public officials Were Transparent In Making Decisions About This Establishment	discrete	numeric-2.0	68	292	BMj2b question details
238	BMj2c	This Establishment Was Able To Voice Complaints	discrete	numeric-2.0	68	292	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - This establishment was able to voice complaints
239	BMj2_imp	BMj2: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - Public officials were professional and impartial

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
240	BMj2_tra	BMj2: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMj2_transparent_pos question details
241	BMj2_voi	BMj2: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - This establishment was able to voice complaints
242	BMj3a	Payments, Gifts or Exchange of Favors With Parliamentarians To Affect Votes	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMj3a question details
243	BMj3b	Payments, or Exchange of Favors With National Govt officials To Affect Decrees	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMj3b question details
244	BMj3c	Payments, or Exchange of Favors With Local/Reg. Govt officials To Affect Policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMj3c question details
245	BMj3_par	BMj3: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMj3_parliament_pos question details
246	BMj3_nat	BMj3: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMj3_natgov_pos question details
247	BMj3_loc	BMj3: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMj3_locgov_pos question details
248	<u>j30a</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Tax Rates	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Tax rates
249	<u>j30b</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Tax Administrations	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Tax administration
250	j30c	How Much of An Obstacle: Business Licensing And Permits	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Business licensing and permits
251	<u>j30e</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Political Instability	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Political instability
252	<u>j30f</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Corruption	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Corruption

гпе	mongona_	_2019_full_data					1
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
253	<u>h30</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Courts	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Courts
254	BMj4a	How Much of An Obstacle: Occupational safety regulations	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Occupational safety regulations
255	BMj4b	How Much of An Obstacle: Health and hygiene regulations	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Health and hygiene regulations
256	BMj4c	How Much of An Obstacle: Environmental regulations	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Environmental regulations
257	j30_taxr	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Tax rates
258	j30 taxa	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Tax administration
259	j30_perm	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Business licensing and permits
260	<u>j30_inst</u>	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Political instability
261	<u>j30_corr</u>	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Corruption
262	<u>j30_cour</u>	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Courts
263	j30_safe	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Occupational safety regulations

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
264	j30_heal	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment (position) - Health and hygiene regulations
265	j30 envi	J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment (position) - Environmental regulations
266	BMj5	For The Next 3 Years, Which Public Spending Should Be of Highest Priority?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMJ.5. From the perspective of this establishment, for the next three years, which one of the following areas of public spending should be the highest priority?
267	BMj5x	Other (Specify)	discrete	character-63	12	0	BMJ.5. From the perspective of this establishment, for the next three years, which one of the following areas of public spending should be the highest priority? Other specify
268	11	Num. Permanent, Full-Time Employees At End of Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	11 question details
269	12	Num. Permanent, Full-Time Employees At End of 3 Fiscal Years Ago	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	L.2. Looking back, at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus two], how many permanent, full-time individuals worked in this establishment? Please include all employees and managers
270	<u>13a</u>	Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Production Workers	discrete	numeric-4.0	121	239	L.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], at the end of the fiscal year, how many permanent, full-time individuals in this establishment were: - Production workers
271	<u>13b</u>	Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Non- Production Workers	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	L.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], at the end of the fiscal year, how many permanent, full-time individuals in this establishment were: - Non-production workers [e.g., administration, sales]
272	14a1	Num. of Permanent, Full- Time Highly Skilled Production Workers, Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	L.4. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many permanent, full-time production worker in this establishment were: - In highly skilled jobs, that is professionals whose tasks require extensive theoretical and technical knowledge
273	<u>14a2</u>	Num. of Permanent, Full-Time Semi Skilled Production Workers, Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	L.4. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many permanent, full-time production worker in this establishment were: - In semi-skilled jobs, that is technicians whose tasks require some level of mechanical or technical knowledge
274	<u>14b</u>	Num. of Permanent, Full- Time Unskilled Production Workers, Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	L.4. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many permanent, full-time production workers

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							in this establishment were: - In unskilled jobs, those whose tasks involve no specialized knowledge
275	<u>15a</u>	Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Female Production Workers	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	L.5. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], in this establishment how many permanent, full-time workers in each of the following categories were female? - Production workers
276	<u>15b</u>	Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Female Non-Production Workers	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	L.5. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], in this establishment how many permanent, full-time workers in each of the following categories were female? - Non-production workers
277	<u>15</u>	Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Female	discrete	numeric-3.0	239	121	L.5. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], in this establishment how many permanent, full-time workers were female?
278	16	Num. Full-Time Temporary Employees At End of Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	360	0	L.6. How many full-time seasonal or temporary employees did this establishment employ during [Insert last complete fiscal year]? Full-time, temporary workers are all short-term that is for less than a year, employees with no guarantee of renewal of employment and work full-time
279	<u>16a</u>	Female Temporary, Full- Time Workers Employed Throughout Last FY	discrete	numeric-2.0	159	201	L.6a. How many full-time seasonal or temporary employees during fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], were female?
280	18	Avg. Length of Employment of All Full-Time Temporary Employees In Last FY	discrete	numeric-2.0	159	201	L.8. What was the average length of employment of all full-time seasonal or temporary employees in fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year]?
281	<u>19b</u>	% of Full Time Workers Completed High School End of Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	75	285	L.9b. What percentage or how many of the full-time permanent workers employed at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] completed secondary school? (percent) Please provide the percentage or number, not both.
282	1961	Number of Full Time Workers Completed High School	discrete	numeric-4.0	285	75	L.9b. What percentage or how many of the full-time permanent workers employed at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] completed secondary school? (number) Please provide the percentage or number, not both.
283	BM11a	% Permanent Full-Time Employees At The End of FY Had A University Degree?	discrete	numeric-3.0	81	279	BMI1a question details
284	BM11b	Num. of Permanent Full- Time Employees At The End of FY Had A University Degree?	discrete	numeric-3.0	279	81	BMl1b question details

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
285	110	Formal Training Programs For Permanent, Full-Time Employees In Last FY	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	L.10. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have formal training programs for its permanent, full-time employees?
286	BMI2	What Was The Primary Focus of The Formal Training Programs?	discrete	numeric-2.0	273	87	BML.2. What was the primary focus of the formal training programs? (If there were more than one training please re to the one with highest total cost)
287	<u>111a</u>	% Permanent Full-Time Production Employees Received Formal Training In Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	74	286	111a question details
288	111a1	Training Programs Amount: Production Full-Time Permanent Employees Trained	discrete	numeric-3.0	24	336	111a1 question details
289	<u>111b</u>	% Permanent Fulltime Nonproduction Employees Received Formal Training In Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	74	286	111b question details
290	111b1	Training Programs Amount:Non-Production Full-Time Permanent Employees Trained	discrete	numeric-3.0	24	336	111b1 question details
291	<u>130a</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Labor Regulations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	L.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the curre operations of this establishment? - La regulations
292	<u>130b</u>	How Much of An Obstacle: Inadequately Educated Workforce?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	L.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the curre operations of this establishment? - Inadequately educated workforce
293	mla	Biggest Obstacle Affecting The Operation of This Establishment	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elemen of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced this establishment.
294	mla fina	m1a: Position of Access to Finance	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elemen of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced this establishment Access to finance
295	m1a land	m1a: Position of Access to land	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elemen of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced this establishment Access to land
296	mla_perm	m1a: Position of Business licensing and permits	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elemen of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced this establishment Business licensi and permits
297	m1a_corr	m1a: Position of corruption	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elemen

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced b this establishment Corruption
298	m1a_cour	m1a: Position of Courts	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced b this establishment Courts
299	m1a_crim	m1a: Position of Crime, theft and disorder	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced b this establishment Crime, theft and disorder
300	m1a_trad	m1a: Position of Customs and trade regulations	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced b this establishment Customs and tradregulations
801	m1a_elec	m1a: Position of Electricity	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced b this establishment Electricity
302	mla work	m1a: Position of Inadequately educated workforce	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment Inadequately educated workforce
803	m1a_labo	m1a: Position of Labor regulations	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced b this establishment Labor regulations
804	m1a_inst	m1a: Position of Political instability	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced be this establishment Political instability
305	m1a info	m1a: Position of Practices of competitors in the informal sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment Practices of competitors in the informal sector
306	mla_taxa	m1a: Position of Tax administration	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced be this establishment Tax administration
307	m1a_taxr	m1a: Position of Tax rates	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment Tax rates
808	m1a_tran	m1a: Position of Transport	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	M.1. By looking at the list of element of the business environment please

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment Transport
309	n2a	Total Labor Cost (Incl. Wages, Salaries, Bonuses, Etc) In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-11.0	360	0	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of labor including wages, salaries, bonuses, social security payments
310	n2e	Cost of Raw Materials And Intermediate Goods Used In Prod. In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-12.0	121	239	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of raw materials and intermediate goods used in production
311	<u>n2b</u>	Total Annual Costs of Electricity In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-10.0	360	0	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of electricity
312	<u>n2f</u>	Total Annual Costs of Fuel In Last Fiscal Year	discrete	numeric-11.0	360	0	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of fuel
313	<u>n2i</u>	Total Annual Cost of Finished Goods/Materials Bought To Resell In Last FY	discrete	numeric-12.0	88	272	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of finished goods and materials purchased to resell
314	n2p	Total Cost of Sales In Last FY	discrete	numeric-12.0	121	239	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total cost of sales
315	n2e1	Total Cost of Raw Materials And Intermediate Goods Used In Production	discrete	numeric-12.0	121	239	N.2e1. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], please provide the total cost of raw materials and intermediate goods used in production.
316	n7a	Cost For Establishment To Re-Purchase All of Its Machinery	discrete	numeric-12.0	121	239	N.7. Hypothetically, if this establishment were to purchase all the machinery, vehicles, and equipment it uses now, in their current condition and regardless of whether the establishment owns them or not, how much would they cost, independently of whether they are owned, rented or leased?
317	BMGa22	Continue with current respondent or someone else	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	BMGA.22. Should I continue this section with you or is there a specialized person in this establishment who can answer this section?
318	BMGa23	Continue F2F or by phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	354	6	-
319	<u>a15d</u>	Day	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Day (dd)

File	mongolia_2	2019_full_data					
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
320	<u>a15m</u>	Month	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Month (mm)
321	<u>a15y</u>	Year	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Year (yy)
322	<u>a15h</u>	Hour	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Hour (00 to 23)
323	a15min	Minutes	continuous	numeric-2.0	360	0	A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Minutes (00 to 59)
324	gdpr1a	GDPR consent, respondent 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	GDPR.1. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB? - Main respondent
325	gdpr1b	GDPR consent, respondent 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	6	354	GDPR.1. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB? - Second respondent
326	gdpr1c	GDPR consent, respondent 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	11	349	GDPR.1. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB? - Third respondent
327	<u>a15a1a</u>	Option That Best Describes The Main Respondents Position	discrete	numeric-2.0	358	2	A.15a1. Please indicate which option best describes the main respondents position:
328	a15a1ax	Main Respondent Position In The Establishment	discrete	character-10	1	0	A.15a1. Please indicate which option best describes the main respondents position: (other specify)
329	<u>a15a2a</u>	Main Respondent Years Working In The Establishment:	discrete	numeric-2.0	358	2	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment - Main respondent
330	<u>a15a3</u>	Main Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	358	2	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Main respondent
331	<u>a15a1bx</u>	Second Respondent Position In The Establishment	discrete	character-13	5	0	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent
332	<u>a15a2b</u>	Second Respondent Years Working In The Establishment:	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	355	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment - Second respondent
333	a15b3	Second Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	355	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Second respondent
334	a15a1cx	Third Respondent Position In The Establishment	discrete	character-13	11	0	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Third respondent
335	a15a2c	Third Respondent Years Working In The Establishment:	discrete	numeric-2.0	11	349	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment - Third respondent
336	<u>a15c3</u>	Third Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	11	349	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Third respondent

File	mongolia_2	2019_full_data					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
337	<u>a16</u>	Perception of The Questions Regarding Opinions And Perceptions	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	A.16. IT IS MY PERCEPTION THAT THE RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONS REGARDING OPINIONS AND PERCEPTIONS WERE:
338	<u>a17</u>	Responses To The Questions About Figures Areâ€	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	A.17. THE RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONS REGARDING FIGURES (PRODUCTIVITY AND EMPLOYMENT NUMBERS) WERE:
339	<u>a18</u>	This Questionnaire Was Completed In:	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	A.18. THIS QUESTIONNAIRE WAS COMPLETED IN:
340	<u>a19h</u>	If Option 2 or 3 In A.18, Estimate Duration of The Whole Interview: Hours	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	327	A.19. IF OPTION 2 OR 3 IN A.18, ESTIMATE DURATION OF THE WHOLE INTERVIEW - Hour
341	<u>a19m</u>	If Option 2 or 3 In A.18, Estimate Duration of The Whole Interview: Minutes	discrete	numeric-2.0	33	327	A.19. IF OPTION 2 OR 3 IN A.18, ESTIMATE DURATION OF THE WHOLE INTERVIEW - Minutes
342	BMGa14gd	Day	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Day (dd)
343	BMGa14gm	Month	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Month (mm)
344	BMGa14gy	Year	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Year (yyyy)
345	BMGa14gh	Hour	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Hour (00 to 23)
346	BMGa14gmin	Minutes	continuous	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Minutes (00 to 59)
347	BMGa1	In Last FY, Strategic Objectives Mention Environmental or Climate Change Issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this firm have strategic objectives that mention environmental or climate change issues?
348	BMGa2	In Last FY, Have Manager Responsible For Environmental or Climate Issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have a manager responsible for environmental and climate change issues?
349	BMGa3	Manager Responsible For Environment And Climate Change Issues Report To	discrete	numeric-2.0	23	337	BMGA.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], whom did the manager responsible for environmental and climate change issues directly report to?
350	BMGa4	Customers Require Certifications or Adherence To Some Environmental Standards?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did any of the establishment's customers require environmental certifications or adherence to certain environmental standards as a condition to do business with this establishment?
351	BMGb1	Over Last 3 Years, Experienced Monetary Losses Due To Extreme Weather Events	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGB.1. Over the last three years, did this establishment experience monetary losses

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
352	BMGb2	Over Last 3 Years, Experienced Monetary Losses From Pollution	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGB.2. Over the last three years, did this establishment experience monetary losses due to pollution not generated by this establishment (that is, independent of this establishment's activity)?
353	BMGc1	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Its Energy Consumption?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.1. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its energy consumption?
354	BMGc2	Over Last 3 Years, How Often Monitor Its Energy Consumption?	discrete	numeric-2.0	298	62	BMGC.2. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its energy consumption?
355	BMGc3	Over Last 3 Years, Completed External Energy Consumption Audit?	discrete	numeric-2.0	298	62	BMGC.3. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its energy consumption?
356	BMGc4	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Its Water Usage?	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	BMGC.4. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its water usage?
357	BMGc5	Over Last 3 Years, How Often Did This Establishment Monitor Its Water Usage?	discrete	numeric-2.0	69	291	BMGC.5. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its water usage?
358	BMGc6	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Complete External Water Usage Audit?	discrete	numeric-2.0	69	291	BMGC.6. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its water usage?
359	BMGc7	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Emit CO2?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.7. Over the last three years, did this establishment emit CO2?
360	BMGc8	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Its CO2 Emissions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	40	320	BMGC.8. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its CO2 emissions?
361	BMGc9	Over Last 3 Years, How often Did This Establishment Monitor Its CO2 Emissions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	11	349	BMGC.9. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its CO2 emissions?
362	BMGc10	Over Last 3 Years, Completed External Audit of CO2 Emissions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	11	349	BMGC.10. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its CO2 emissions?
363	BMGc11	Over Last 3 Years, Monitor CO2 Emissions Along Its Supply Chain	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.11. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor CO2 emissions along its supply chain?
364	BMGc12	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Emit Pollutants Other Than CO2?	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	BMGC.12. Over the last three years, did this establishment emit pollutants other than CO2? (such as other types of air pollutants, soil or land pollutants, and water pollutants)
365	BMGc13	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Pollutants Other Than CO2?	discrete	numeric-2.0	13	347	BMGC.13. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its emissions of pollutants other than CO2?
366	BMGc14	Over Last 3 Years, How OftenMonitor Its Emissions Other Than CO2?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	354	BMGC.14. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its emissions of pollutants other than CO2?
367	BMGc15	Over Last 3 Years, Completed External Audit on Pollutants Other Than CO2	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	354	BMGC.15. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its pollutants other than CO2?

File	File mongolia_2019_full_data							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question	
368	BMGc16	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Have Targets On Energy Consumption?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.16. Over the last three years, did this establishment have targets for energy consumption?	
369	BMGc17	Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On Energy Consumption Were Set?	discrete	numeric-2.0	74	286	BMGC.17. Over the last three years, what sort of targets for energy consumption did this establishment have?	
370	BMGc18	Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Have Targets For CO2 Emissions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.18. Over the last three years, did this establishment have targets for CO2 emissions?	
371	BMGc19	Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On CO2 Emissions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	9	351	BMGC.19. Over the last three years, what sort of targets for CO2 emissions did this establishment have?	
372	BMGc20	Over Last 3 Years,Have Targets For Pollution Emissions Other Than CO2?	discrete	numeric-2.0	121	239	BMGC.20. Over the last three years, did this establishment have targets for pollution emissions other than CO2?	
373	BMGc21	Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On Pollution Emission Other Than CO2?	discrete	numeric-2.0	9	351	BMGC.21. Over the last three years, what sort of targets for pollution emissions other than CO2 did this establishment have?	
374	BMGc22	Is Environment/CC Manager Evaluated On Meeting Environmental Targets?	discrete	numeric-2.0	12	348	BMGC.22. Is the manager responsible for environmental and climate change issues evaluated against how well the establishment performs on energy consumption, CO2 emissions or other pollution or environmental targets?	
375	BMGc23a	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Heating And Cooling Improvements	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Heating and cooling improvements	
376	BMGc23b	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt More Climate-Friendly Energy Generation On Site	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - More climate-friendly energy generation on site	
377	BMGc23c	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Machinery Upgrades	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Machinery and equipment upgrades	
378	BMGc23d	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Energy Management	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Energy management	
379	BMGc23e	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Waste Minimization, Recycling And Waste Management	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Waste minimization, recycling and waste management	
380	BMGc23f	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Air Pollution Control Measures	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Air pollution control measures	
381	BMGc23g	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Water Management	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Water management	

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
382	BMGc23h	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Upgrades of Vehicles, Vessels, Aircraft In The Fleet	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Upgrades of vehicles
383	BMGc23i	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Improvement of Lighting Systems	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Improvements to lighting systems
384	BMGc23j	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Other Pollution Control Measures	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Other pollution control measures
385	BMGc24	Which Measure Has Contributed The Most To Reducing Environmental Impacts?	discrete	numeric-2.0	290	70	BMGC.24. Out of the measures adopted over the last three years, which one has contributed the most to reducing this establishment's environmental impacts, if any?
386	BMGc25	Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Any Measures To Enhance Energy Efficiency?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGC.25. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any measures to enhance energy efficiency?
387	BMGc26	Over Last 3 Years, Were Any Of These Measures Developed By The Establishment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	86	274	BMGC.26. Over the last three years, were any of these measures developed by the establishment?
388	BMGc27	What Is The Main Reason No Measures Were Not Adopted?	discrete	numeric-2.0	273	87	BMGC.27. What is the main reason no measures were adopted?
389	BMGc27x	Other Main Reason No Measures Were Adopted	discrete	character-1	0	0	BMGC.27. What is the main reason no measures were adopted? Other specify
390	BMGc28	Over Last 3 Years, Max. Time Period for Return On Investment Of The Measures?	discrete	numeric-2.0	86	274	BMGC.28. Over the last three years, was there a maximum time period for the return on investment of the adopted energy efficiency measures?
391	BMGc29	Over Last 3 Years, Was Max. Payback Time vs. Non- Energy Efficiency Measures	discrete	numeric-2.0	38	322	BMGC.29. Over the last three years, was this maximum payback time longer than, equal to, or shorter than the average one applied to non-energy efficiency related measures?
392	BMGd6	In Last Fy, Was This Establishment Subject To An Energy Tax or Levy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGD.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], was this establishment subject to an energy tax or levy?
393	BMGd7	In Last FY, Subject To An Energy Performance Standard In Its Operations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGD.7. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], was this establishment subject to an energy performance standard in its operations?
394	BMGd8	In Last FY, Which Energy Performance Standard Subjected To?	discrete	numeric-2.0	209	151	BMGD.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], which energy performance standard was this establishment subject to?
395	BMGe1	Electricity, In KWh, Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY?	discrete	numeric-9.0	360	0	BMGE.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much electricity, in kWh, did this establishment consume?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
396	BMGe2	Fuels Made From Petroleum Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY	discrete	numeric-8.0	360	0	BMGE.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what amount of fuels made from petroleum did this establishment consume?
397	BMGe2u	Units For Fuel Made From Petroleum	discrete	numeric-1.0	329	31	BMGE.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what amount of fuels made from petroleum did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurment for fuel made from petroleum
398	BMGe2x	Specify Other Units For Fuel (If Not Included Above)	discrete	character-1	0	0	BMGE.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what amount of fuels made from petroleum did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurment for fuel made from petroleum (other unit - spontaneous - specify)
399	BMGe3	Natural Gas Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY	discrete	numeric-3.0	121	239	BMGE.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much nature gas did this establishment consume?
400	BMGe3u	Units For Natural Gas	discrete	numeric-1.0	7	353	BMGE.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much nature gas did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurement for nature gas
401	BMGe3x	Specify Other Units For Natural Gas (If Not Included Above)	discrete	character-1	0	0	BMGE.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much natur gas did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurement for natur gas (Other unit, spontaneous - specify)
402	BMGe4	Coal, In Tonnes, Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY	discrete	numeric-6.0	360	0	BMGE.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much coal, tonnes, did this establishment consume
403	BMGe5	In Last FY, Use Energy From Its Own Renewable Sources?	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGE.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment use energy from its own renewable sources, such as power plan using solar, wind, hydro, biomass or geothermal energy?
404	BMGe6	Kilograms of Solid Waste Generated By The Establishment In Last FY	discrete	numeric-7.0	360	0	BMGE.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much solid waste, in kilograms, did this establishment generate?
405	BMGe7	In Last FY, Did This Establishment Measure Its CO2 Emissions?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	354	BMGE.7. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its CO2 emissions?
406	BMGe8a	In Last FY, Emit Conventional Air Pollution (E.G. Nox, Sox)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	354	BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment emit any of the followin - Conventional air pollution other than CO2, such as nitrogen oxide (NOx), sulphur oxides (SOx), particulate matte (PM10), carbon monoxide (CO) and similar
407	BMGe8b	In Last FY, Emit Other Greenhouse Gases (Ghgs)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	354	BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment emit any of the followin

File	mongolia_2	2019_full_data					
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							- Other air pollutants, such as other greenhouse gases (GHGs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), heavy metals, chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and similar
408	BMGe8c	In Last FY, Emit Soil or Land Pollutants?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	354	BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment emit any of the following? - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil or land pollutants include hydrocarbons, solvents, pesticides, heavy metals, etc.)
409	BMGe8d	In Last FY, Emit Water Pollutants?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	354	BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment emit any of the following? - Water pollutants (Examples of water pollutants include organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous, chlorides, heavy metals, etc.)
410	BMGe9a	In Last FY, Emit Conventional Air Pollution Other than CO2 (e.g. NOx, SOx)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1	359	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted? - Conventional air pollution other than CO2
411	BMGe9b	In Last FY, Emit Other Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1	359	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted? - Other air pollutants
412	BMGe9c	In Last FY, Emit Soil or Land Pollutants?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2	358	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted? - Soil or land pollutants
413	BMGe9d	In Last FY, Emit Water Pollutants?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2	358	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted? - Water pollutants
414	BMGa15gd	Day	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Day (dd)
415	BMGa15gm	Month	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Month (mm)
416	BMGa15gy	Year	discrete	numeric-4.0	360	0	BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Year (yyyy)
417	BMGa15gh	Hour	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Hour (00 to 23)
418	BMGa15gmin	Minutes	continuous	numeric-2.0	360	0	BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Minutes (00 to 59)
419	gdpr2	GDPR consent, Green Economy Module Respondent	discrete	numeric-1.0	5	355	GDPR.2. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB?
420	BMGa15a1dx	Green Economy Module Respondent Position In The Firm	discrete	character-36	5	0	BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Position in the establishment

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
421	BMGa15a2d	Green Economy Module Respondent Years Working In The Firm	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	355	BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Years with the establishment
422	BMGa15a4d	Green Economy Module Respondent Years In Position In The Firm	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	355	BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Years in the position
423	BMGa15a3d	Green Economy Module Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	355	BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Gender
424	BMGa12	Interviewer Number (Green Economy Module)	discrete	numeric-2.0	360	0	-
425	<u>a13</u>	Supervisor Number	discrete	character-8	360	0	A.13. Supervisor code
426	<u>a3b</u>	official Capital City?	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Is this city the official capital city?
427	<u>a3c</u>	Main Business City?	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Is this city the main business city?
428	<u>a3</u>	Size of Locality	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	Size of locality
429	<u>d1a2</u>	Main Product/Service ISIC (Rev. 3.1) Code	continuous	numeric-4.0	360	0	D.1a2. PLEASE CHOOSE THE 4-DIGIT ISIC REV. 3.1 SECTOR CODE THAT BEST APPLIES TO THE ESTABLISHMENT'S MAIN ACTIVITY AND PRODUCT.
430	stratifi	stratification region code	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	-
431	stratifi	stratification size code	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	-
432	stratifi	stratification sector code	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	-
433	stratifi	panel code	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	0	-
434	wstrict	Weight according to strict eligibility	continuous	numeric-6.2	360	0	-
435	wmedian	Weight according to median eligibility	continuous	numeric-6.2	360	0	-
436	wweak	Weight according to weak eligibility	continuous	numeric-6.2	360	0	-
437	<u>strata</u>	see notes	continuous	numeric-2.0	360	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 437 variable(s)

#idstd: WEB STD FIRMID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 653330-653689] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=653509.5 /-] [StdDev=104.067 /-]

id: Firm ID

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3629] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1041.744 /-] [StdDev=1035.351 /-]
Literal question	Questionnaire number

a4a: Industry Sampling Sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Sampling Information - Sampling sector

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Manufacturing	116	32.2%
2	Retail	110	30.6%
3	Other Services	134	37.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a6a: Sampling Size

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Sampling Information - Sampling size

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Small	145	40.3%
2	Medium	131	36.4%
3	Large	84	23.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a2: Sampling Region

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question Sampling Information - Sampling location		Sampling Information - Sampling location

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central Mongolia	81	22.5%
2	Ulaanbaatar	133	36.9%
3	East Mongolia	30	8.3%
4	Khangai	65	18.1%
5	West Mongolia	51	14.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a4b: Industry Screener Sector

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-72] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Screener Information - Screener sector

a4b: Industry Screener Sector

Label	Cases	Percentage
Food	49	13.6%
Tobacco	0	
Textiles	13	3.6%
Garments	11	3.1%
Leather	4	1.1%
Wood	11	3.1%
Paper	4	1.1%
Publishing, printing, and Recorded media	4	1.1%
Refined petroleum product	0	
Chemicals	2	0.6%
Plastics & rubber	1	0.3%
Non metallic mineral products	8	2.2%
Basic metals	3	0.8%
Fabricated metal products	6	1.7%
Machinery and equipment (29-30)	0	
Electronics (31-32)	1	0.3%
Precision instruments	0	
Transport machines (34-35)	0	
Furniture	3	0.8%
Recycling	2	0.6%
Construction Section F:	79	21.9%
Services of motor vehicles	7	1.9%
Wholesale	27	7.5%
Retail	83	23.1%
Hotel and restaurants: section H	31	8.6%
Transport Section I: (60-64)	5	1.4%
IT	6	1.7%
	Food Tobacco Textiles Garments Leather Wood Paper Publishing, printing, and Recorded media Refined petroleum product Chemicals Plastics & rubber Non metallic mineral products Basic metals Fabricated metal products Machinery and equipment (29-30) Electronics (31-32) Precision instruments Transport machines (34-35) Furniture Recycling Construction Section F: Services of motor vehicles Wholesale Retail Hotel and restaurants: section H Transport Section I: (60-64) IT	Food 49 Tobacco 0 Textiles 13 Garments 11 Leather 4 Wood 11 Paper 4 Publishing, printing, and Recorded media 4 Refined petroleum product 0 Chemicals 2 Plastics & rubber 1 Non metallic mineral products 8 Basic metals 3 Fabricated metal products 6 Machinery and equipment (29-30) 0 Electronics (31-32) 1 Precision instruments 0 Transport machines (34-35) 0 Furniture 3 Recycling 2 Construction Section F: 79 Services of motor vehicles 7 Wholesale 27 Retail 83 Hotel and restaurants: section H 31 Transport Section I: (60-64) 5

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a0: Questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Screener Information - Module

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Manufacturing	121	33.6%
2	Retail services	88	24.4%
3	Other services	151	41.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3a: Region of The Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Screener Information - Screener region

a3a: Region of The Establishment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central & East Mongolia	111	30.8%
2	Ulaanbaatar	133	36.9%
3	Khangai & West Mongolia	116	32.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a6c: Screener Size

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2200] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=70.656 /-] [StdDev=200.637 /-]
Literal question	Screener Information - Screener size

a6b: Screener Size, Categorical

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Micro	9	2.5%
1	Small	186	51.7%
2	Medium	107	29.7%
3	Large	58	16.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a14d: Day

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.781 /-] [StdDev=9.033 /-]
Literal question	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Day (dd)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		9	2.5%
2		13	3.6%
3		10	2.8%
4		18	5.0%
5		8	2.2%
6		7	1.9%
7		8	2.2%
8		12	3.3%
9		8	2.2%
10		5	1.4%
11		18	5.0%
12		8	2.2%
13		11	3.1%
14		16	4.4%
15		12	3.3%
16		15	4.2%
17		7	1.9%
18		12	3.3%
19		11	3.1%

a14d: Day

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		9	2.5%
21		6	1.7%
22		18	5.0%
23		10	2.8%
24		5	1.4%
25		10	2.8%
26		28	7.8%
27		18	5.0%
28		12	3.3%
29		15	4.2%
30		15	4.2%
31		6	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#a14m: Month

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.317 /-] [StdDev=1.999 /-]
Literal question	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Month (mm)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		68	18.9%
2		36	10.0%
3		97	26.9%
4		112	31.1%
5		33	9.2%
6		3	0.8%
12		11	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a14y: Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2018-2019] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2018.969 /-] [StdDev=0.172 /-]
Literal question	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: year (yyyy)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2018		11	3.1%
2019		349	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a14h: Hour

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8-22] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.269 /-] [StdDev=3.026 /-]
Literal question	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Hour (00 to 23)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		2	0.6%
9		41	11.4%

a14h: Hour

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		35	9.7%
11		46	12.8%
12		40	11.1%
13		23	6.4%
14		44	12.2%
15		42	11.7%
16		36	10.0%
17		16	4.4%
18		15	4.2%
19		12	3.3%
20		4	1.1%
21		3	0.8%
22		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a14min: Minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-59] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=28.714 /-] [StdDev=16.684 /-]
Literal question	A.14 Time face-to-face interview begins: Minutes (00 to 59)

#a1: Country Code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37-160] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Percentage

Value	Label	Cases
37	Jordan	0
38	West Bank and Gaza	0
42	Morocco	0
44	Albania	0
50	Belarus	0
51	Georgia	0
52	Tajikistan	0
53	Turkey	0
54	Ukraine	0
55	Uzbekistan	0
58	Russian Federation	0
59	Poland	0
60	Romania	0
61	Serbia	0
62	Kazakhstan	0
63	Moldova	0
64	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0
65	Azerbaijan	0
66	Macedonia, FYR	0
67	Armenia	0

#a1: Country Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
68	Kyrgyz Republic	0	
69	Mongolia	360	100.0
70	Estonia	0	
71	Kosovo	0	
72	Czech Republic	0	
73	Hungary	0	
74	Latvia	0	
75	Lithuania	0	
76	Slovak Republic	0	
77	Slovenia	0	
78	Bulgaria	0	
79	Croatia	0	
80	Montenegro	0	
92	Egypt, Arab. Rep.	0	
112	Greece	0	
114	Spain	0	
115	Portugal	0	
129	Lebanon	0	
150	Tunisia	0	
158	Cyprus	0	
159	Italy	0	
160	Malta	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a12: Interviewer Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	A.12 Interviewer code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Mnsr0001		5	1.4%
Mnsr0002		15	4.2%
Mnsr0003		47	13.1%
Mnsr00036		1	0.3%
Mnsr00037		3	0.8%
Mnsr00038		16	4.4%
Mnsr00039		13	3.6%
Mnsr0004		5	1.4%
Mnsr0005		9	2.5%
Mnsr0006		7	1.9%
Mnsr0007		35	9.7%
Mnsr0008		27	7.5%
Mnsr0009		13	3.6%
Mnsr0010		10	2.8%
Mnsr0011		4	1.1%

a12: Interviewer Number

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Mnsr0012		7	1.9%
Mnsr0013		7	1.9%
Mnsr0014		30	8.3%
Mnsr0018		38	10.6%
Mnsr0030		28	7.8%
Mnsr0031		13	3.6%
Mnsr0033		5	1.4%
Mnsr0034		2	0.6%
Mnsr0035		20	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a1a: Language of The Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Screener Information - Language

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mongolian	360	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a1c: Sample Frame Level

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Sampling Information - Frame level

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Establishment	360	100.0%
2	Firm	0	
3	Mix of Establishment and Firm	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

a7: Establishment part of a multi-establishment Firm?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question Screener Information - Multi-establishment firm	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	33	9.2%
2	No	327	90.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a7a: Number of Establishments In The Firm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-80] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=327 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
2		7	21.2%

a7a: Number of Establishments In The Firm

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
3		2	6.1%		
4		4	12.1%		
5		3	9.1%		
6		3	9.1%		
7		3	9.1%		
9		1	3.0%		
10		3	9.1%		
11		1	3.0%		
12		1	3.0%		
14		1	3.0%		
16		1	3.0%		
24		1	3.0%		
27		1	3.0%		
80		1	3.0%		
Sysmiss		327			
Warning: these figures	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

a7b: Is This Establishment The Headquarters Location?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=327 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12	36.4%
2	No	21	63.6%
Sysmiss		327	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

a11: If Hq, Financial Statements Independent of The Rest of Establishment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12 /-] [Invalid=348 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10	83.3%
2	No	2	16.7%
Sysmiss		348	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a7c: Does This Headquarters Location Engage In Production or Sales At This Location?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=12 /-] [Invalid=348 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12	100.0%
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		348	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

a9: Establishment'S Financial Statements Prepared Separately From Hq Statements

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=339 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	21	100.0%
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		339	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

a20y: Close Year of The Last Completed Fiscal Year

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2017-2018] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2017.969 /-] [StdDev=0.172 /-]	
Literal question	A.20. On which calendar date did the last completed fiscal year end? Year

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2017		11	3.1%
2018		349	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a20m: Close Month of The Last Completed Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-12] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]	
Literal question A.20. On which calendar date did the last completed fiscal year end? Month		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		360	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a20d: Close Day of The Last Completed Fiscal Year

Information	IType= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31-31] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=31 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]	
Literal question	A.20. On which calendar date did the last completed fiscal year end? Day	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31		360	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#b1: Legal Status of The Firm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	B.1. What is this firm's current legal status?	
Post-question	IF 3, GO TO B.2	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Shareholding company with shares traded on the stock market	24	6.7%
2	Shareholding company with non-traded shares or shares traded privately	272	75.6%
3	Sole proprietorship	48	13.3%
4	Partnership	10	2.8%

#b1: Legal Status of The Firm

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Limited partnership	5	1.4%
6	Other	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#b1x: Other (Specify)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	B.1. What is this firm's current legal status? Other specify

b3: What Percentage of This Firm Does The Largest Owner(S) Own?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	B.3. What percentage of this firm does the largest owner or owners own?	

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know	v (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1			2	0.6%
2			1	0.3%
5			1	0.3%
15			1	0.3%
17			1	0.3%
18			1	0.3%
20			2	0.6%
25			1	0.3%
30			3	0.8%
32			1	0.3%
39			1	0.3%
40			2	0.6%
45			1	0.3%
49			1	0.3%
50			24	6.7%
51			7	1.9%
52			1	0.3%
53			1	0.3%
55			3	0.8%
58			1	0.3%
60			11	3.1%
69			1	0.3%
70			5	1.4%
73			1	0.3%
74			1	0.3%
76			1	0.3%
78			1	0.3%
84			1	0.3%
85			1	0.3%
1				

b3: What Percentage of This Firm Does The Largest Owner(S) Own?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
86		1	0.3%
89		1	0.3%
90		3	0.8%
95		1	0.3%
99		2	0.6%
100		272	75.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2a: % Owned By Private Domestic Individuals, Companies or organizations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Private domestic individuals, companies or organizations	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		7	1.9%
17		1	0.3%
40		1	0.3%
45		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
51		2	0.6%
76		1	0.3%
84		1	0.3%
95		3	0.8%
100		342	95.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b2b: % Owned By Private Foreign Individuals, Companies or organizations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Private foreign individuals, companies or organizations	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		342	95.0%
5		3	0.8%
16		1	0.3%
24		1	0.3%
49		2	0.6%
50		1	0.3%
55		1	0.3%
58		1	0.3%
60		1	0.3%
100		7	1.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#b2c: % Owned By Government/State

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-25] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-25] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	I iteral question	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Government or State

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		359	99.7%
25		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#b2d: % Owned By Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	B.2. What percentage of this firm is owned by each of the following: Other

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		360	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4: Amongst The Owners of The Firm, Are There Any Females?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	B.4. Amongst the owners of the firm, are there any females?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMB.1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	166	46.1%
2	No	194	53.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b4a: % of The Firm Owned By Females

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=166 /-] [Invalid=194 /-]
Literal question	B.4a. What percentage of the firm is owned by females?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	1.2%
2		2	1.2%
5		5	3.0%
7		1	0.6%
10		3	1.8%
14		1	0.6%
15		1	0.6%
17		1	0.6%
20		3	1.8%
25		6	3.6%

b4a: % of The Firm Owned By Females

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		5	3.0%
31		1	0.6%
33		1	0.6%
40		1	0.6%
44		1	0.6%
45		1	0.6%
49		3	1.8%
50		18	10.8%
51		1	0.6%
60		5	3.0%
70		2	1.2%
80		1	0.6%
85		1	0.6%
99		1	0.6%
100		99	59.6%
Sysmiss		194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb1: % of the Firm Owned By The Same Family

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMB.1. What percentage of the firm is owned by the same family? (If more than one family, refer to the one with largest ownership)
Post-question	If 0 or -9, GO TO BMB.3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		264	73.3%
1		4	1.1%
40		2	0.6%
50		2	0.6%
51		1	0.3%
84		1	0.3%
85		2	0.6%
86		1	0.3%
92		1	0.3%
95		1	0.3%
99		2	0.6%
100		79	21.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb2: % of Family Members in Key Management Positions

Information	on [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	V/ W] [Valid=96 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]	
Literal question BMB.2. What percentage of the key management positions of this firm are occupied by members of this family		

#BMb2: % of Family Members in Key Management Positions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		2	2.1%
1		1	1.0%
10		1	1.0%
20		1	1.0%
35		1	1.0%
50		3	3.1%
51		1	1.0%
60		1	1.0%
80		1	1.0%
92		1	1.0%
99		1	1.0%
100		82	85.4%
Sysmiss		264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb3: Does Firm have Formalized Written Business Strategy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question BMB.3. Does this firm have formalized, written business strategy with clear key performance indicators?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	152	42.2%
2	No	208	57.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb4: Does Firm have Board of Directors or Supervisory Board?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question BMB.4. Does the firm have a board of directors or a supervisory board?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	96	26.7%
2	No	264	73.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb5: Owner/CEO/Top Manager/Board Member Ever Elected/Appointed to Political Position?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMB.5. Has the owner, CEO, top manager, or any of the board members of this firm ever been elected or appointed to a political position in this country?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	40	11.1%

#BMb5: Owner/CEO/Top Manager/Board Member Ever Elected/Appointed to Political Position?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	320	88.9%
Warnings these figures indicate the number of eaces found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the normalism of interest			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb6: Firm Part of a Business Membership organization/Trade Association/Etc.?

Information	n [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question BMB.6. Is this firm part of a business membership organization, trade association, guild, chamber of commerce, or business support group?		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO B.5	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	223	61.9%
2	No	137	38.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb8a: Value of Service: Information/Contacts On International Products & Input Markets

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	Valid=223 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]	
Literal question	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Information or contacts on international input and product markets	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Service not offered (spontaneous)	1	0.4%
1	Not at all useful	12	5.4%
2	Not very useful	36	16.1%
3	Somewhat useful	123	55.2%
4	Very useful	51	22.9%
Sysmiss		137	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb8b: Value of Service: Accrediting Standards or Quality of Products

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=223 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]
Literal question	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Accrediting standards or quality of products

48.0%				
41.3%				
3 Somewhat useful 107 4 Very useful 92 41.3% Sysmiss 137 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

#BMb8c: Value of service: Information on government regulations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=223 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]
Literal question	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Information on government regulations

Value	Label	Cases	Percer	ntage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
-7	Service not offered (spontaneous)	0		
1	Not at all useful	8	3.6%	
2	Not very useful	20	9.0%	
3	Somewhat useful	138		61.9%
4	Very useful	57	25.6%	
Sysmiss		137		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMb8d: Value of service: Influencing regulatory decision-making processes / lobbying

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=223 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]
Literal question	BMB.8. Referring to the most important business association that this firm is part of, how useful are the following services provided to this firm? - Influencing regulatory decision-making processes or "lobbying"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Service not offered (spontaneous)	1	0.4%
1	Not at all useful	101	45.3%
2	Not very useful	70	31.4%
3	Somewhat useful	46	20.6%
4	Very useful	5	2.2%
Sysmiss		137	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b5: Year Establishment Began Operations

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2017] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	B.5. In what year did this establishment begin operations?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1924		1	0.3%
1941		1	0.3%
1942		2	0.6%
1953		1	0.3%
1956		1	0.3%
1958		1	0.3%
1959		2	0.6%
1960		1	0.3%

b5: Year Establishment Began Operations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1964		1	0.3%
1972		1	0.3%
1981		2	0.6%
1984		1	0.3%
1985		1	0.3%
1989		1	0.3%
1990		2	0.6%
1991		7	1.9%
1992		5	1.4%
1993		8	2.2%
1994		8	2.2%
1995		4	1.1%
1996		21	5.8%
1997		13	3.6%
1998		21	5.8%
1999		16	4.4%
2000		24	6.7%
2001		7	1.9%
2002		12	3.3%
2003		16	4.4%
2004		14	3.9%
2005		25	6.9%
2006		23	6.4%
2007		22	6.1%
2008		25	6.9%
2009		14	3.9%
2010		15	4.2%
2011		14	3.9%
2012		7	1.9%
2013		11	3.1%
2014		3	0.8%
2015		4	1.1%
2017		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b6: Number of Full-Time Employees of The Establishment When It Started Operations

Information	Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	B.6. How many full-time employees did this establishment employ when it started operations? Please include all employees and managers.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	3	0.8%
1		15	4.2%
2		46	12.8%

b6: Number of Full-Time Employees of The Establishment When It Started Operations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		40	11.1%
4		28	7.8%
5		49	13.6%
6		21	5.8%
7		7	1.9%
8		19	5.3%
9		4	1.1%
10		23	6.4%
11		2	0.6%
12		5	1.4%
13		1	0.3%
14		4	1.1%
15		8	2.2%
16		3	0.8%
17		2	0.6%
19		2	0.6%
20		17	4.7%
21		2	0.6%
23		3	0.8%
24		1	0.3%
25		5	1.4%
30		7	1.9%
37		1	0.3%
40		4	1.1%
45		1	0.3%
46		1	0.3%
50		4	1.1%
53		1	0.3%
60		5	1.4%
75		1	0.3%
80		2	0.6%
90		3	0.8%
100		4	1.1%
110		2	0.6%
120		4	1.1%
160		1	0.3%
200		2	0.6%
210		1	0.3%
270		1	0.3%
400		1	0.3%
1500		1	0.3%
2002		1	0.3%
2500		1	0.3%

b6: Number of Full-Time Employees of The Establishment When It Started Operations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3000		1	0.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

b6a: Was Establishment Formally Registered When It Began Operations?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	B.6a. Was this establishment formally registered when it began operations?

Label	Cases	Percentage	
Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
Yes	345	95.8	8%
No	15	4.2%	
	Don't know (spontaneous) Yes No	Don't know (spontaneous) 0 Yes 345 No 15	Don't know (spontaneous) Yes 0 95.3

# b6b: In What Year Was This Establishment Formally Registered?		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2017] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question B.6b. In what year was this establishment formally registered?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
7	Never regiestered (spontaneous)	0	
941		1	0.3%
1942		2	0.6%
1953		1	0.3%
1956		1	0.3%
.958		1	0.3%
959		2	0.6%
.960		1	0.3%
964		1	0.3%
972		1	0.3%
981		2	0.6%
984		1	0.3%
985		1	0.3%
989		1	0.3%
990		1	0.3%
991		7	1.9%
992		5	1.4%
993		9	2.5%
994		7	1.9%
995		5	1.4%
996		21	5.8%
997		11	3.1%
998		22	6.1%
999		12	3.3%
000		24	6.7%
001		7	1.9%
002		11	3.1%
003		16	4.4%
004		15	4.2%
005		27	7.5%
006		24	6.7%
.007		22	6.1%
008		24	6.7%
009		14	3.9%
010		17	4.7%
011		14	3.9%
012		8	2.2%
013		12	3.3%
014		3	0.8%
015		4	1.1%

b6b: In What Year Was This Establishment Formally Registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2017		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b7: How Many Years of Experience Working In This Sector Does The Top Manager Have?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-47] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	B.7. How many years of experience working in this sector does the Top Manager have?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	One year or less	5	1.4%
2		4	1.1%
3		7	1.9%
4		4	1.1%
5		6	1.7%
6		15	4.2%
7		12	3.3%
8		7	1.9%
9		15	4.2%
10		29	8.1%
11		19	5.3%
12		20	5.6%
13		18	5.0%
14		12	3.3%
15		17	4.7%
16		11	3.1%
17		14	3.9%
18		14	3.9%
19		17	4.7%
20		28	7.8%
21		10	2.8%
22		11	3.1%
23		7	1.9%
24		8	2.2%
25		11	3.1%
26		3	0.8%
27		5	1.4%
28		3	0.8%
29		2	0.6%
30		8	2.2%
31		1	0.3%
32		1	0.3%
33		1	0.3%
36		2	0.6%
37		1	0.3%
38		2	0.6%

b7: How Many Years of Experience Working In This Sector Does The Top Manager Have?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
39		2	0.6%
40		4	1.1%
42		2	0.6%
44		1	0.3%
47		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b7a: Is The Top Manager Female?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	B.7a. Is the Top Manager female?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	152	42.2%
2	No	208	57.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b8: Does Establishment Have An Internationally-Recognized Quality Certification?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question B.8. Does this establishment have an internationally-recognized quality certification?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-6	Still in process	1	0.3%
1	Yes	43	11.9%
2	No	316	87.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

b8x: Specify The Internationally-Recognized Quality Certifications

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	B.8. Does this establishment have an internationally-recognized quality certification? Specify certifications

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Acsis 180001 Labour Safety Standard		1	2.3%
Насср		1	2.3%
Ico/Iec 17025		1	2.3%
Iso 9001		2	4.5%
Iso 9001 Iso22000		1	2.3%
Iso 9001/2015		1	2.3%
Iso 976:2008		1	2.3%
Iso-9001		1	2.3%
Iso1735		1	2.3%
Iso1845		1	2.3%

# b8x: Specify The Internationally-Recognized Quality Certification		# b8x: Specify	The Internationall	y-Recognized (Quality Certifications
---	--	----------------	--------------------	----------------	------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Iso22000		1	2.3%
Iso22000, Iso9001, Iso/Ies 17025		1	2.3%
Iso9000		3	6.8%
Iso9000 2001		1	2.3%
Iso9001		13	29.5%
Iso9001 Iso14001 Ohsas18001		1	2.3%
Iso9001 Iso22001 Iso18001		1	2.3%
Iso9001,		1	2.3%
Iso9001, Iso14001, Fssc22000		1	2.3%
Iso9001, Iso2008		1	2.3%
Iso9001,2015		1	2.3%
Iso9001.Iso14001		1	2.3%
Iso9001/2015		1	2.3%
Iso9001/25001		1	2.3%
Iso90012015		1	2.3%
Iso9001:2015		2	4.5%
Iso9001:2015 Iso/ Iec17025:2007		1	2.3%
Ohsas180001		1	2.3%

c3: Application To Obtain An Electrical Connection Submitted Over The Last 2 Years

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	cs [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	C.3. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain an electrical connection?	
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO C.6	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	77	21.4%
2	No	283	78.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c4: How Many Days Did It Take For You To Receive An Electrical Connection Service?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-365] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=77 /-] [Invalid=283 /-]	
Literal question	C.4. In reference to that application for an electrical connection, approximately how many days did it take to obtain it from the day of the application to the day the service was received?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	

You To Receive An Electrical Connection Service?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-6	Still in process	1	1.3%
-5	Application denied	1	1.3%
1	One day or less	17	22.1%
2		6	7.8%
3		8	10.4%
4		2	2.6%
5		3	3.9%
7		15	19.5%
14		6	7.8%
22		2	2.6%
30		10	13.0%
60		1	1.3%
90		1	1.3%
120		1	1.3%
180		1	1.3%
365		2	2.6%
Sysmiss		283	

#c5: Informal Gift/Payment Expected or Requested For An Electrical Connection?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=77 /-] [Invalid=283 /-]
Literal question	C.5. In reference to that application for an electrical connection, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	4	5.2%
2	No	73	94.8%
Sysmiss		283	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c6: Over Last FY, Did This Establishment Experience Power Outages?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	C.6. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment experience power outages?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO C.10

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	79	21.9%
2	No	281	78.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c7: Number of Power Outages Experienced In A Typical Month In Last Fiscal Year

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-10] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-10] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=79 /-] [Invalid=281 /-]

c7: Number of Power Outages Experienced In A Typical Month In Last Fiscal Year

Literal question C.7. In a typical month, over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many power outages did this establishment experience?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.3%	
0		5	6.3%	
1		25	31.6	5%
2		24	30.4%	6
3		5	6.3%	
4		6	7.6%	
5		5	6.3%	
6		3	3.8%	
7		2	2.5%	
8		1	1.3%	
10		2	2.5%	
Sysmiss		281		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#c8a: Average Duration of Power Outages: Hours

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-23] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=73 /-] [Invalid=287 /-]	
Literal question C.8. How long did these power outages last on average? Hours	

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.4%		
0		4	5.5%		
1		12		16.	5.4%
2		10		13.7%	
3		7		9.6%	
4		9		12.3%	
5		2	2.7%		
6		3	4.1%		
8		6		8.2%	
9		1	1.4%		
10		3	4.1%		
12		1	1.4%		
18		1	1.4%		
20		1	1.4%		
22		1	1.4%		
23		11		15.1%)
Sysmiss		287			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c8b: Average Duration of Power Outages: Minutes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-59] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=73 /-] [Invalid=287 /-]		
Literal question C.8. How long did these power outages last on average? Minutes -		

#c8b: Average Duration of Power Outages: Minutes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.4%
0		28	38.4%
2		1	1.4%
5		1	1.4%
10		5	6.8%
20		2	2.7%
30		25	34.2%
40		2	2.7%
45		2	2.7%
50		4	5.5%
59		2	2.7%
Sysmiss		287	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c9a: In Last FY, Losses As % of Annual Sales Due To Power Outages

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-10] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=337 /-]	
Literal question	C.9. Please estimate the losses that resulted from power outages either as a percentage of total annual sales or as total annual losses. - Loss as percentage of total annual sales due to power outages	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	8.7%
0	None	15	65.2%
1		4	17.4%
5		1	4.3%
10		1	4.3%
Sysmiss		337	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#c9b: In Last FY, Value of Losses Due To Power Outages

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-170216850] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=56 /-] [Invalid=304 /-]	
Literal question	C.9. Please estimate the losses that resulted from power outages either as a percentage of total annual sales or as total annual losses. - Annual losses due to power outages	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.8%
0	None	30	53.6%
100		1	1.8%
110000		1	1.8%
300000		1	1.8%
1000000		1	1.8%
1080000		1	1.8%
1200000		3	5.4%
2000000		4	7.1%
2500000		1	1.8%

# c9h:	In Last	FY.	Value	of I	osses	Due	T_0	Power	Outages
" C)D.	m Last		v anuc	UL 1	103363	Duc	10	1000	Outages

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2548413		1	1.8%
2600000		1	1.8%
2800000		1	1.8%
3000000		1	1.8%
4300000		1	1.8%
5000000		1	1.8%
13000000		1	1.8%
20000000		1	1.8%
24000000		1	1.8%
30000000		1	1.8%
120000000		1	1.8%
170216850		1	1.8%
Sysmiss		304	

#c10: Generator Shared or Owned Over The Course of Last Fiscal Year?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	C.10. Over the course of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment own or share a generator?		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO C.12		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	97	26.9%
2	No	263	73.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#c11: % Electricity From Generator Owned/Shared By The Establishment In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=97 /-] [Invalid=263 /-]	
Literal question	C.11. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's electricity came from a generator or generators that the establishment owned or shared?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		12	12.4%
1		19	19.6%
2		9	9.3%
3		5	5.2%
4		1	1.0%
5		19	19.6%
6		1	1.0%
7		1	1.0%
10		8	8.2%
15		2	2.1%
20		4	4.1%
25		1	1.0%
30		2	2.1%

# c11: % Electricity From	om Generator Owned/Share	d By The Establishment In Last FY
---------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40		1	1.0%
50		5	5.2%
60		1	1.0%
80		3	3.1%
95		1	1.0%
100		2	2.1%
Sysmiss		263	

c12: Application To Obtain A Water Connection Submitted Over The Last 2 Years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	C.12. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain a water connection		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO C.15		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	45	12.5%
2	No	315	87.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c13: How Many Days Did It Take For You To Obtain A Water Connection?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-60] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=45 /-] [Invalid=315 /-]		
Literal question C.13. In reference to that application for a water connection, approximately how many days did it take to of day of the application to the day the service was received?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-6	Still in process	1	2.2%
-5	Application denied	3	6.7%
1	One day or less	13	28.9%
2		1	2.2%
3		7	15.6%
4		1	2.2%
5		6	13.3%
7		7	15.6%
10		1	2.2%
14		2	4.4%
30		2	4.4%
60		1	2.2%
Sysmiss		315	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c14: When You Applied For A Water Connection, Was An Informal Gift/Payment Requested?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=45 /-] [Invalid=315 /-]	
Literal question	C.14. In reference to that application for a water connection, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?

# c14: When You	Applied For A Water	Connection, Was	An Informal Gift/Pa	yment Requested?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	4	8.9%
2	No	41	91.1%
Sysmiss		315	

#c15: Did You Experience Insufficient Water Supply For Production In Last FY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	tics [NW/ W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question C.15. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment experience insufficient water production?		
Post-question	If 2, 07 or -9, GO TO C.22b	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%
-7	The establishment does not use water for production	13	10.7%
1	Yes	2	1.7%
2	No	101	83.5%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

c16: Frequency of Incidents of Water Shortages In A Typical Month In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-10] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]		
Literal question C.16. In a typical month, over fiscal year [Insert last complete		
Post-question	IF 0 or -9, GO TO C.22b	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1		1	50.0%
10		1	50.0%
Sysmiss		358	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#c17: Average Length of Water Shortages (Affecting Production) In Last Fiscal Year

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-6] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-6] [Missing=*]
		[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]
	Literal question	C.17. How long did these incidents of insufficient water supply last on average?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	One hour or less	0	
3		1	50.0%
6		1	50.0%
Sysmiss		358	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# c22b: Establishment Has Its Own Website	
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question C.22b. At the present time, does this establishment have its own website?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	151	41.9%
2	No	208	57.8%

#c30a: How Much of An Obstacle: Electricity To Operations of This Establishment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	C.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Electricity an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No obstacle	96	26.7%
1	Minor obstacle	161	44.7%
2	Moderate obstacle	62	17.2%
3	Major obstacle	27	7.5%
4	Very severe obstacle	14	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d1a1a: Establishment'S Main Product/Service Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	D.1a1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was this establishment's main activity and product, that is, the activity and product that represented the largest proportion of annual sales?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Manufacturing of	121	33.6%
2	Retail trade of	88	24.4%
3	Wholesale trade of	27	7.5%
4	Construction of	68	18.9%
5	Hotel or restaurant	27	7.5%
6	Provide services of	29	8.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-]
Literal question	D.1a1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was this establishment's main activity and product, that is, the activity and product that represented the largest proportion of annual sales? Detailed description of main activity and product.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Apparel Manufacturing		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Auto Transport Services		1	0.3%
Baked Products Manufacturing		1	0.3%
Baked Products Manufacturing In Large Scale		1	0.3%
Bakery And Food Service		1	0.3%
Bakery To Make School Lunches		1	0.3%
Book Selling Is Main		1	0.3%
Bread And Baked Products		1	0.3%
Bread, Baked Products; Milk And Dairy Products		1	0.3%
Building Installation		8	2.2%
Building Installation Of Heating Systems		1	0.3%
Building Installation: Installation Of Elevators		1	0.3%
Building Installation: Installation Of Elevators And Escalators		1	0.3%
Building Istallation Is Main		1	0.3%
Building Of Roads, Maintenance And Civil Engineering Works		1	0.3%
Building completion		1	0.3%
Building installation		2	0.6%
Building site preparation		1	0.3%
Cable Television And Fm Radio		1	0.3%
Car Sales Is Main		1	0.3%
Cement Production		1	0.3%
Chain Of Retail Supermarkets		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Clothing Making Failor'S Shop		1	0.3%
Construction As Building		3	0.8%
Construction installation And Repair		1	0.3%
Construction Installation Of Heat, Ventilation		1	0.3%
Construction nstallation, Capital Repair		1	0.3%
Construction Installaton And Plumbing Works		1	0.3%
Construction Of Building		1	0.3%
Construction Of Civil Engineered Structures In Whole Or Its Parts Thereof		2	0.6%
Construction Of Civil Engineering		1	0.3%
Construction Of Office And Apartment Buildings		1	0.3%
Construction Of Roads		1	0.3%
Construction Of Roads And Bridges		1	0.3%
Construction of all types of buildings		1	0.3%
Construction of ivil engineering onstructions		1	0.3%
Construction: Building Installation		6	1.7%
Construction: Building Of Constructions		1	0.3%
Customs Clearance And Forms Submission		1	0.3%
Oatabase Activities&Online Distribution Of Media Content		1	0.3%
Dining And Catering Service		1	0.3%

# d1a1x: Main	d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Drugstore, Retail Pharmacy		1	0.3%	
Ecscavator Bucket Tooth, Steel Balls, Milling Machine Moldboard		1	0.3%	
Electric Power Transmission, Installation, Maintenance, Repair		1	0.3%	
Elevator Installation In Construction		1	0.3%	
Felt Footwear		1	0.3%	
Food And Household Items Retail		1	0.3%	
Food Manufacturer, Such As Mayonnaise, Dairy Products		1	0.3%	
Food Products Retail		1	0.3%	
Food Products Sale		1	0.3%	
Food Sales		1	0.3%	
Food Service		1	0.3%	
Food Service At A School Canteen		1	0.3%	
Food Store		1	0.3%	
Food, Bakery Retail Is Major, Hotel, Pub Is Not Main		1	0.3%	
Footwear Manufacturing		1	0.3%	
Grinding Balls Production		1	0.3%	
Grocery Retail		1	0.3%	
Grocery Store		1	0.3%	
Grocery Store And Bakery		1	0.3%	
Grocery store		2	0.6%	
Harvesting Trees, Sawmilling, Producing Of Beams, Planks, Other Wood Products		1	0.3%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Hot Springs Spa Hospitality Accomodation		1	0.3%	
Hotel		9		2.5%
Hotel And Restaurant Where Restaurant Is Leading In Revenue		3	0.8%	
Hotel Is Main. Food Kiosk Is Within The Hotel		1	0.3%	
Hotel Services		1	0.3%	
Hotel, Canteen		1	0.3%	
Iinstallation In Buildings Or Other Construction Projects		1	0.3%	
Import And Sales Of Gasoline		1	0.3%	
Industrial Installation, Service, Maintenance At The Power Plants		1	0.3%	
Interior Decoration, Stucco And Plastering		1	0.3%	
It Services		1	0.3%	
Leather Processing		1	0.3%	
Leather Products And Souvenirs Maker (Wallets, Slippers, Wall Hangings, Dolls Etc.)		1	0.3%	
Light Gauged Steel Framing		1	0.3%	
Lime And Lightweight Concrete Production		1	0.3%	
Making Of Traditional Hand- Embroidered Crafts		1	0.3%	
Manufacture Of Articles Of Concrete And Plaster		1	0.3%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Manufacture Of	Zauti.	1	0.3%
Bakery Products			
Manufacture Of Furnaces		1	0.3%
Manufacture Of Other Articles Of Paper And Paperboard		1	0.3%
Manufacture of wooden goods intended to be used primarily in the construction industry		1	0.3%
Manufacturer Of Beverages And Juices		1	0.3%
Manufacturer Of Flour		1	0.3%
Manufacturer Of National Traditional Clothing		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Wood And Wooden Materials		1	0.3%
Manufacturing And Installation Of Windows Made From Imported Materials		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Steel Structured Construction Materials, Steel Plates, Steel Boilers, Poles		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Baked Products		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Bakery Products		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Bakery Products, Manufacturing Of Dairy Products		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Basic Iron Concentrate		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Beer		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Blocks And		1	0.3%

#d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year Label Value Cases Percentage Bricks Is A Main Activity. Besides, The Firm Is Engaged In Local Road Pavement And Maintenance, Local Transportation Of Goods, Some Constr Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Blocks For Road Paving Manufacturing 0.3% Of Bread And **Baked Products** Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Bread And **Bakery Products** Manufacturing 0.3% Of Bread, Baked Products Manufacturing 0.3% Of Bread, **Bakery Products** Manufacturing 0.3% Of Bricks Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Bricks For Construction Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Carpets And Rugs Manufacturing 0.3% Of Carpets And Rugs, Wool And Felt Products Manufacturing 0.3% Of Cashmere And Wool Products Manufacturing 0.3% Of Cashmere Garments Manufacturing 0.3% Of Cashmere Products Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Cashmere Thread Manufacturing 0.3% Of Cement Manufacturing 0.3% Of Coarse Ground Roasted Barley Flour Manufacturing 0.3% Of Concrete

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Items For The Construction			
Manufacturing Of Concrete Products For Construction Industry		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Construction Materials Including Cement, Plaster		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Construction Materials: Cement, Concrete		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Dairy Products (Sales, Farm, Coal Mine)		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Dairy Products: Ice Cream Manufacturing		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Flour		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Food: Processing And Preserving Of Berries, Fruits And Vegetables		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Footwear		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Footwear From In-House Processed Skins And Felt		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Insulating Materials For Buildings		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Iron Balls		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Meat And Meat Products		1	0.3%
Manufacturing Of Meat And Meat Products: Produce Different Cuts Of Meat For Export		1	0.3%

#d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year Label Cases Value Percentage 0.3% Manufacturing Of Meat Products Manufacturing 0.3% Of Mineral Water Bottled For Food Consumption Manufacturing 0.3% Of Mineral Waters: 5 Liter And 19 Liter Capacity Manufacturing 0.3% Of Products Such As Soap And Detergents Via Chemical Processes Manufacturing 0.3% Of Refractory Ceramic Products Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Sausages, Meat Products Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Socks Manufacturing 1 0.3% Of Spirits Beverages Manufacturing 0.3% Of Tents, Traditional Tents, Horse Accessories, And Other Textile Sewing Products Manufacturing 0.3% Of Textile Clothing Made From Goats Cashmere, Sheep Wool, Yak Down Manufacturing 0.3% Of The Dairy Products Manufacturing 0.3% Of Traditional National Clothing From Silk Manufacturing 0.3% Of Wine. In Addition, Some Activities To Make Concrete Poles

# d1a1x: Mair	d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
Manufacturing Of Wood Products		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing Of Wooden Boards And Beams		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing Of Wooden Furniture		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing Of Wooden Products Such As Nomad Chests, Interior For Uyrts, Traditional Mongolian Dwellings		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing Of Wooden Products Such As Plywood, Fences, Lattice, Timber, Boards, Forest Cleaning And Restoration		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing Of Wool And Cashmere Products		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing Of Work Clothes And Uniforms		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing School Uniforms		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing: Preparation And Spinning Of Textile Fibers: Processing Of Cashmere And Wool		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing: Processing Of Livestock Casings In Accordance With Hte Euro Standards (Production, Processing & Preserving Of Meat And Meat Products)		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing: Saw Milling And Planing Of Wood		1	0.3%		
Manufacturing: Seabuckthorn		1	0.3%		

#d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year Label Cases Value Percentage Juice Making And Preserving (Processing And Preserving Of Fruit And Vegetables) Manunfacturing 0.3% Of Knitted Cashmere Garments For Men, Women, And Children Meat And Meat 2 0.6% Products Milk And Dairy 0.3% Production Multicultural 0.3% Food Newspaper 0.3% Production Other Services: 0.3% Building Completion Including Indoor Works, Decorations, Plumbing And Repair 0.3% Other Services: 1 **Building Of** Complete Constructions Or Parts Other Services: 1 0.3% Construction Completion As Well As Renovations Other Services: 2 0.6% Construction Installation Other Services: 0.3% Construction Installation With Construction Decoration Other Services: 0.3% Construction Of Complete And Parts, Civil Engineering. Includes Road Construction, Maintenance, Repair Other Services: 0.3% Construction Of Complete Building And Parts Thereof, Civil

#d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year Label Cases Value Percentage Engineering Including Well Drilling, Road And Bridge Construction Other Services: 0.3% Hotel Other Services: 0.3% Wholesale Trade Of Food Products Passenger 0.3% Transportation Company Passenger 0.3% Transportation Over Land In Cars Pharmacy 0.3% Power And 0.3% Electrical Installation In Construction Power 1 0.3% Equipment Installation, Repair 0.3% Printing Factory 1 Printing Of 0.3% Small Leaflets, Cards Processing 0.3% Of Livestock Intestines Procurement 0.3% And Sale Of Livestock Raw Products Production And 1 0.3% Sale Of Meat, Meat Products Production 0.3% Of Bread And **Bakery Products** Production Of 0.3% Concrete And Furthermore Use It In Its Own Construction Sites Production Of 0.3% Flour Production Of 0.3% Food Products Such As Bread, Baked Products, Dairy Products, Meat Products,

Candies,

# d1a1x: Main	d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
Beverages And Soft Drinks					
Production Of Ger (Traditional Felt Covered Wooden Stretchable Carcas Dwelling), Modern Ger And Ger Furniture, Including For Export		1	0.3%		
Production Of Grain Milled Products		1	0.3%		
Production Of Milk And Dairy Products		1	0.3%		
Production Of Paper Products Out Of Imported Cellulose		1	0.3%		
Production Of Plastic Windows For Construction, Service And Repair Of Windows, Doors		1	0.3%		
Production Of Sofa And Furniture		1	0.3%		
Providing Heavy Tracks Services: Transportation Services, Trucks With Driver		1	0.3%		
Providing Services Of Passenger Transportation Services (Scheduled Bus Services)		1	0.3%		
Publishing Company: Books, Textbooks, Magazines, Packaging, Brochures		1	0.3%		
Publishing Materials Production		1	0.3%		
Pure Copper Rods Production, Copper Wires For Electricity,		1	0.3%		

	Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales	1	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Copper Rolls And Sheets			
Pure Water Bottling		1	0.3%
Renting Of Trucks For Mining Companies		1	0.3%
Restaurant		4	1.1%
Restaurant Activities		1	0.3%
Restaurants, Bars And Canteens		1	0.3%
Retail Sale Of Food Products		1	0.3%
Retail : Retail Of Automotive Fuel		1	0.3%
Retail In Food And Small Household Items		1	0.3%
Retail In Specialized Stores: Sale Of Weapon And Ammunition For Hunters		1	0.3%
Retail Of Appliances		1	0.3%
Retail Of Auto Parts Imported From Japan		1	0.3%
Retail Of Automotive Fuel /Initial Start Up Was Manufacturing Of Construction Blocks/		1	0.3%
Retail Of Construction Materials And Hardware		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food And A Wide Range Of Hh Products (Growing Up To Become A Wholeseller)		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food And Household Goods		2	0.6%
Retail Of Food And Household Items		3	0.8%

	Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Retail Of Food And Miscellaneous Hardware And Household Items		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food Products		5	1.4%
Retail Of Food Products And Household Items		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food Products Predominantly With Household Items		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food Products. Engaged In Consulting Services Of Hr, Own Hotel, Coffee Shop		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food, Beverages And Tobacco, Including In- House Made Dumplings		1	0.3%
Retail Of Food, Household Items And Furniture		1	0.3%
Retail Of Household Items And Hardware Is Main		1	0.3%
Retail Of Houshold Items, Appliances And Articles		1	0.3%
Retail Of Outdoor Activities Products Including Clothing		1	0.3%
Retail Of Pharmaceutical And Medical Products		1	0.3%
Retail Of Pharmaceutical, Medical And Cosmetic Goods		1	0.3%
Retail Of Pharmaceuticals And Medications: With Prescriptions And Other		1	0.3%

# d1a1x: Mair	d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
Retail Of Pharmacy Products		2	0.6%		
Retail Sale In Non-Specialized Stores With Food, Tobacco And Beverages Predominating: Retail Of Food And Household Products		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of A Large Variety Of Goods Of Which Food Products, Beverages Or Tobacco Are Not Predominant		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Electrical Appliances		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food And Beverages		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food And Consumer Products		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food And Household Items		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food Products		6	1.7%		
Retail Sale Of Food Products And Beverages		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food Products And Small Household Items		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food Products, Beverages And Tobacco As Well As Small Household Items		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Food, Beverages And Tobacco As Well As With Household Items		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Household Appliances,		1	0.3%		
Retail Sale Of Motor		1	0.3%		

#d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year Label Cases Value Percentage Vehicle Parts& Accessories Retail Sale Of 0.3% Office Supplies Retail Sale Of 0.3% Pharmaceutical And Cosmetic Retail Sale Of 1 0.3% Pharmaceuticals Goods Retail Sale 0.3% Of Sanitary Appliances Retail Sale Via 0.3% 1 Markets And Stalls Retail Sales Of 1 0.3% Fuel Retail Sales Of 0.3% Household Items Retail Sales Of 0.3% Pharmaceuticals, Medical Goods Also Wholesale Retail Sales Of 0.3% Vine Retail Sales: 0.3% Retail Sales In Non-Specialized Stores Including Household, Souvenirs, Cosmetics, Musical Goods, Furniture, Hardware Retail Store Of 0.3% Apparel And Miscellaneous Household Products Retail Store Of 0.3% 1 Food Product. There Is A Restaurant, As Well. Retail Trade 0.3% In Chain Department Stores Of Food, Tobacco And Beverages Retail Trade Of 0.3% Automotive Fuel Retail sale 0.3% of radio and television equipment and other household

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
audio/visual equipment			
Retail: Retail Of Food Products/ Small Store		1	0.3%
Retail: Retail Sales Of Food Products		1	0.3%
Retail: Sale Of Household Appliinaces, Articles& Equipment. Engaged In Procurement Of Raw Hides, Wool, And Foreign Trade		1	0.3%
Retail: Sale Of Pharmaceuticals, Medical Equipment And Cosmetic Products		1	0.3%
Road Construction		1	0.3%
Sales Of Liquid Gas To Fill In Gas Operated Cars		1	0.3%
Saw Milling And Wood Planing		2	0.6%
Services Of Cargo Transportation Over The Land		1	0.3%
Services Of Construction Installation		1	0.3%
Services Of Construction Of Roads And Public Paved Areas		1	0.3%
Services Of Hotel		1	0.3%
Services Of Road Construction		1	0.3%
Services Of Tour Operators, Tourist Locations, Hotels		1	0.3%
Services: Activities Of Travel Agencies And Transportation:		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ales Of Air ight Tickets			
ervices: Building Completion		1	0.3%
ervices: duilding nstallation, Ventilation, Air Conditioning, defrigerating ystems nstallation		1	0.3%
ervices: Construction Completion		1	0.3%
ervices: Construction nstallation		1	0.3%
ervices: Construction of Roads and Bridges Construction of Complete Or arts And Civil		2	0.6%
ervices: Construction Of Coads And Road Carts		1	0.3%
ervices: Hotel and Restaurant		1	0.3%
ervices: destaraunts, Bars, Canteens With Food Making		1	0.3%
ervices: Restaurant, Bar, Karaoke		1	0.3%
ervices: Restaurant, Bars, Canteens		1	0.3%
ervices: Retail of Automotive fuel		1	0.3%
ervices: cheduled Air ransport		1	0.3%
ervices: Vholesale Of Medical Equipment And Hips, Knee'S Replacement Parts		1	0.3%
teel And Concrete Bridges,		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Armored Concrete, Construction, Heavy And Civil Engineering			0
Supermarket With Permission To Sell Alcohol		1	0.3%
Sweet Baked Goods, Pastry And Cakes, Fast Food		1	0.3%
Tailor Made Clothing And Repair		1	0.3%
The Main Income- Generation Comes From Publishing Books, Their Sale, Producing Of Printed Materials And Sale		1	0.3%
The Pavement Plates Manufactured Locally Supplied To And Supported The Main Activity Of The Company - Pavement Of Pedestrian Ways, Therefore, Choosing Other Services Or Construction F, Is The Final Service		1	0.3%
The Wholesale Of Gasoline And Fuels As Well As Retail		1	0.3%
Tourism		1	0.3%
Transportation Services Over Land		1	0.3%
Veterinary Medication, Tools, Livestock Fodder Trade Including Foreign		1	0.3%
Wholesale And Retail Of Food Products,		1	0.3%

#d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year Label Cases Value Percentage Wholeslae Is Major Wholesale 0.3% Of Beverages Including Alcoholic And Mineral Waters Wholesale Of 0.3% A Variety Of GoodsWholesale Of 0.3% Auto Gasoline And Fuel Takes The Majority Of Sales Wholesale Of 2 0.6% Construction Materials Wholesale Of 0.3% Food Wholesale Of 0.3% Food Is Main Wholesale Of 1.9% 7 Food Products Wholesale Of 0.3% Food, Beverages Wholesale Of 0.3% Household Products Such As Shampoo, Toothpaste, Cleaning Products Etc Wholesale Of 0.3% Meat And Meat Products Wholesale Of 0.3% Medications And Pharmacy As Major, With Manufactury Of Pharmacy Wholesale Of 0.3% Pharmaceutical Goods Wholesale 0.3% Trade Of Pharmaceuticals Wholesale 0.3% 1 of renewable energy equipment Wholesale of 0.3% 1 work clothing Wholesale: 0.3% Wholesale Of Food, Household Items And Some Retail

d1a1x: Main Product/Service (By The Largest % of Annual Sales) In Last Fiscal Year

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Wood Production		2	0.6%
Wooden Structures And Materials For The Construction Industry		1	0.3%
Ã#¡Onstruction Of Reinforcing Bars		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d1a3: Main Product/Service: Percent of Total Annual Sales

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	D.1a3. What percentage of total sales does the main activity or product represent?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
10		1	0.3%
15		1	0.3%
20		2	0.6%
30		2	0.6%
40		3	0.8%
50		4	1.1%
60		8	2.2%
64		1	0.3%
70		9	2.5%
75		1	0.3%
80		17	4.7%
85		2	0.6%
90		11	3.1%
93		1	0.3%
95		4	1.1%
98		1	0.3%
100		291	80.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d2: In Last Fiscal Year, What Were This Establishment'S Total Annual Sales?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-667760871000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	D.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were this establishment's total annual sales for all products and services?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.6%
250000		1	0.3%
14000000		2	0.6%
14025000		1	0.3%

alue Label	Cases	Percentage
37000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
000000	1	0.3%
000000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
992340	1	0.3%
000000	1	0.3%
20000	1	0.3%
0000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
177200	1	0.3%
000000	1	0.3%
243400	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	3	0.8%
6800	1	0.3%
54000	1	0.3%
00000		
0000	1	0.3%
	1	0.3%
0000	1	0.3%
0000	1	0.3%
00000	4	1.1%
00000	1	0.3%
0000	2	0.6%
90000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	2	0.6%
59000	1	0.3%
80000	1	0.3%
10600	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
0000	1	0.3%
0000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
36200	1	0.3%
00000	1	0.3%
0000	1	0.3%
0000	1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
00000000		9		2.5%
00417683		1	0.3%	
00800000		1	0.3%	
06225625		1	0.3%	
09541400		1	0.3%	
114981800		1	0.3%	
20000000		4	1.1%	
20816600		1	0.3%	
23000000		1	0.3%	
124000000		1	0.3%	
25000000		2	0.6%	
30000000		2	0.6%	
31000000		1	0.3%	
33000000		1	0.3%	
136000000		1	0.3%	
136825900		1	0.3%	
146800000		1	0.3%	
48000000		1	0.3%	
50000000		1	0.3%	
58000000		1	0.3%	
59000000		1	0.3%	
160000000		2	0.6%	
162273900		1	0.3%	
64000000		1	0.3%	
65000000		1	0.3%	
169000000		1	0.3%	
70000000		2	0.6%	
182360000		1	0.3%	
82500000		1	0.3%	
187000000		1	0.3%	
93000000		1	0.3%	
194858000		1	0.3%	
98000000		1	0.3%	
200000000		3	0.8%	
201000000		1	0.3%	
203000000		1	0.3%	
220000000		1	0.3%	
230000000		1	0.3%	
233793303		1	0.3%	
34000000		1	0.3%	
236420000		1	0.3%	
240000000		1	0.3%	
250000000		6	1.7%	
51550000 65000000		1	0.3%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
270000000		1	0.3%
281000000		1	0.3%
288363800		1	0.3%
290000000		2	0.6%
00000000		4	1.1%
10000000		1	0.3%
20000000		2	0.6%
330000000		1	0.3%
333031600		1	0.3%
350000000		4	1.1%
367300000		1	0.3%
368000000		1	0.3%
369780400		1	0.3%
370000000		1	0.3%
380000000		1	0.3%
387000000		1	0.3%
389390000		1	0.3%
400000000		3	0.8%
400132900		1	0.3%
430000000		1	0.3%
450000000		3	0.8%
460763507		1	0.3%
465832111		1	0.3%
470000000		1	0.3%
479876000		1	0.3%
480994300		1	0.3%
500000000		2	0.6%
504000000		1	0.3%
505148500		1	0.3%
511545400		1	0.3%
513000000		1	0.3%
527000000		1	0.3%
544480000		1	0.3%
54480000		1	0.3%
545600000		1	0.3%
555649900		1	0.3%
560000000		1	0.3%
565000000			0.3%
		1	0.3%
580000000		1	
591430000		1	0.3%
598000000		1	0.3%
650000000		1	0.3%
670000000		1	0.3%
678840000		1	0.3%
590000000		1	0.3%

	d2: In Last Fiscal Year, What Were This Establishment'S Total Annual Sales?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
695910000		1	0.3%	
700000000		1	0.3%	
710000000		1	0.3%	
720000000		1	0.3%	
738415000		1	0.3%	
740000000		1	0.3%	
798653000		1	0.3%	
800000000		3	0.8%	
804000000		1	0.3%	
806000000		1	0.3%	
817783767		1	0.3%	
828000000		1	0.3%	
836397300		1	0.3%	
843222865		1	0.3%	
881000000		1	0.3%	
900000000		5	1.4%	
920000000		1	0.3%	
922930000		1	0.3%	
925000000		1	0.3%	
932000000		1	0.3%	
940000000		2	0.6%	
950000000		1	0.3%	
1000000000		8	2.2%	
1001207000		1	0.3%	
1056470757		1	0.3%	
1057000000		1	0.3%	
1093759145		1	0.3%	
1200000000		3	0.8%	
1300000000		2	0.6%	
1310000000		1	0.3%	
1400000000		1	0.3%	
1500000000		1	0.3%	
1506647900		1	0.3%	
1546000000		1	0.3%	
1577439000		1	0.3%	
1704000000		1	0.3%	
1787000000		1	0.3%	
1795000000		1	0.3%	
1800000000		2	0.6%	
1831474400		1	0.3%	
1867859100		1	0.3%	
1905000000		1	0.3%	
1942707600		1	0.3%	
1960000000		1	0.3%	
2000000000		6	1.7%	
2000000000		0	1.7%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2006697104		1	0.3%
2079720000		1	0.3%
205161000		1	0.3%
300000000		1	0.3%
2312000000		1	0.3%
2340000000		1	0.3%
2480000000		1	0.3%
2497000000		1	0.3%
2500000000		3	0.8%
2548413123		1	0.3%
2550700000		1	0.3%
2627519000		1	0.3%
2631000000		1	0.3%
2665000000		1	0.3%
2791650700		1	0.3%
2960400000		1	0.3%
2991520100		1	0.3%
800000000		4	1.1%
200000000		1	0.3%
390652837		1	0.3%
3400000000		1	0.3%
3500000000		1	0.3%
3624000000		1	0.3%
3700000000		1	0.3%
8819648190		1	0.3%
8823400000		1	0.3%
000000000		3	0.8%
1038148300		1	0.3%
1252764200		1	0.3%
1500000000		2	0.6%
1700000000		1	0.3%
5000000000		5	1.4%
6094000000		1	0.3%
300000000		1	0.3%
5528984000		1	0.3%
5624000000		1	0.3%
635847340		1	0.3%
800000000		1	0.3%
000000000		2	0.6%
5112895700		1	0.3%
320000000		1	0.3%
5432000000		1	0.3%
550000000		1	0.3%
7063000000		1	0.3%
		1	3.370

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7878685700		1	0.3%
24000000		1	0.3%
700000000		1	0.3%
3961000000		1	0.3%
000000000		1	0.3%
940000000		2	0.6%
0000000000		1	0.3%
1553700000		1	0.3%
2000000000		2	0.6%
3000000000		1	0.3%
4538365800		1	0.3%
16000000000		1	0.3%
19200000000		1	0.3%
			0.8%
20000000000		3	
22310000000		1	0.3%
23023000000		1	0.3%
4000000000		1	0.3%
5000000000		2	0.6%
6840000000		1	0.3%
7302722400		1	0.3%
9990800000		1	0.3%
0000000000		2	0.6%
0900000000		1	0.3%
3000000000		1	0.3%
5000000000		1	0.3%
8000000000		1	0.3%
0000000000		1	0.3%
3000000000		1	0.3%
6603538500		1	0.3%
18000000000		1	0.3%
50000000000		1	0.3%
2464520000		1	0.3%
58338482000		1	
			0.3%
50000000000		1	0.3%
2000000000		1	0.3%
55000000000		2	0.6%
0000000000		1	0.3%
6000000000		1	0.3%
9900000000		1	0.3%
120000000000		1	0.3%
239000000000		1	0.3%
287000000000		1	0.3%
391000000000		1	0.3%
667760871000		1	0.3%

# d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=358 /-]		
Literal question	D.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were this establishment's total annual sales for all products and services? Other specify		

		services? Other specify			
Value	Label		Cases	Po	ercentage
Eight Billion Nine Hundred Sixty One Million			1	0.3%	
Eight Billion Seven Hundred Million			1	0.3%	
Eight Billion Two Hundred Forty Million			1	0.3%	
Eight Hundred And Four Million			1	0.3%	
Eight Hundred And Seventeen Million Seven Hundred And Eighty-Three Thousand Seven Hundred And Sixty Seven			1	0.3%	
Eight Hundred Eighty One Million			1	0.3%	
Eight Hundred Forty-Three Million Two Hundred Twenty Two Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-Five			I	0.3%	
Eight Hundred Million			3	0.8%	
Eight Hundred Six Million			1	0.3%	
Eight Hundred Thirty Six Million Three Hundred Ninety Seven Thousand Three Hundred			1	0.3%	
Eight Hundred Twenty Eight Million			1	0.3%	
Eighteen Million			1	0.3%	
Eighty Five Million Two Hundred Thirty Six Thousand Two Hundred			1	0.3%	
Eighty Million			1	0.3%	
Eighty One Million			1	0.3%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Eighty Two Million		1	0.3%
Eleven Billion Five Hundred Fifty-Three Million Seven Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Fifty Billion		1	0.3%
Fifty Eight Billion Three Hundred Thirty Eight Million Four Hundred Eighty Two Thousand		1	0.3%
Fifty Eight Million Six Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Fifty Million Two Hundred Forty-Three Thousand Four Hundred		1	0.3%
Fifty Nine Million		1	0.3%
Fifty Nine Million One Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Fifty One Million Five Hundred Thousands		1	0.3%
Fifty One Million One Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Fifty Six Million		1	0.3%
Fifty Three Million Forty- Six Thousand Eight Hundred		1	0.3%
Fifty Two Billion Four Hundred Sixty Four Million Five Hundred Twenty Thousands		1	0.3%
Fifty Two Million		3	0.8%
Five Billion		4	1.1%
Five Billion Eight Hundred Million		1	0.3%
Five Billion Five Hundred Twenty		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Eight Million Nine Hundred Eighty Four Thousands			
Five Billion Ninety Four Million		1	0.3%
Five Billion Six Hundred Thirty Five Million Eight Hundred Forty Seven Thousand Three Hundred Forty		1	0.3%
Five Billion Six Hundred Twenty Four Million		1	0.3%
Five Billion Three Hundred Million		1	0.3%
Five Billion.		1	0.3%
Five Hundred And Four Million		1	0.3%
Five Hundred And Ninety- Eight Million		1	0.3%
Five Hundred And Ninety-One Million, Four Hundred And Thirty Thousand		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Eighty Million		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Eleven Million Five Hundred Forty-Five Thousand Four Hundred		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Fifty-Five Million Six Hundred Forty- Nine Thousand Nine Hundred		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Five Million One Hundred Forty Eight Thousand Five Hundred		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Forty Five Million Six Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Forty Four Million Eight		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Hundred Thousand			
Five Hundred Fourty Four Million, Four Hundred Eighty Thousand		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Million		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Millions		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Sixty Five Million		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Sixty Millions		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Thirteen Million		1	0.3%
Five Hundred Twenty Seven Million		1	0.3%
Forty Billions		1	0.3%
Forty Eight Billion		1	0.3%
Forty Eight Million		1	0.3%
Forty Five Million One Hundred Seventy-Seven Thousand Two Hundred		1	0.3%
Forty Million		1	0.3%
Forty Million Five Hundred Twenty Thousand		1	0.3%
Forty Six Billion Six Hundred Three Million Five Hundred Thirty-Eight Thousand Five Hundred		1	0.3%
Forty Three Billion		1	0.3%
Forty Three Million Three Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Forty Two Million Eight Hundred Fifty Thousand		1	0.3%
Four Billion		3	0.8%

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Value Cases Percentage Four Billion 2 0.6% Five Hundred Million Four Billion 0.3% Seven Hundred Million Four Billion 0.3% Thirty Eight Million One Hundred Forty-Eight Thousand Three Hundred Four Billion 0.3% Two Hundred Fifty-Two Million Seven Hundred Sixty Four Thousand Two Hundred Four Hundred 0.3% Eighty Million Nine Hundred Ninety-Four Thousand Three Hundred Four Hundred 3 0.8% Fifty Million Four Hundred 2 0.6% Million Four Hundred 0.3% Million One **Hundred Thirty** Two Thousand Nine Hundred Four Hundred 0.3% Millions Four Hundred 0.3% Seventy Millions Four Hundred 0.3% Seventy Nine Million Eight Hundred Seventy Six Thousand 0.3% Four Hundred Sixty Five Million Eight Hundred Thirty Two Thousand One Hundred Eleven Four Hundred 0.3% Sixty Million Seven Hundred Sixty-Three Thousand Five Hundred Seven Four Hundred 0.3% Thirty Million

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Value Cases Percentage Fourteen Billion 0.3% Five Hundred Thirty Eight Million Three Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand Eight Hundred Fourteen Million 2 0.6% Fourteen Million 0.3% Twenty Five Thousand Nine Billion 0.3% Nine Billion 2 0.6% Four Hundred Million Nine Hundred 0.3% 1 Fifty Million Nine Hundred 1 0.3% Forty Million Nine Hundred 0.3% Forty Millions Nine Hundred 5 1.4% Million Nine Hundred 0.3% Thirty Two Million Nine Hundred 0.3% Twenty Million Nine Hundred 0.3% Twenty Two Million Nine Hundred Thirty Thousand Nine Hundred 0.3% Twenty-Five Million Nineteen Billion 0.3% 1 Two Hundred Million Ninety Eight 0.3% Million Ninety Five 0.3% Million Two Hundred Thousand Ninety Million 0.3% 1 Ninety Nine 0.3% Billion Ninety-Six 0.3% Billion One Billion 7 2.0% One Billion 2 0.6% Eight Hundred Million One Billion 1 0.3% Eight Hundred

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sixty Seven Million Eight Hundred Fifty Nine Thousand One Hundred			
One Billion Eight Hundred Thirty-One Million Four Hundred Seventy-Four Thousand Four Hundred		1	0.3%
One Billion Fifty Seven Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Fifty-Six Million Four Hundred Seventy Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty- Seven		1	0.3%
One Billion Five Hundred And Six Million Six Hundred And Forty-Seven Thousand Nine Hundred		1	0.3%
One Billion Five Hundred Forty Six Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Five Hundred Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Five Hundred Seventy Seven Million Four Hundred Thirty Nine Thousand		1	0.3%
One Billion Four Hundred Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Nine Hundred And Sixty Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Nine Hundred Five Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Nine Hundred Forty- Two Million Seven Hundred Seven Thousand Six Hundred		1	0.3%
One Billion Ninety Three Million Seven Hundred Fifty Nine Thousand		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
One Hundred Forty-Five			
One Billion One Million Two Hundred Seven Thousand		1	0.3%
One Billion Seven Hundred And Ninety-Five Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Seven Hundred Eighty Seven Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Seven Hundred Four Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Three Hundred Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Three Hundred Millions		1	0.3%
One Billion Three Hundred Ten Million		1	0.3%
One Billion Two Hundred Million		2	0.6%
One Billion Two Hundred Millions		1	0.3%
One Billion.		1	0.3%
One Hundred Twenty-Five Million		1	0.3%
One Hundred And Fifty-Nine Million.		1	0.3%
One Hundred And Thirty-One Million		1	0.3%
One Hundred Eighty Two Million Five Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
One Hundred Eighty Two Million Three Hundred Sixty Thousand		1	0.3%
One Hundred Eighty-Seven Million		1	0.3%
One Hundred Fifty Eight Million		1	0.3%

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Cases Value Percentage One Hundred 0.3% Fifty Million One Hundred 0.3% 1 Forty Eight Million One Hundred 0.3% Forty Six Million Eight Hundred Thousand One Hundred 0.3% Fourteen Million Nine Hundred Eighty One Thousand Eight Hundred One Hundred 8 2.2% Million One Hundred 0.3% Million Eight Hundred Thousand One Hundred 0.3% Million Four Hundred Seventeen Thousand Six Hundred Eighty Three One Hundred 0.3% Millions One Hundred 0.3% Nine Million Five Hundred Forty-One Thousand Four Hundred One Hundred 1 0.3% Ninety Eight Million One Hundred 0.3% Ninety Four Million Eight Hundred Fifty-Eight Thousand One Hundred 1 0.3% Ninety Three Million One Hundred 0.3% Seventy Million One Hundred 1 0.3% Seventy Millions One Hundred 0.3% Six Million Two Hundred Twenty Five Thousand Six Hundred Twenty Five

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Value Cases Percentage One Hundred 0.3% Sixty Five Million One Hundred 2 0.6% Sixty Million One Hundred 0.3% Sixty Nine Million One Hundred 0.3% Sixty-Four Million One Hundred 1 0.3% Sixty-Two Million Two Hundred Seventy Three Thousand Nine Hundred One Hundred 1 0.3% Thirty Million One Hundred 1 0.3% Thirty Millions One Hundred 1 0.3% Thirty Six Million One Hundred 0.3% Thirty Six Million Eight Hundred Twenty Five Thousand Nine Hundred One Hundred 0.3% Thirty Three Million 0.3% One Hundred Twenty Billion One Hundred 0.3% 1 Twenty Five Million One Hundred 0.3% Twenty Four Million One Hundred 4 1.1% Twenty Million One Hundred 0.3% Twenty Million Eight Hundred Sixteen Thousand Six Hundred One Hundred 0.3% Twenty Three Million Seven Billion 0.3% Eight Hundred And Seventy-Eight Million Six Hundred And Eighty-Five

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Cases Value Percentage Thousand Seven Hundred Seven Billion 0.3% Six Hundred Six Million Two Hundred Eighty-Eight Thousand Seven Billion 0.3% Sixty-Three Million Seven Hundred 0.3% And Forty Million Seven Hundred 0.3% Million Seven Hundred 0.3% Ninety Eight Million Six Hundred Fifty Three Thousand Seven Hundred 0.3% Ten Million Seven Hundred 0.3% Thirty Eight Million Four Hundred Fifteen Thousand 0.3% Seven Hundred 1 Twenty Million Seventeen 0.3% Million Five Hundred Thirty Seven Thousand Seventy Billion 0.3% 1 Seventy Five 0.3% Million Seventy Million 2 0.6% Seventy Million 0.3% Six Hundred Fifty Nine Thousand Seventy One 0.3% Million Two Hundred Eighty Thousand Seventy-0.3% Four Million Nine Hundred Thousand

0.3%

0.3%

0.6%

2

Seventy-Four

Six Billion Five

Hundred Million

Million Ten Thousand Six Hundred Six Billion

# d2x: Please A	d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Six Billion Four Hundred Thirty Two Million		1	0.3%	
Six Billion One Hundred Twelve Million Eight Hundred Ninety- Five Thousand Seven Hundred		1	0.3%	
Six Billion Three Hundred Twenty Million		1	0.3%	
Six Hundred Fifty Million		1	0.3%	
Six Hundred Ninety Five Million Nine Hundred Ten Thousand		1	0.3%	
Six Hundred Ninety Million		1	0.3%	
Six Hundred Seventy Million		1	0.3%	
Six Hundred Seventy-Eight Million Eight Hundred Forty Thousand		1	0.3%	
Six Hundred Sixty Seven Billion Seven Hundred Sixty Million Eight Hundred Seventy One Thousand		1	0.3%	
Sixteen Billion		1	0.3%	
Sixty Billion		1	0.3%	
Sixty Five Billion		1	0.3%	
Sixty Five Million		2	0.6%	
Sixty Five Million Seven Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%	
Sixty Million		3	0.8%	
Sixty Million.		1	0.3%	
Sixty Nine Million		1	0.3%	
Sixty Two Billion		1	0.3%	
Sixty Two Million Two Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%	

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Value Cases Percentage Sixty-Five 0.3% Billion Ten Billion 0.3% Thirteen Billion 0.3% Thirty Billion 2 0.6% Thirty Billion 0.3% 1 Nine Hundred Million Thirty Eight 0.3% Billion Thirty Five 0.3% Billion Thirty Million 0.3% Thirty Nine 0.3% Million Nine Hundred Ninety-Two Thousand Three Hundred Forty Thirty Six 0.3% Million Thirty Three 0.3% Billion Three Billion 4 1.1% Three Billion 0.3% Eight Hundred Nineteen Million Six Hundred Forty-Eight Thousands One Hundred Ninety Three Billion 0.3% Eight Hundred Twenty Three Million Four Hundred Thousand Three Billion 0.3% Five Hundred Million Three Billion 0.3% Four Hundred Million Three Billion 0.3% Seven Hundred Million Three Billion 0.3% Six Hundred Twenty Four Million Three Billion 0.3% Three Hundred And Ninety Million Six Hundred And Fifty-Two Thousand Eight

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Hundred And Thirty Seven			
Three Billion Two Hundred Millions		1	0.3%
Three Hundred And Fifty Million		1	0.3%
Three Hundred And Seventy Million		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Eighty Million		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Eighty Nine Million Three Hundred Ninety Thousand		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Eighty-Seven Million		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Fifty Million		3	0.8%
Three Hundred Million		3	0.8%
Three Hundred Million.		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Ninety One Billion		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Sixty Eight Million		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Sixty Nine Million Seven Hundred Eighty Thousand Four Hundred		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Sixty Seven Million Three Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Ten Million		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Thirty Millions		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Thirty-Three Million Thirty One Thousand Six Hundred		1	0.3%
Three Hundred Twenty Million		2	0.6%
Twelve Billion		2	0.6%
Twenty Billion		2	0.6%

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Cases Value Percentage Twenty Billions 0.3% Twenty Five 2 0.6% Billion Twenty Four 0.3% Billion Twenty Four 0.3% Million Twenty Million 0.3% Twenty Seven 0.3% Billion Three Hundred Two Million Seven Hundred Twenty Two Thousand Four Hundred Twenty Six 0.3% Billion Eight Hundred Forty Million Twenty Three 0.3% Billion Twenty Three Million Twenty Three 0.3% Million Twenty Two 0.3% Billion Three Hundred Ten Million Twenty-Nine 0.3% Billion Nine Hundred Ninety Million Eight Hundred Thousand Twenty-Two 0.3% Million Two Billion 1.7% 6 Two Billion Five 0.3% Hundred And Fifty Million Seven Hundred Thousand Two Billion Five 0.3% Hundred Forty Eight Million Four Hundred Thirteen Thousand One Hundred Twenty Three Two Billion Five 0.8% 3 Hundred Million Two Billion 0.3% Four Hundred Eighty Million Two Billion 0.3% 1 Four Hundred

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ninety Seven Million			
Two Billion Nine Hundred Ninety One Million Five Hundred Twenty Thousand One Hundred		1	0.3%
Two Billion Nine Hundred Sixty Million Four Hundred Thousand		1	0.3%
Two Billion Seven Hundred Ninety One Million Six Hundred Fifty Thousand Seven Hundred		1	0.3%
Two Billion Six Hundred And Sixty-Five Million		1	0.3%
Two Billion Six Hundred Thirty One Million		1	0.3%
Two Billion Six Hundred Twenty Seven Million Five Hundred Nineteen Thousands		1	0.3%
Two Billion Six Million Six Hundred Ninety Seven Thousands One Hundred Four		1	0.3%
Two Billion Three Hundred Forty Million		1	0.3%
Two Billion Three Hundred Million		1	0.3%
Two Billion Three Hundred Twelve Million		1	0.3%
Two Billion Two Hundred Five Million One Hundred Sixty One Thousand		1	0.3%
Two Hundred One Million		1	0.3%
Two Hundred And Seventy Million		1	0.3%

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number Label Cases Value Percentage Two Hundred 0.3% Eighty Eight Million Three Hundred Sixty Three Thousand Eight Hundred Two Hundred 0.3% Eighty One Million Two Hundred 0.3% Eighty Seven Billion Two Hundred 1.7% 6 Fifty Million Two Hundred 0.3% Fifty One Million Five Hundred Fifty Thousand Two Hundred 0.3% Fifty Thousand Two Hundred 3 0.8% Million Two Hundred 2 0.6% Ninety Million Two Hundred 0.3% Sixty Five Million Two Hundred 0.3% Thirty Four Million Two Hundred 1 0.3% Thirty Million Two Hundred 0.3% Thirty Nine Billion Two Hundred 0.3% Thirty Six Million Four Hundred Twenty Thousands Two Hundred 0.3% Thirty Three Million Seven Hundred Ninety Three Thousand Three Hundred Three Two Hundred 0.3% Three Million Two Hundred 0.3% Twenty Million fifty four 0.3% million fifty four thousand forty three 0.3% 1 million six

d2x: Please Also Write Out The Number

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
hundred thousand			
sixty five million five hundred ninety thousand		1	0.3%
two billion seventy nine million seven hundred and twenty thousand		1	0.3%
two hundred and forty million		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n3: What Were The Establishment Sales 3 Years Ago

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-621000000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	N.3. Looking back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus two], what were total annual sales for this establishment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Establishment was not in business in Fiscal Year	1	0.3%
2100000		1	0.3%
5866900		1	0.3%
7000000		1	0.3%
10000000		1	0.3%
12000000		1	0.3%
15160000		1	0.3%
18000000		1	0.3%
19500000		1	0.3%
20000000		1	0.3%
22800000		1	0.3%
25000000		1	0.3%
25827200		1	0.3%
29604500		1	0.3%
30900000		1	0.3%
33600500		1	0.3%
35100000		1	0.3%
37000000		2	0.6%
38000000		1	0.3%
39000000		1	0.3%
40000000		3	0.8%
40200000		1	0.3%
42000000		1	0.3%
42300000		1	0.3%
43200000		1	0.3%
44000000		1	0.3%
45000000		1	0.3%

# n3: What	# n3: What Were The Establishment Sales 3 Years Ago				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
46000000		1	0.3%		
46491300		1	0.3%		
46992245		1	0.3%		
47000000		1	0.3%		
48000000		1	0.3%		
49580000		1	0.3%		
49780000		1	0.3%		
49922600		1	0.3%		
50000000		3	0.8%		
52000000		2	0.6%		
55000000		1	0.3%		
56000000		1	0.3%		
57500000		1	0.3%		
57800000		1	0.3%		
60000000		8	2.2%		
60786000		1	0.3%		
64000000		1	0.3%		
68162300		1	0.3%		
69132000		1	0.3%		
70000000		3	0.8%		
72695400		1	0.3%		
73000000		1	0.3%		
75000000		2	0.6%		
77800000		1	0.3%		
79612200 80000000		1	0.3%		
80366982		3	0.3%		
82000000		1	0.3%		
85000000		1	0.3%		
86000000		1	0.3%		
87480000		1	0.3%		
90000000		1	0.3%		
90528302		1	0.3%		
95000000		1	0.3%		
98000000		2	0.6%		
100000000		2	0.6%		
110000000		1	0.3%		
112361500		1	0.3%		
112368000		1	0.3%		
116000000		1	0.3%		
120000000		5	1.4%		
125000000		1	0.3%		
130000000		1	0.3%		
132700000		1	0.3%		
134000000		1	0.3%		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
137000000		1	0.3%
140000000		1	0.3%
144000000		1	0.3%
145000000		2	0.6%
150000000		4	1.1%
158000000		1	0.3%
160000000		1	0.3%
165500000		1	0.3%
180000000		3	0.8%
184556000		1	0.3%
188512200		1	0.3%
188512230		1	0.3%
190000000		1	0.3%
190486600		1	0.3%
192000000		1	0.3%
194000000		1	0.3%
196218400		1	0.3%
200000000		5	1.4%
214500000		1	0.3%
216000000		1	0.3%
217000000		1	0.3%
218500000		1	0.3%
219678000		1	0.3%
230000000		1	0.3%
233195300		1	0.3%
240300000		1	0.3%
246166400		1	0.3%
248000000		1	0.3%
267149000		1	0.3%
267331000		1	0.3%
270000000		1	0.3%
274000000		1	0.3%
276000000		1	0.3%
280000000		4	1.1%
291500000		1	0.3%
300000000		6	1.7%
305000000		1	0.3%
310000000		1	0.3%
312191000		1	0.3%
313954000		1	0.3%
316000000		1	0.3%
320000000		3	0.8%
324000000		1	0.3%
350000000		2	0.6%
358000000		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60000000		3	0.8%
80000000		2	0.6%
81000000		1	0.3%
86500000		1	0.3%
00000000		3	0.8%
30000000		1	0.3%
50000000		1	0.3%
63161100		1	0.3%
70320000		1	0.3%
71021900		1	0.3%
80000000		1	0.3%
81969000		1	0.3%
98696300		1	0.3%
99000000		1	0.3%
00000000		2	0.6%
10000000		2	0.6%
20000000		1	0.3%
25000000		1	0.3%
28672500		1	0.3%
34300500		1	0.3%
70000000		1	0.3%
75680000		1	0.3%
94000000		1	0.3%
00000000		3	0.8%
17000000		1	0.3%
35209000		1	0.3%
38955259		1	0.3%
40000000		1	0.3%
50000000		1	0.3%
00000000		3	0.8%
18000000		1	0.3%
24128664		1	0.3%
28396000		1	0.3%
38000000		1	0.3%
40000000		1	0.3%
00000000		9	2.5%
09380000		1	0.3%
10000000		1	0.3%
29000000		1	0.3%
50000000		1	0.3%
70000000		2	0.6%
74291000		1	0.3%
91800000		1	0.3%
1300000		5	1.4%

# n3: What Were The Establishment Sales 3 Years Ago				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
913568369		1	0.3%	
944000000		1	0.3%	
963900000		1	0.3%	
980000000		1	0.3%	
989000000		1	0.3%	
990195400		1	0.3%	
1003770000		1	0.3%	
1153000000		1	0.3%	
1180000000		1	0.3%	
1200000000		5	1.4%	
1237000000		1	0.3%	
1260000000		1	0.3%	
1300000000		3	0.8%	
1300979200		1	0.3%	
1385535000		1	0.3%	
1400000000		1	0.3%	
1420045800		1	0.3%	
1500000000		3	0.8%	
1636000000		1	0.3%	
1641689000		1	0.3%	
1700000000		3	0.8%	
1759000000		1	0.3%	
1767000000		1	0.3%	
1820000000		1	0.3%	
1900000000		1	0.3%	
1920000000		1	0.3%	
1957654200		1	0.3%	
2000000000		3	0.8%	
2050000000		1	0.3%	
2139000000		1	0.3%	
2140000000		1	0.3%	
2200000000		3	0.8%	
2233547500		1	0.3%	
2342705042		1	0.3%	
2400000000		1	0.3%	
2500000000		1	0.3%	
2800000000		4	1.1%	
2864898300		1	0.3%	
3000000000		6	1.7%	
3175337300		1	0.3%	
3240607200		1	0.3%	
3290008127		1	0.3%	
3364771800		1	0.3%	
3796000000		1	0.3%	
4071141600		1	0.3%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
00000000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
76950000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		2	0.6%
35800000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
81175400		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
37631842		1	0.3%
55000000		1	0.3%
59375519		1	0.3%
00000000		2	0.6%
66965000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		2	0.6%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		2	0.6%
00000000		2	0.6%
50000000		1	0.3%
00000000		2	0.6%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
37630000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
00000000		1	0.3%
500000000		1	0.3%
000000000		1	0.3%
000000000		1	0.3%
597861900		1	0.3%
000000000		1	0.3%
39705000		1	0.3%

#n3: What Were The Establishment Sales 3 Years Ago

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45000000000		1	0.3%
50000000000		2	0.6%
70000000000		1	0.3%
74000000000		1	0.3%
83000000000		1	0.3%
100000000000		1	0.3%
135000000000		1	0.3%
230000000000		1	0.3%
291000000000		1	0.3%
621000000000		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMd1a: Total sales expected to increase, decrease, or stay the same?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	BMD.1a. Considering the next year, are this establishment's total sales expected to increase, decrease, or stay the same?			
Post-question	If 3 or -9, GO TO D.3			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.6%
1	Increase	246	68.3%
2	Decrease	20	5.6%
3	Stay the same	92	25.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMd1b: Expected Annual Sales Growth Next Year

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=266 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
				Teremage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.4%		
1		3	1.1%		
2		9	3.4%		
3		7	2.6%		
4		1	0.4%		
5		32		12.0%	
6		1	0.4%		
7		1	0.4%		
8		2	0.8%		
10		64			24.1%
11		1	0.4%		
12		1	0.4%		
15		28		10.5%	
16		1	0.4%		
20		58			21.8%
23		1	0.4%		

#BMd1b: Expected Annual Sales Growth Next Year

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		6	2.3%
27		1	0.4%
30		22	8.3%
31		1	0.4%
34		1	0.4%
35		3	1.1%
40		4	1.5%
43		1	0.4%
50		8	3.0%
60		2	0.8%
70		2	0.8%
80		1	0.4%
90		1	0.4%
100		2	0.8%
Sysmiss		94	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d3a: % of Sales: National Sales

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	D.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's sales were: - National sales			
Post-question	If 100, GO TO D.10			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		6	1.7%
2		1	0.3%
3		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
20		1	0.3%
30		3	0.8%
40		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
57		1	0.3%
70		3	0.8%
80		1	0.3%
90		4	1.1%
95		2	0.6%
97		2	0.6%
99		1	0.3%
100		331	91.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d3b: % O Sales: Indirect Exports

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# d3b: % O Sales: Indirect Exports		
Literal question	D.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's sales were: - Indirect exports (sold domestically to third party that exports products)	
Post-question	If 100, GO TO D.10	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		356	98.9%
1		1	0.3%
5		1	0.3%
60		1	0.3%
100		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d3c: % of Sales: Direct Exports

Information	tion [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	D.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's sales were: - Direct exports			
Post-question	If 100, GO TO D.10			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		335	93.1%
3		2	0.6%
5		1	0.3%
10		4	1.1%
20		1	0.3%
30		3	0.8%
43		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
70		3	0.8%
80		1	0.3%
96		1	0.3%
97		1	0.3%
98		1	0.3%
100		5	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d4: In Last FY, Avg. Num. of Days For Exported Goods To Clear Customs?

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]		[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]
	Literal question	D.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], when this establishment exported goods directly, how many days did it take on average from the time this establishment's goods arrived at their main point of exit (e.g., port, airport) until the time these goods cleared customs?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
1	One day or less	7	31.8%
2		2	9.1%

d4: In Last FY, Avg. Num. of Days For Exported Goods To Clear Customs?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3		4	18.2%	
5		4	18.2%	
7		2	9.1%	
14		2	9.1%	
30		1	4.5%	
Sysmiss		338		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d5a: In Clearing Exports Through Customs, Informal Gift/Payment Expected or Requested?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]	
Literal question	D.5a. In reference to when this establishment exported goods directly, in clearing these goods through customs was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	3	13.6%
2	No	19	86.4%
Sysmiss		338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d6: In Last FY, Export Losses Due To Theft As % of Value of Products

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]
Literal question	D.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of the products exported directly was lost while in transit because of theft?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No losses	20	90.9%
2		1	4.5%
5		1	4.5%
Sysmiss		338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d7: In Last FY, Export Losses Due To Breakage or Spoilage As % of Value of Products

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]	
Literal question	D.7. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of the products exported directly was lost while in transit because of breakage or spoilage?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No losses	21	95.5%
2		1	4.5%

d7: In Last FY, Export Losses Due To Breakage or Spoilage As % of Value of Products

,	Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Sysmiss		338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d8: In What Year Did This Establishment First Export Directly or Indirectly?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2017] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=331 /-]
Literal question	D.8. In which year did this establishment first export directly or indirectly?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0				
1985		1	3.4%			
1991		1	3.4%			
1992		1	3.4%			
1993		1	3.4%			
1997		2		6.9%		
1998		2		6.9%		
2001		1	3.4%			
2002		1	3.4%			
2003		2		6.9%		
2004		1	3.4%			
2005		1	3.4%			
2007		2		6.9%		
2008		1	3.4%			
2010		4				13.8%
2013		1	3.4%			
2015		2		6.9%		
2016		2		6.9%		
2017		3			10.3%	
Sysmiss		331				

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d10: In Last FY, % of Value of Products Lost In Transit Due To Theft

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-30] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	D.10. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of products this establishment shipped to supply domestic markets was lost while in transit because of theft?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.6%
-7	No internal shipments made (does not apply)	1	0.3%
0	No losses	320	88.9%
1		13	3.6%
2		9	2.5%
3		2	0.6%
5		6	1.7%
7		1	0.3%
10		1	0.3%
15		1	0.3%

d10: In Last FY, % of Value of Products Lost In Transit Due To Theft

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		1	0.3%
30		3	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d11: In Last FY, % of Value of Products Lost In Transit Due To Breakage or Spoilage?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-20] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=359 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]	
Literal question	D.11. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of value of products this establishment shipped to supply domestic markets was lost while in transit because of breakage or spoilage?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-7	No internal shipments made (does not apply)	1	0.3%
0	No losses	287	79.9%
1		21	5.8%
2		8	2.2%
3		5	1.4%
5		17	4.7%
6		2	0.6%
8		2	0.6%
9		1	0.3%
10		11	3.1%
20		3	0.8%
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d12a: % of Material Inputs And Supplies of Domestic origin In Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	D.12. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's purchases of material inputs or supplies were: - Of domestic origin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		30	8.3%
1		1	0.3%
2		1	0.3%
3		2	0.6%
5		4	1.1%
10		6	1.7%
15		1	0.3%
20		11	3.1%
30		19	5.3%
40		8	2.2%
45		1	0.3%
50		15	4.2%
60		10	2.8%

\sharp d12a: % of Material Inputs And Supplies of Domestic origin In Last Fiscal Year

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70		12	3.3%
73		1	0.3%
75		1	0.3%
80		17	4.7%
85		3	0.8%
90		11	3.1%
93		1	0.3%
95		5	1.4%
96		1	0.3%
98		4	1.1%
99		2	0.6%
100		193	53.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

$^{\#}\,d12b\colon\%$ of Material Inputs And Supplies of Foreign origin In Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	D.12. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's purchases of material inputs or supplies were: - Of foreign origin
Post-question	If 0, GO TO D.30

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		193	53.6%
1		2	0.6%
2		4	1.1%
4		1	0.3%
5		5	1.4%
7		1	0.3%
10		11	3.1%
15		3	0.8%
20		17	4.7%
25		1	0.3%
27		1	0.3%
30		12	3.3%
40		10	2.8%
50		15	4.2%
55		1	0.3%
60		8	2.2%
70		19	5.3%
80		11	3.1%
85		1	0.3%
90		6	1.7%
95		4	1.1%
97		2	0.6%
98		1	0.3%

d12b: % of Material Inputs And Supplies of Foreign origin In Last Fiscal Year

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		1	0.3%
100		30	8.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d13: Were Any of These Material Inputs And Supplies Imported Directly?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=167 /-] [Invalid=193 /-]	
Literal question	D.13. Were any of the material inputs or supplies purchased in fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] imported directly?	
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO D.30	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	139	83.2%
2	No	28	16.8%
Sysmiss		193	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d14: Avg. Num. of Days For Imported Goods To Clear Customs In Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=139 /-] [Invalid=221 /-]
Literal question	D.14. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], when this establishment imported material inputs or supplies, how many days did it take on average from the time these goods arrived to their point of entry (e.g. port, airport) until the time these goods could be claimed from customs?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	0	
1	One day or less	37	26.6%
2		32	23.0%
3		31	22.3%
4		1	0.7%
5		9	6.5%
7		16	11.5%
8		1	0.7%
10		7	5.0%
14		3	2.2%
20		1	0.7%
30		1	0.7%
Sysmiss		221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d15a: In Clearing Imports Through Customs, Informal Gift/Payment Expected or Requested?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=139 /-] [Invalid=221 /-]
Literal question	D.15a. In reference to when this establishment imported material inputs or supplies, in claiming these goods from customs was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	1.4%

#	d150.	In	Clearing	Imports	Through	Cuctome	Informal	Cift/Doymont	Expected a	or Requested?
TT	uisa:	ш	Clearing	IIIIDOFTS	THYOUZH	Customs	amormai	GHUPavmem	i Expectea o	or Keauestea:

Value	Label	Cases	Pe	ercentage
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0		
-7	Does not apply	0		
1	Yes	19	13.7%	
2	No	118		84.9%
Sysmiss		221		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#d30a: How Much of An Obstacle: Transport?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	D.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Transport

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
0	No obstacle	135	37.5%
1	Minor obstacle	132	36.7%
2	Moderate obstacle	70	19.4%
3	Major obstacle	17	4.7%
4	Very severe obstacle	5	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

d30b: How Much of An Obstacle: Customs And Trade Regulations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	D.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Customs and trade regulations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	2	0.6%
0	No obstacle	202	56.1%
1	Minor obstacle	79	21.9%
2	Moderate obstacle	45	12.5%
3	Major obstacle	25	6.9%
4	Very severe obstacle	7	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr1: What Happened When Problem In The Production Process/Services Provision Arose?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=165 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]
Literal question	BMR.1. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what best describes what happened at this establishment when a problem in the production process arose?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.6%
-7	DOES NOT APPLY (SPONTANEOUS)	9	5.5%

#BMr1: What Happened When Problem In The Production Process/Services Provision Arose?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	We fixed it but did not take further action	5	3.0%	
2	We fixed it and took action to make sure it did not happen again	38	23.0%	
3	We fixed it and took action to make sure that it did not happen again, and had a continuous improvement process to anticipate problems like these in advance	107		64.8%
4	No action was taken	5	3.0%	
Sysmiss		195		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

#BMr2: Did This Establishment Monitor Any Production/Service Performance Indicators?		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=165 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]		
Literal question	BMR.2. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment monitor any performance indicators?	
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMR.4	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.6%
1	Yes	152	92.1%
2	No	12	7.3%
Sysmiss		195	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr3: How Many Production/Service Provision Performance Indicators Were Monitored?

Information	n [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=152 /-] [Invalid=208 /-]	
Literal question	BMR.3. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many performance indicators were monitored at this establishment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	1-2 indicators	27	17.8%
2	3-9 indicators	88	57.9%
3	10 or more indicators	37	24.3%
Sysmiss		208	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr4: Did This Establishment Have Production/Service Provision Targets?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=165 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]	
Literal question	BMR.4. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have production targets? Examples of production targets are: production volume, quality, efficiency, waste, or on-time delivery.	
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMR.8	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.6%
1	Yes	151	91.5%
2	No	13	7.9%
Sysmiss		195	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr5: What Best Describes The Time Frame of Production/Service Provision Targets?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=151 /-] [Invalid=209 /-]	
Literal question	BMR.5. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what best describes the time frame of production targets at this establishment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Main focus was on short-term, less than one year	54	35.8%
2	Main focus was on long-term, one year or more	29	19.2%

#BMr5: What Best Describes The Time Frame of Production/Service Provision Targets?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Combination of short-term and long-term targets	68	45.0%
Sysmiss		209	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr6: How Easy To Achieve Its Production/Service Provision Targets?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=151 /-] [Invalid=209 /-]	
Literal question	BMR.6. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how easy or difficult was it for this establishment to achieve its production targets overall?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Achieved without much effort	2	1.3%
2	Achieved with some effort	13	8.6%
3	Achieved with normal amount of effort	30	19.9%
4	Achieved with more than normal effort	76	50.3%
5	Only achieved with extraordinary effort	28	18.5%
6	Targets were not achieved	2	1.3%
Sysmiss		209	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr7: Who Was Aware of The Production/Service Provision Targets At This Establishment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=151 /-] [Invalid=209 /-]	
Literal question	BMR.7. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], who was aware of the production targets at this establishment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Only senior managers	31	20.5%
2	Most managers and some production workers	52	34.4%
3	Most managers and most production workers	21	13.9%
4	All managers and most production workers	47	31.1%
Sysmiss		209	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr8: Was There Performance Bonuses Based On Production/Service Provision Targets?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=165 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]
Literal question	BMR.8. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have performance bonuses for managers?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMR.10

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	143	86.7%
2	No	22	13.3%
Sysmiss		195	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#BMr9: What Were Managers' Performance Bonuses Usually Based On?	
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=143 /-] [Invalid=217 /-]
Literal question	BMR.9. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were managers' performance bonuses mostly based on?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Their own performance	48	33.6%
2	Their team's performance	60	42.0%
3	The establishment's performance	34	23.8%
4	The firm's performance	1	0.7%
Sysmiss		217	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMr10: What Was The Primary Way Non-Managers Were Promoted?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=165 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]	
Literal question	BMR.10. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was the primary way non-managers were promoted at this establishment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
1	Based solely on performance and ability	86	52.1%
2	Based partly on performance and ability, and partly on other factors (for example, tenure or family connections)	67	40.6%
3	Based mainly on factors other than performance and ability (for example, tenure or family connections)	8	4.8%
4	Non-managers are normally not promoted	4	2.4%
Sysmiss		195	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

${\tt\#\,BMr11:\,When\,Was\,An\,Under\text{-}Performing\,Non\text{-}Manager\,Reassigned\,or\,Dismissed?}$

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=165 /-] [Invalid=195 /-]	
Literal question	BMR.11. Over the last complete fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], when was an underperforming non-manager reassigned or dismissed?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.6%
-7	DOES NOT APPLY (SPONTANEOUS)	5	3.0%
1	Within 6 months of identifying under-performance	71	43.0%
2	After 6 months of identifying under-performance	10	6.1%
3	Rarely or never	78	47.3%
Sysmiss		195	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e1: In Last FY, Main Market For Establishment'S Main Product

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Local – main product sold mostly in same municipality where establishment is located	223	61.9%
2	National $\hat{a} \in \text{``}$ main product sold mostly across the country where establishment is located	118	32.8%
3	International	19	5.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e2b: Competitors For The Main Product/Service In The Main Market

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-1000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	E.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], for the main market in which this establishment sold its main product, how many competitors did this establishment's main product face?

Value	Label	Cases	I	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%		
-4	Too many to count	37		10.3	3%
0		8	2.2%		
1		11	3.1%		
2		27		7.5%	
3		29		8.1%	
4		22		6.1%	
5		44			12.2%
6		11	3.1%		
7		10	2.8%		
8		10	2.8%		
9		5	1.4%		
10		35		9.7%	
11		2	0.6%		
12		5	1.4%		
13		5	1.4%		
14		1	0.3%		
15		6	1.7%		
16		3	0.8%		
17		2	0.6%		
20		25		6.9%	
23		3	0.8%		
25		2	0.6%		
29		1	0.3%		
30		10	2.8%		
31		1	0.3%		
33		1	0.3%		
39		1	0.3%		
40		5	1.4%		

н								
н	# - 21	C 4.4	77 771	. N /	Product/Service	T	TTI N / - !	N/1 4
П	# 6/n.	t amnemare	HAT ING	ıvı aın	Product/Service	ın	i ne viair	VISTRAT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45		1	0.3%
50		5	1.4%
60		5	1.4%
61		1	0.3%
70		3	0.8%
78		1	0.3%
80		1	0.3%
100		5	1.4%
150		1	0.3%
160		1	0.3%
200		4	1.1%
250		2	0.6%
300		1	0.3%
500		1	0.3%
600		2	0.6%
900		1	0.3%
1000		2	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e6: Do You Use Technology Licensed From A Foreign-Owned Company?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	E.6. Does this establishment at present use technology licensed from a foreign-owned company, excluding office software?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	18	5.0%
2	No	342	95.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

$\mbox{\tt\#}\,e11\mbox{\tt:}\,$ Does This Establishment Compete Against Unregistered or Informal Firms?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	E.11. Does this establishment compete against unregistered or informal establishments?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	65	18.1%
2	No	294	81.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

e30: How Much of An Obstacle: Practices of Competitors In Informal Sector?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	E.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are Practices of Competitors in the Informal Sector an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	

e30: How Much of An Obstacle: Practices of Competitors In Informal Sector?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No obstacle	225	62.5%
1	Minor obstacle	71	19.7%
2	Moderate obstacle	33	9.2%
3	Major obstacle	22	6.1%
4	Very severe obstacle	9	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h1: New Products/Services Introduced Over Last 3 Yrs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question H.1. During the last three years, has this establishment introduced new or improved products or services?		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO H.5	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	182	50.6%
2	No	178	49.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h2: New Products/Services Also New For Thr Establishment'S Main Market

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=182 /-] [Invalid=178 /-]	
Literal question	H.2. Were any of the new or improved products or services also new for the establishment's main market?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Yes	169	92.9%	
2	No	13	7.1%	
Sysmiss		178		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

#h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service

ÿ ı		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=182 /-]	
Literal question	H.3. Please describe in detail the main new or improved product or service that this establishment introduced during the last three years.	
	The main new or improved product or service is the one that represented the largest proportion of this establishment's sales in value (not volume) during the last three years.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Accepts Online Orders On New Cars		1	0.5%
Acquired New Machinery, Equipment		1	0.5%
Added A Soft Plastic Pad At The Student Desk And Chair		1	0.5%

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage Combo With An Arm Resting Added Cashier 0.5% Machines, Expanded Assortment Of Products Such As Bread, Dumplings, Steamed Dumplings, Traditional Deep Fried Cookies Added 0.5% Household Items And Sighned Partnership Agreements Adopted Bakery 0.5% Products, Improved Variety Of Products Automation Of 0.5% Fuel Stations Baby/Infants 0.5% Drinking Water Production Is New Before Building 0.5% Istallation Was Main, Added Diversified Product Before Only 0.5% Building Finishings Were Done Better Product, 0.5% Improved Weight Carrying Characteristics Brought For Sale 0.5% Non Slippery Boots, And Both Water And Windproof Clothing **Buildings Made** 0.5% With New And Good Quality Materials, Heater Installation Built A New 0.5% Warehouse Which Meets The Standard Requirements.

Also, Built A

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Label Cases Value Percentage New Two-Stored Customers Center Complete 0.5% Automation Completely 0.5% Renovated Everything Constantly Work 0.5% On Products Renewal 0.5% **Dairy Production** Develop 0.5% Customer Base To Get To Use The Products, Provide The Market With Products With The High International Standards Developed New 0.5% Breads With Rye Flour, Sunflower Seeds Developed New 0.5% Recipes, And Preparation Techniques Developed New 0.5% Type Of Shoes With White Sole Which Differ From Previous Models By Anti-Moisture

Characteristics Incorporated Onto All Men, Women And Children Lines. User Friendly, Wearable For All Types Of Clothing Differs From

The Available In The Market Items By Better Design And Quality

Dirt Paved Road

Was Replaced By Asphalt Paved Road Diverted From

Retail To Hotel And Restaurant Due To Change

From Manual

0.5%

0.5%

0.5%

0.5%

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage Operations To Automatic The Productivity Had Been Increased Due To 0.5% Technology Upgrade The Taste Of Products Was Improved Elevator 0.5% Installation Is Done In In Accordance With Quality StandardsExpanded 0.5% Own Building Facilities, And Made Work Place More Comfortable Expanded 0.5% Variety Of Goods Such As Korean Products, Golden And Silver Jewelry Focus On 0.5% Customers Pursuing Healthy Food With Right Calories Intake And Nutrients. With This Sole Goal, The Company Purchased Food Techologies And Equipment To Serve Better Food Focus On 0.5% Selling Products With More Humane Appeal And Latest Trend 0.5% Focus On Taste, Quality, Service, Assortment Greater 0.5% Construction Planning Allowed Better Adherence To Construction Timing Have Increased 0.5%

Number Of

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Label Value Cases Percentage Tables In Canteen Herbal Tea 0.5% Made From 100% Wild Grown Plants Import 0.5% Substitution Imported 0.5% Better Quality Refrigerating Equipment Imported 0.5% Good Quality Materials For Vacuum Windows Manufacturing Improved 0.5% Quality Of Ingredients Improved 0.5% Appearance, Wrap, Package, Quality And Standard: Three New Types Of Pre-Made Noodles, 4 New Types Of Bread Improved 0.5% Choice Of Products Improved 0.5% Colors, Assortment And Design Of Carpets Improved 0.5% Machines And Equipment Improved 0.5% Machines And Equipment Allowed To Produce Better **Quality Products** Of More Variety Improved 0.5% Package, Labeling To Have Modern Products Appealing To Customers Improved 0.5% Packaging Of Dairy Products To Extend Shelf Life

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Label Cases Value Percentage Improved Public 0.5% Image And Communications Channels With The Customers Improved 0.5% Service Quality Improved Taste, 0.5% Quality, And Appeal Improved 0.5% Technology Improved 0.5% Technology, Introduced A New Healthier Yogurt With Bifidobacteria Improved The 0.5% Variety Of Baked Products, Inputs, Recipes, And Quality. Improvement 0.5% Of Design, Materials And Craft Skills Such As Introducing Hand-Embroidered Leather Products, Better Quality Threads Increased 0.5% Assortment Of Products Increased 0.5% Assortment Of Products Such As Bags, Sleepers, Blankets Increased The 0.5% Number Of Goods Sold Increased 0.5% Variety Of Products To Include Ham, Sausages Made From Chicken Introduced 24 0.5% Hours Services Which Wasn'T Before Introduced A 0.5% Bonus Card For Sales Introduced A 0.5% Discount Card

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Label Value Cases Percentage System For Customers Introduced A 0.5% New Product: A Block Filled With Sawdust And Cement For Construction Introduced A 0.5% New Service: Sale Of Fresh Flowers, And Souvenirs Introduced 0.5% Accounting Software Which Led To Better Accounting Practices And Results Introduced 0.5% An Online Version Of The Newspaper 0.5% Introduced App In Cell Phones To Offer Services Introduced 0.5% Better Quality Of Roofing Materials Introduced 0.5% Better Technical Characteristics Of Grinding Steel Balls Introduced 0.5% Better Technologies To Improve Online Shopping Which Led To Increased Customer Satisfaction 0.5% Introduced Delivery And Online Shop Introduced 0.5% Enterprise Resource Planning (Erp) System, Which Allows Tracking Of Goods'S Expiry Date And Linking To Sales, And Financial Data

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Cases Value Label Percentage Introduced Extra 0.5% Services To The Customers To Increase Shopping Comfort Introduced 0.5% Five Star Hotel Service In Rural Place Introduced Halal 0.5% Standard Introduced 0.5% Korean Dishes, Number Of New Mongolian Dishes Increased Introduced 0.5% Light Insulated Concrete Mixture For Roofing Introduced 0.5% New Air Jet Into Regional Operations, And New Sales System Introduced 0.5% New Cashmere Textile Fabric, Printed And Embroidered Cashmere Products, Sewn Cashmere Coats. Made Jackets And Coats To Supply The Market Introduced 0.5% New Imported Plumbing Fixtures With Lifetime Warranty Introduced New 0.5% Insulation For Better Winter Performance Introduced New 0.5% Sales Manual Introduced 0.5% New Student Desk And Chair Combo Introduced New 0.5% Toothpastes With No Content Of Fluoride,

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage **Ensuring Better** Quality Introduced Photo 0.5% Publishing On Mugs Introduced 0.5% Services Of Delivery And Installation Of Purchases, Offered In-House Customer-Ordered Unique Design And Layout Of The Furniture Suitable For The Their Needs Introduced 0.5% Technology Of Drying Out Liquid Milk Introduced The 0.5% Bright Colored Recognizable Scheme For The Soft Drinks Introduced The 0.5% Meat, And Dairy Products, And Natural Honey Introduced 0.5% The Modern Financial System, Before There Were No Financial Software Introduced The 0.5% New Services Such As Client'S Big Data Analysis Were Introduced Introduced The 0.5% Vat Electronic Return System Introduced Time 0.5% Saving Dispatch Operations, Real Time Gps Tracker Introduced 0.5% Variety Of Packaging 25Kg, 5Kg, 1Kg Made From Better Materials

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage Introduced 0.5% Western Dishes Such As Pizzas Introduced 0.5% Windows With Triple Layers Of Warmth Introduced 0.5% Women, Children Felt Footware In Different Designs Introduction 0.5% Of Automatic Production Operations Reducing Manual Work Issued Credit 0.5% Cards For Particular Branches Made An 0.5% Improvement Into The Turbines Of The Provincial Thermal Power Plant, Estimated The Steam Intake Of The Turbine And Installed It Made Available 0.5% New Products Such As Steamed Noodles In Following Up The Customers' Demand Made Distinctive 0.5% Differentiated Colorful Products For Food Wrap Line To Stand Out From Similar Brands Made Onsite 0.5% Visits To The Customers Place To Take Orders And Make Measurements Made Price 0.5% Adjustments While Moving From Wholesale To Retail Sales

Value	Label	nificantly Improved Product or Servi	
	Label		Percentage
Made Products To Meet Specific Demands Of Tourists From Different Countries		1	0.5%
Made Shoes With An Improved Design		1	0.5%
Made Shoes, Improved Leather Processing Technology, Improved Designs Of Fashions Made From Sheepskins		1	0.5%
Made Zoning Of Distribution Channels And Started To Provide Distribution In Accordance With Schedule		1	0.5%
Meat Products Cut And Packaged In Wrappings Were Delivered To Customers		1	0.5%
Met Criteria Of Four Star Hotel, And Became Eco Friendly Hotel. Introduced Online Booking		1	0.5%
New Blocks Which Have Better Insulation Characteristics Were Introduced		1	0.5%
New Copper Roll Production		1	0.5%
New Design For Shoes, Newly Manufactured Sleeping Bag		1	0.5%
New Equipment, Time Saving, Rise Of Productivity		1	0.5%
New Finance Software		1	0.5%
New Household Products Lines		1	0.5%

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage And Brands Were Brought New Packaging 0.5% Machine, And Introduced Lean Meat Products To The Market New Product: 0.5% Beer With Berry Flavor New Products 0.5% Are Plastic Labels, Colored Printing, Printing On Souvenirs And Cups New Products 0.5% Such As Well Known Brands Sales Helped To Increase Sales New Software 0.5% 1 To Print Vat Return Receipts New Spinning 0.5% Machine New Store 0.5% Was Put Into Operations New, Modern, 0.5% With Good Kitchen And Service Restaurant 0.5% Newly Acquired Steam And Pressure Cooker Has A Better Quality. Also, New Thermos Keep Hot Water And Tea For A Prolonged Period Of Time Newly Built 0.5% A Building Extension Newly Created 0.5% An Information System On Spa Resorts And Mineral Springs Newly 0.5% Introduced A Reddish Sheep Wool With A Final Product Of New White Pastel Colored

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage Cashmere-Like New Product No Different 0.5% Products Offered More 0.5% Variety Of Goods, Brand Household Items Opened A 0.5% Branch, Introduced Quality Control Management System, Focus On Training And Development Opened A 0.5% Coffee To Serve Hot Meals Opened A 0.5% Company Brands Shops To Serve Freshly Made Fine **Baked Products** Opened A 0.5% Fitness Room Opened A New 0.5% Food Store With A Cafe Next To It Opened A 0.5% New Hotel, Developed A New Tourist Destination Opened A 0.5% Production Line Of Milk And Yogurt Opened In 0.5% Adjucent Province A New Branch Store 0.5% Opened New Branch Opened New 0.5% Brand In Very Large Mall, Which Made A Good Advertising To Our Company 0.5% Opening Of Branches 0.5% Packaging And Focus On Meat Quality

# h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Paid Attention To Increase Customer'S Satisfaction		1	0.5%	
Prepared Website Content With High Quality		1	0.5%	
Produced Fine Textile Thread For Machine Weaving		1	0.5%	
Produced New Brand Of Breads		1	0.5%	
Provided With Comfortable Warm, Indoor Market Sales Area		1	0.5%	
Published A New Series Of Traditional Mongolian Clothing In Mongolian And English		1	0.5%	
Renew The Line Of Products Such As Pizza Boxes, Food Containers, Paper Bags, And Orders Made To Suit To Their Preferences		1	0.5%	
Renewal Of Equipment, Improved Quality Of Products, Decreased Supply Time		1	0.5%	
Rent Out Karaoke		1	0.5%	
Self-Produced Equipment For Triple Glazing Of New Ice- Cream Products		1	0.5%	
Sew A Tailor Made Per- Ordered European Style Clothes		1	0.5%	
Sew Different Types Of Pockets Such As For Phones, Mobile Radios, Signals Into The		1	0.5%	

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage Work Clothes Such As Started Auto 0.5% Restorative Production Works In Mongolia Started To 0.5% Make In-House Steamed Big And Small Dumplings Started To 0.5% Make Pizza With Chicken Topping Started To Make 0.5% Traditional Lunar Year Cakes As Well As Hand-Made Milky Bread Rolls Started To 0.5% Manufacture A Dairy Vine/ Vodka Distilled With Traditional Way Started To 0.5% Manufacture Glazed Bricks Started To 0.5% Produce Multigrain, Enriched Organic Breads And Baked Products Started To 0.5% Produce Specific Order-Made Such As Thermal Channel Trunk And Cover Started To Sale 0.5% Mongolian Pharmacy Products Started To 0.5% Sell Furniture, Introduced Vat Receipts, And Delivery To Customers Started To 0.5% Wholesale Some Group Of Products With

h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service Value Label Cases Percentage The Delivery To Customers Supplies 0.5% Liquefied Gas For Households And Auto Owners As Well As A95 Gasoline Technology 0.5% Adjusted The Erp System Which Minimizes Losses And Optimizes Resources Technology 0.5% To Prevent Heat Loss Was Introduced The Brick 0.5% Dimensions And Composition Had Been Improved The Company 0.5% Imports Energy Saving, New Technology-Based Latest Equipment To Satisfy Customers The Company 0.5% Provides Luxury Apartments At **Higher Quality** And Faster **Building Timing** The 0.5% Construction Technology Is Renewed, Due To That The **Duration And** Time Saved. Preferring Quality The European Goods Are Used. The Local Cable 0.5% Tv Provider Updated Equipment To Improve Translation The Rods Of 0.5% The Traditional Tent Used To Be Made From Wood; Now It

# h3x: Describe In Detail The Main New or Significantly Improved Product or Service				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
Is Made From Metal				
The Use Of Mobile Crusher Station At The Local Site During Road Construction Improved Quality Of Road Works, And Positively Affected On The Time Schedule		1	0.5%	
Transfer From Manual Operations To Automated		1	0.5%	
Two-Colored, Two-Way Textile Coats And Jackets, Printed Articles		1	0.5%	
Upgraded The Line Of Products Such As Introduced The Fitness Bread		1	0.5%	
Variety Of Standard Timber And Wooden Plywood Is Produced		1	0.5%	
Vat E-Return Receipt Service, Better Customer Service Area		1	0.5%	
With New Beer Brewery Penetrated Into The New Market Segment		1	0.5%	
With New Technology The Books Appearance Became Modern, Illustrations Became Of Better Quality		1	0.5%	
Work To Customer'S Order, Therefore Each Order Is Unique And New			0.5%	
	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete			
# h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing	<u>r</u> =*]		

# h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=182 /-]	
Literal question	H.4. Please describe in detail how the main new or improved product or service is different than the most similar product or service, if any, previously produced by this establishment.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
A New Products Provides More Variety For The Consumers		1	0.5%
Added Service To Offer Personal Touch On Memoralabia Which Wasn'T Offered Before		1	0.5%
Added Variety, Improved Packaging, Labeling		1	0.5%
Adopted Bakery Products, Improved Variety Of Products		1	0.5%
Aimed At Better Assortment And Service, More Customers Were Attracted To New Cakes		1	0.5%
Allow More Benefits To The Customers		1	0.5%
Assured With The Highest Standard Adherence To Hygiene, Product Quality Thanks To Improved Technology		1	0.5%
Automated Printing Vat Return Receipts		1	0.5%
Automation Of Fuel Sales Allowed Better Use Of Resources		1	0.5%
Bakery		1	0.5%
Became A Source Of Attraction Of New Tourist Arrivals		1	0.5%
Became Closer To The Customers, Easier Service,		1	0.5%

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage Greater Efficiency Before Only 0.5% 1 Two Layered Windows Were Made Before The 0.5% Company Did Not Make Photo Printing On Mugs Before The 0.5% Tailor Shop Was Waiting For Incoming Customers --No Out Of Shop Order Placement Better 0.5% Equipment Allowed More Quality Of Final Product Better 0.5% Productivity Better 0.5% Products Were Introducing Increasing Image And Attracting Customers Better Quality 0.5% Materials Ensure Better Final Product Better Quality 0.5% Materials, Nicer Design Better Quality 0.5% Of Programs Was Due To Improved Equipment Which Met Growing Demand Of The Veiwers Carrot Bread, 0.5% Seabuckthorn Bread Cashmere 0.5% Textile Fabric Is New. Also, New Items Are Printed And Embroidered Products, And Coats, Jackets Were Not In The

Product'S Line

# h4x: Describ	h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
Changed Meat Packaging And Increased Meat Quality Allows Meet Buyers' Demand More Precisely		1	0.5%		
Company Was Before A Sole Producer Of Flour And Noodles. Meat And Dairy Products Are Completely New		1	0.5%		
Completely New		31	17.0%		
Completely New Product Which Make A Good People Interst To Us		1	0.5%		
Completely New Products		1	0.5%		
Completely New Set Of Meals And Beverages		1	0.5%		
Completely New To Better Satisfy Customers With Wider Assortment Of Baked Products		1	0.5%		
Completely New, Which Did Not Exist Before		2	1.1%		
Completely New.		1	0.5%		
Completely New. It Allowed To Process Financial Data Faster		1	0.5%		
Completely New: It Allowed To Get Closer To Customers, Saved Time, Positively Affected Management Of The Company		1	0.5%		
Completely New: It'S Warmer And Lighter Compared To Previous Roofing Insulation		1	0.5%		

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Label Cases Value Percentage 0.5% Completely Rood-Covered Market Area Completely, The 0.5% Company Did Not Produce Powdered Milk Created A New 0.5% 1 Information Database System Customer-0.5% Oriented Sales With Post Sales Care Maintenance Allows Long-Term Customer Relationship Customers 0.5% Satisfaction Is Met Detached 0.5% Houses Is A New Product Offered To Customers Differs By 0.5% 1 Components And Taste Efforts Were 0.5% Made To Increase Company'S Social Responsibility To Attract More Customers Enriched The 0.5% Berry Vine/ Vodka With Roseroot/ Rodiola Rosea Essence Erp System 0.5% Expanded 0.5% Market, Gained More Customers Expanded 1 0.5% To Have Completely New Restaurant Extended Hours 0.5% 1 Of Service Is New Fine Interior 0.5% Desinged Works Were Introduced First In 0.5% 1 The Sector Adopted And

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Remodelled The Manufacturer'S Product			
Focus On To Supply The High Quality, Standard Products		1	0.5%
Gained Customers Appreciation		1	0.5%
Gained Customers Appreciation And Led To Sales Growth		1	0.5%
Gained Customers Appreciation For The New Service, Introduced Promotion And Discounts		1	0.5%
Good Quality, Nice Design And Many Choices Offered		1	0.5%
Grinding Balls Became Better Compared To Old Ones		1	0.5%
Having Motto Of Ecofriendliness And Express Service, The Customer'S Satisfaction Were Increased		1	0.5%
Herbal Tea Made From 100% Wild Grown Plants		1	0.5%
Improved Quality Of Ingredients		1	0.5%
Improved Choice Of Products		1	0.5%
Improved Final Products Outlook, Modern Design, And Higher Craft Skills. Also, Acceptance Of Orders Over \$200 Us From Abroad		1	0.5%

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Label Cases Value Percentage 0.5% Improved Productivity, Time And Costs Savings, Better Quality And Efficiency Improved 0.5% Quality Allowed To Gain Customers' Appreciation And Stay Up-To-Date Improved 0.5% Quality Of Products Led To Better Finishings And Upgraded Look Of Existing Products 0.5% Improved Roofing Materials Ensure Longterm Durability, Attract More Customers, Increase Sales. Improved 0.5% Technology, Better Variety Of School Uniforms For All School Age Children Improved The 0.5% Variety Of Baked Products, Inputs, Recipes, And Quality. Improved 0.5% Weight Carrying Capabilities Increased 0.5% Capacity Increased 0.5% 1 Productivity And Variety Of Socks Introduced A 0.5% New Service: Sale Of Fresh Flowers, And Souvenirs Introduced 0.5% Clothing Made In Mixed With Silk And Cashmere Materials Which

${\it \#}\ h4x: Describe\ How\ The\ Main\ New/Significantly\ Improved\ Product\ or\ Service\ Is\ Different$

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Was A New Trend Setting At That Time			
Introduced Completely New Coffee Shop Concept Into The Company And Via Many Branch Shops Serve Fresh Cakes, Buns, Cookies Along With Tea, Coffee To The Customers		1	0.5%
Introduced New Heaters Installations		1	0.5%
Introduced New Products		1	0.5%
Introduced Practices For More Flexible Adaptation Of Customers Demand For New Products		1	0.5%
It Provides A Completely Distinct Niche In The Housing Market		1	0.5%
Leather Boots With Zips, Three Types Of Knee Length Leather Boots		1	0.5%
Made Arrangements To Offer Customers With Better Choice And Easier Access To Products		I	0.5%
Made Sales Points Closer To Customers, Improved Assortment And Quality Of Products		1	0.5%
Made Shoes With Accordance Of The Latest Fashion And Trends		1	0.5%
Made Shoes, Improved Leather		1	0.5%

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage Processing Technology, Improved Designs Of Fashions Made From Sheepskins Manufactured 0.5% A Good Quality Road Barriers, Concrete Poles For Lighting Suitable For Local Use And Aesthetics Manufactured 0.5% Completely New Bricks That Meet The Customers Demand Measures 0.5% Undertaken To A Better Planning, Quality Assurance, Adherence To Standards, Better Architecture Allowed To Fullfil An Order Of Building Kindergarden Ontime Met The 0.5% Customer'S Demand Modern And 0.5% New Look New Branches 0.5% New Colored 0.5% Soft Drinks Allowed To Stand Out Compared To Competitors New Customers 0.5% Service Center Allowed Sales At Factory Prices New For The 0.5% Company, But Already Existing In The Market New Plumging 0.5% Fixtures Offer Lifetime Warranty While The Previous

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Label Cases Value Percentage Had Less Usage Duration New Product 0.5% Offer To Attract Affluent Customers New Products 0.5% Based On Latest Technology New Products 0.5% In-Tune With The Latest Market Trends New Products 0.5% Were Developed New Store 0.5% In World-Renowned Hotel/Mall New, Modern 0.5% Products Attract More Customers New: Delivery 1 0.5% And Online Shopping No Beer 0.5% Production Took Place Before No Fluoride 0.5% Toothpastes Better Meet Modern Consumers Needs No Pizzas Were 0.5% Made Before Not Much Price 0.5% Change In Wholesale And Retail Sales Older Dairy 0.5% Products Had Lesser Shelf Life One Of First 0.5% 1 Food Producers Started In The Local Market Opened A 0.5% Branch, Introduced **Quality Control** Management System, Focus On Training And Development Opened A 0.5% 1 Fitness Room

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Label Cases Value Percentage Pizza Delivery, 0.5% New Updated Menu Previous Felt 0.5% Footware Designs Were Mostly For Men Previous 0.5% Packaging Was Outdated, With **Dull Colors** Previously 0.5% Made A Few Products; With New Equipment In Place, Offered More Products And Of Better Quality Previously No 0.5% Such Student Desk And Chair Combo Was Produced Previously, 0.5% The Buildings' Insulation Was Of Poorer Material Previously, 0.5% The Trucks' Locations Were Unidentifiable. With Gps Tracker, Location And Speed Control Became In Real Time Terms. It Allowed Better Resource Management Procure And Sell 0.5% Products Made With The Latest Technology Producing Up 0.5% To The Needs Of The Buyer Attracts Them To Become Long-Term Partner Products 0.5% Became Tastier Quality And 0.5% Design Was Improved Quality Of 0.5% Elevator

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage Installation Is Improved Quality Of 0.5% 1 Packaging Appealed To Buyers As New Products Reduced 0.5% Packaging Time Sales Increased 0.5% Sales Increased 0.5% Due To Varied Products Lines Sales Of 0.5% 1 Tickets Is Fully Automated Similar Or 0.5% The Use Of Mobile Crusher Station At The Local Site During Road Construction Improved Quality Of Road Works, And Positively Affected On The Time Schedule Started 0.5% To Import Internationally Accredited Products From Abroad Started To Sale 0.5% Abroad Stay Up-To-0.5% Date With The Modern Requirements Strives For 0.5% Better Tourist Service In Rural Places The Added 0.5% Variety Of Ham And Sauseges Made From Chicken Allowed To Attract More Customers Seeking Healthier Options The Previous 0.5% Dirt Road Was

Replaced By

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different

Value	Label	C	Cases	Percentage
Tar Paved Road Which Is New				
The Quality, Appearance, Color Are Constantly Renovating.			1	0.5%
Thermal Channel Trunk And Cover Are Completely New Product For The Company			1	0.5%
Thermos Keeping Hot Drinks Longer And A Better Quality Pressure Cooker			1	0.5%
Time Saving Requesting Transportation Service Over The Phone App. Previously Customer Pick Up Was Done Via Radio Stations And Phone Calls			1	0.5%
Totally New Tables			1	0.5%
Traditional Moon Cakes, Milky Bread Rolls, Toffee Buns			1	0.5%
Trained Food Specilaists, Technicians Abroad To Prepare Good Food, Packed With Nutrients, Proteins, Right Amount Of Calories. Acquired Modern Equipment And Food Making Technologies			1	0.5%
Two-Way Coats Are New. The Coats In The Past Were Wearable Only In One Color.			1	0.5%
Up-To-Date Technology, Simpler Operations,			1	0.5%

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Increased Productivity			
Updated Menu Seasonally Is New. Before The Menu Was All Year Round		1	0.5%
Vat E-Return Receipt, Blood Pressure Measuring, Oxygen Cocktail, Massage Armchair, Discounted Prices Medicine For Eligible Population Segments		1	0.5%
Weight Watching Customers' Demand Was Met		1	0.5%
Went Large From Being Retail And Started To Make Wholesale Trade		1	0.5%
With Better Online Shopping Offers Customers Number And Sales Increased		1	0.5%
With Clients Data Analysis New Service, Customer'S Satisfactions Increased, Saved Their Time		1	0.5%
With Introduction Of Completely New Technology The Product Quality Improved, Colors, Appearance Is Better		1	0.5%
With Nicer Appearance Of The Products, Customer'S Appreciation Grew		1	0.5%
Work To Customer'S Order, Therefore Each Order Is		1	0.5%

h4x: Describe How The Main New/Significantly Improved Product or Service Is Different Label Cases Value Percentage Unique And New Worked On 0.5% Strengthening Advantages And Image Yes Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # h5: During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Introduced New/Significantly Improved Process Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question H.5 During the last three years, has this establishment introduced any new or improved process? These include: - methods of manufacturing products or offering services; - logistics, delivery, or distribution methods for inputs, products, or services; - or supporting activities for processes? Post-question If 2 or -9, GO TO BMh.1 Value Label Cases Percentage -9 Don't know (spontaneous) 0.3% 1 Yes 148 41.1% 2 No 211 58.6% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=148 /-] Literal question H.6. Please describe in detail the main new or improved process that this establishment introduced during the last three years. The main innovative process is the innovative process that had the largest impact on the operations of the establishment during the last three years. Value Label Cases Percentage 100% Renewed 0.7% Delivery Vans Accept Orders 0.7% On Traditional Deep-Fried Products And Artisan Breads Acclaimed Itself 0.7% In The Healthy Food Industry. Purchased From Germany Cold And Heat Keeping Containers Degradable In The Environment. Use Of Eco-Friendly. Biodegradable Packaging, And Containers

0.7%

Added

Salesperson

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Cases Value Label Percentage Adopted A 0.7% Practice Of Going And Taking An Order At The Customer'S Place Became 0.7% Designated Distributor Of The Three Supplying Brands Better Equipped 0.7% Market Stall Better Taste 0.7% Blood Pressure 0.7% Measuring, Oxygen Cocktail, Massage Armchair, Discounted Prices Medicine For Eligible Population Segments Bought A New 0.7% Packaging Machine Built A 0.7% Separated Storage Warehouses For Liquid And Dry Products, And Started To Deliver Locally Changed The 0.7% Performance And Evaluation System Of The Company To Better Tie With The Pay Scale Company 0.7% Adoped Unique Services Such As Laundry While Undertaking Off-Road Adventure Tours Concluded 0.7% Partnership Agreements Copper Rolls 0.7% Were Made In 8 Different Variations

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Label Cases Value Percentage 0.7% Delivery Service Increased Delivery 0.7% To Homes, Furniture Installation. Bank Credit, Discounts On Holidays Distribution 0.7% Has Improved, Customer'S Self-Service Is Introduced Into The Drugstores Diversified 0.7% Activity Beyond Dairy Production Due To Prompt 0.7% Distribution Customer Satisfaction Increased, The Types Of Products And Sales Increased During The Last 0.7% Three Years Tor First Time In This Country Installed And Put Into The Work Two-Story Bread Making Automated Conveyor Line, And Italian Flour Storing And Dispensing Vacuum System With Capacity Of 500 Tones Of Flour Ecofriendly, 0.7% Express Service Electronically 0.7% Filled Customs Clearance Leading To Time Saving Logistics 0.7% Expanded Operations By Selling In-House Baked Products And Offered More Products To The Customers **Expansion And** 0.7% Opening Of New Branches

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Cases Value Label Percentage For The First 0.7% Time, Offered Furniture Sales, Delivery And Assembly In The Rural Town. Halal Standard 0.7% Improved 0.7% Composition, Mixing Ingredients Improved Film 0.7% Packaging With Attractive Logo And Drawings Improved 0.7% Quality Of Ingredients 0.7% Improved Choice Of Products Improved 0.7% Delivery: With Better System To Max Location And Time Savings, Delivery Efficiency Was Achieved 0.7% Improved 1 Design Of School Furniture Improved 0.7% Machines And Equipment Improved 0.7% Machines Increased Efficiency, Raised Productivity Improved 0.7% Product Delivery Which Led To Increased Customer'S Satisfaction Improved 0.7% Production Line Operations Allow To Produce New Products Improved 0.7% Service'S Range And Quality, Thus Making A Restaurant More Efficient

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Value Cases Label Percentage 0.7% Improved Technology 0.7% Improvement Of Inputs, Packaging, And Transportation In The Process 0.7% Of Installing The Internal Network Program To Monitor The Manufacturing Process Increase Fight 0.7% Destinations, Their Frequency, Ensure Passenger'S Comfort Increased 0.7% Number Of Delivery Points, Became Closer To The Customers Increased 0.7% Variety Of Air Purifiers Installed A New 0.7% Equipment To Make Sawdust Filled Cement Block Installed 0.7% Two Turbo Generators With High Capacity International 0.7% Meat Cutting Standards Introduced 3D 0.7% Technology, Upgraded The Production Technology Introduced 0.7% A Door Welcoming Service Introduced 0.7% A New Procurement Procedure To Separate Reddish Wool From White, Grey Wool Introduced 0.7% A Software To Deliver Products,

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Value Label Cases Percentage Underwent Restructuring And Human Resources Optimization Introduced 0.7% Conservation Technology Introduced 0.7% Customer'S Cards System To Save Time Introduced 1 0.7% Delivery 0.7% Introduced Delivery And **Taking Orders** From The Customers Site Introduced 0.7% Delivery Of Flowers And Souvenirs Introduced 0.7% Finer Designs Oriented For Westerners Introduced 0.7% Goods Delivery, Also Started To Make Wholesale Sales Of Wide Range Of Products Introduced 0.7% Greater Attention To All Phases Of Construction Starting From Planning And Completion Introduced 0.7% Korean Dish Introduced New 0.7% Disptach And Operations Control Based On Gps Tracker Introduced 0.7% New Logistics Operations Introduced 0.7% New Marketing Efforts To Promote Newer Look And Image For Soft Drinks Introduced New 0.7% Marketing Such

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Value Label Cases Percentage As Offering Leasing Empty Space Of The Premises Introduced New 0.7% Photo Printing On Mugs Introduced New 0.7% Products Such As Traditional Medicine Which Supply With Natural Ingredients With No Side Effects 0.7% Introduced New Services Such As Digital Transformation Planning Systmes And Hubs Introduced 0.7% Newly Food Delivery Introduced Pos 0.7% Machine To Print Out Vat Return Receipts Introduced 0.7% Product Catalogue With New Items Unique For The Last Year Introduced 0.7% Promotional Discount Cards Introduced Self-0.7% Service Introduced 0.7% Tourist Routes Around Russia, China, Kazakhstan, And Mongolia Introduced 0.7% Vat Electronic System Introduces 0.7% A Software For Online Newspaper Launched A 0.7% Marketing Campaign, Delivering To All Food Shops And Supermarkets

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Cases Value Label Percentage Local Cable 0.7% Provider Improved Operations And Translation Machinery, 0.7% Equipment Upgrade Allowed Making Wider Spectre Of Products Made A 0.7% Completely New Ice Cream With Double Glaze Made Design 0.7% Changed To Produce Two Sided Coats Made Design 0.7% Changes To Make Baby Drinking Water With Nicer Appeal, Baby Images Made On 0.7% Ornamental Decor On The Ceilings, Also Wooden Decor 0.7% Made Shoes, Improved Leather Processing Technology, Improved Designs Of Fashions Made From Sheepskins Made 0.7% Technology Changes And Opened New Branches Making 0.7% Customer Delivery With Own Transportation Making Shoes 0.7% With Better Design, Made Organizational Strengthening And Attracted More Customers Management 0.7% Restructuring Is A New Process

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Cases Value Label Percentage 0.7% Manufacturing Of Wooden Furniture Out Wooden Pallets And Plywood Manufacturing 0.7% Process Of Producing Fine Textile Thread Marketing 0.7% Team Installed Quick Adoptive Measures To Follow Up The Customers' Demand More Detailed 0.7% Descriptions Are Now Displayed On The Company Website 0.7% New Cashmere 1 Weaving New Equipment 0.7% Allowed To Make Triple Layered Windows New Equipment, 0.7% Expanded Production Facilities, New Shopping Center **New Operations** 0.7% Procedures Allowed Faster Tracking And Better Shelf Management 0.7% New 1 Organizational Structure Allowed A Room For Workers' Initiative, Saving Managers' Time For Mundane Tasks 0.7% New Recipes, Technologies Made Meal Preparations Faster, Improved Taste And Quality New Service 0.7% Routine With Use Of Smartphone

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Value Label Cases Percentage Apps Improved Overall Efficiency By Cutting Down Wait And Order Time Via Operators' Phone Calls 0.7% New Wrapping, And Better Appearance. Obtained 0.7% A License To Produce Company Brand Products Offered More 0.7% Assortment Offered More 0.7% Specialized Approach, And Made Efforts To Display The **Expiry Dates** More Visible Offered New 0.7% Roofing Made Of Betterquality Materials Offered Pizza 0.7% Delivery, Expanded Operations By Opening A Branch Opened A 0.7% Branch, Introduced Quality Control Management System, Focus On Training And Development Opened A 0.7% Fitness Room Orders Are 0.7% Accepted Online, And Delivered Pizza Delivery, 0.7% New Updated Menu Planting Trees 0.7% Makes Wood Processing Into Eco Friendly, Sustainalbe Activity Pneumatic 0.7% Shocking Began

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Value Label Cases Percentage To Used During Slaughtering Printed Out Vat 0.7% Return Receipts, Announce Promotional Campaigns **Product Quality** 0.7% Had Been Improved, Orders Were Taken Via Phones And E-Mail Production 0.7% Expansion Products With 0.7% New Tetra-Pack Packaging Are Convenient For Transporting And Storage Provide Heat To 0.7% Customers Provide Services 0.7% Not Only Of Hot Spa, But Cold Spa Reduced 0.7% Number Of Stages/Phases To Assess Employees By Skills Renewal Of 0.7% Equipment, Improved Quality Of Products, Decreased Supply Time Renewed Auto 0.7% Park. Renovated 0.7% Rooms Interior Design Restoration Of 0.7% Auto-Batteries Of Hybrid Cars Sales Of Mixed 0.7% Silk And Cashmere Products Increased Same Day 0.7% Delivery Standard 0.7% Materials Such As Sand, Gravel,

And Better

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Value Label Cases Percentage Composition Of Bitumen Were Applied During The Road Construction Started To 0.7% Delivery Goods To Retailers With Own Transportation And Provide Promotional Discounts 0.7% Started To 1 Delivery With Own Transport Started To Make 0.7% Delivery And Online Orders Started To 0.7% Make Sales Via Delivery Started To Sell 0.7% Own Imported Products To Other Stores Started To 0.7% Sell Products In Sous / Administrative Unit In Rural Places/ Started To Send 0.7% Out Carpets Installation Team Strive To Adopt 0.7% Veterinary Pharmacy Management Expertise Technology 0.7% Changes To Improve Technical Characteristics Of The Final Product The Company 0.7% Has A Very Thorough Control Starting From Materials Supply To Finishing The Company 0.7% Strives To Sell Locally Produced Vine/ Vodka And Other Products

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
To Become Nationwide Seller Via Commerce Chamber And Provincial Commerce Associations				
The Website Updates Followed Best Journalist Practices To Carry Out Information The Public Is Sought For		1	0.7%	
Traditional Moon Cakes, Milky Bread Rolls, Toffee Buns Were Introduced As Completely New Products		1	0.7%	
Updated Technology Enabled Better Technical Results		1	0.7%	
Upgraded Juice-Making Equipment		1	0.7%	
Upgraded Machines To Change Manual Operations		1	0.7%	
Use Of Mobile Crusher Reduced Cosnts, Improved Labor, And Management		1	0.7%	
We Are Testing To To Make Deliveries With The Company Vehicles		1	0.7%	
We Make Now Deliveries To Neighboring Sums With Own Transportation. We Employ Own Delivery Team.		1	0.7%	
With New Machines And Equipment We Increased The Quantity Of Wooden Planks,		1	0.7%	

h6x: Describe Main New/Significantly Improved Process This Establishment Introduced Label Cases Value Percentage Plywood Which Meet Standards. With New 0.7% Season Coming, Arranged Goods Purchase Ahead Of Season And Stored Into The Warehouse With The 0.7% Creation Of Information System Database It Became Possible To Accept Bookings Via Internet With The Sales 0.7% Bonus Card Sales Increased Work To 0.7% Customer'S Order, Therefore Each Order Is Unique And New Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # h7x: How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=148 /-] Literal question H.7. Please describe in detail how the main new or improved process is different than the most similar process that is or was used by this establishment Value Label Cases Percentage . Web Page 0.7% Became A Source Of Information About The Spa. Started To Accept Bookings Via The Internet. Before All 0.7% Wool Colors Were Mixed. With New Procurement, Wool Colors Were Separated At The Initial Collection From Herders Before Delivery 0.7% Was The Day After The Purchase, And Now It Is The Same As The

Purchase Day

h7x: How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different Label Cases Value Percentage Before No Photo 0.7% Printing On Mughs Existed In The Company Before Products 0.7% Were Not Up To Modern Date, Products Looked Obsolete. Before The 0.7% Bread Was Ordinary; Now, Eco-Bread Was Introduced Before The 0.7% Cement Characteristics Were Outdated: With Newer Technology The Output Became Close To Imported Analogs Before The 0.7% Products Were Sold Only Locally; Now, We Expand The Scale Before The 0.7% Programs Were Not So Good Due To Not-Up-To-Date Equipment Before The 0.7% Salesperson Gives A Product To A Buyer, Now He/She Can Choose By Themselves Before There 0.7% Were No Mobile Toilet, And Ironing Services Before There 0.7% Were No Technical Capabilities To Make Changes; With New Equipment Newer And **Better Products** Were Offered, Making Attractive Them To Customers Better Control 0.7% Over Quality

$\mbox{\#}\ \mbox{h7x:}$ How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Of Cashmere Products			
Better Customer Service		1	0.7%
Better Ingredients, Inputs		1	0.7%
Better Packaging And Shelf Life		1	0.7%
Better Planning And Construction Phase Control Smoothed The Workflow, Reduced Anxiety, Increased Personnel Motivation, And Strengthened Overall Management		1	0.7%
Better Taste, Ingredients, Recipes		1	0.7%
Better Watchout Of The Local Market Conditions And Consequent Sale Of Bakery Products Was A Result Of Improved Management Decisions		1	0.7%
Both Lines Are Automated, And Comprehensive Full Packaged Systems		1	0.7%
Buyers Product Knowledge Is Increased		1	0.7%
Car Dealership Center Offers Information For Every Online Request On Cars		1	0.7%
Completely New		24	16.2%
Completely New Due To New Equipment		1	0.7%
Completely New From The Previous With No Welcome At The Store Entrance. Boosts Sense		1	0.7%
	- 183 -		

h7x: How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage Of Exclusivity And High End Selectivity Completely New 0.7% Technology To Make A Fine Thread Completely 0.7% New To Expand Market Niche Completely 0.7% New To Gain Customers Appreciation And Expand Clientele Completely 0.7% New. Completely 0.7% New. The New Heaters Satisfy Customer'S Needs Completely 0.7% New: Allowed To Buy Gasoline At Discounted Prices Continuous 0.7% Expansion Created Time 0.7% Savings For The Customers, Opened Possibilities For A Better Choice Customers 0.7% Satisfaction Is High 1.4% Delivery Is New 2 0.7% Different Sized Products Became Possible After Technology Upgrade Due To Better 0.7% Technology And Quality Control The **Products Quality** Is Higher Easier Meat 0.7% Handling, Grading, Packaging, More Efficient Production

Equipment

h7x: How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage 0.7% Equipped A Fitness Room Face-To-Face 0.7% Customer Service Faster 0.7% Delivery Made Customer'S Satisfaction Improved Gained The 0.7% Customers Recognition, Increased Number Of Orders Hand-0.7% 1 Empbroidery Became Finer, With More Vivid Colors And Design Improved 0.7% Quality Of Ingredients, And Delivery To Customers Improved 0.7% Composition, Mixing Ingredients Led To Better Products; Marketing Became Different Targeting Different Consumers With Sausages With Different Recipes Improved 0.7% Machines, Equipment Allowed Better Technology And Increase Productivity Improved Sales 0.7% 1 Points Increase Customers And Sales Improved 0.7% Service, And Comfort Improved 0.7% Variety Of Products, Regularly Started To Bring

h7x: How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage Fresh Baked Products From Ulaanbaatar In Addition 0.7% To Sheepskins Clothing, The Plant Started To Make Shoes Such As Sheepskin Slippers. Designs Improved To Reflect Latest **Fashion Trents** As In The Past The Products Lacked Modern Look Increased Clients 0.7% Number, Their Satisfaction. Operations Are Simpler Increased 0.7% Production And Sales Increased 0.7% Production Facilities By Installing More Equipment, Machinery Increased 0.7% The Hotel Image, Attract Customers And Sending A Message Of Keeping With Modern Days Increased 3 2.0% Variety Of Goods Installed 0.7% Monitoring Cameras Installed Own 0.7% 1 Yarn Producing Machine As The Yarn Is The Main Socks Making Input Installed 0.7% Two Turbo Generators With **High Capacity**

0.7%

Introduced

Delivery Of Flowers And Souvenirs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Introduced The Line Of Products For Healthy Nutrition E.G. Multi Grain Bread		1	0.7%
Introduction Of Modern Software System Allowed Enterpise Control For Better Resource Allocation		1	0.7%
Issued Certificates To Sell Products To Wholesale Customers, As Well As Expanded Sales Area		1	0.7%
Launched A Marketing Campaign, Delivering To All Food Shops And Supermarkets		1	0.7%
Made Better Efforts To Promote Products In An Easier Manner		1	0.7%
Made Progress To Improve Technology Of Production		1	0.7%
Management Improved, Avoided Construction Overrun		1	0.7%
Manual Operations Were Changed To Machine Automated Operations		1	0.7%
Meets The Customers Demand		1	0.7%
Met Consumers Demand, Service Became Faster		1	0.7%
Met Customers Demand, Increased Their Satisfaction		1	0.7%
More Comfortable		1	0.7%

$\mbox{\#}\ \mbox{h7x:}$ How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Customer Service Area, Expand The Range Of Drugs, Affordable Prices			
New Activity Is Diversified		1	0.7%
New Logistics System Increases Efficiency By Saving Time And Reducing Costs		1	0.7%
New Management Methods Are Being Adopted		1	0.7%
New Management Practices Allowed Better Efficiency In Decision Making		1	0.7%
New Marketing Met Customers' Demand In Search Of Business Lease		1	0.7%
New Products Help To Capture Market Share		1	0.7%
New Services Save Time		1	0.7%
Newer Designs, And Better Skills Attracted More Sales		1	0.7%
Newer Production Set Up And Line Operations Allow Increasing New Products Along With Old Assortment Of Products		1	0.7%
No Technology Was In Place To Make Triple Layered Windows		1	0.7%
Not Only Selling Products, But Cared About Shopping Environment To Make More Comfortable And Introduced Fast Food,		1	0.7%

h7x: How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage Coffee, Mobile Charging Stations Number Of 0.7% Orders From Customers Had Been Increased Older Order 0.7% Taking Was Done By Phone Calls To Operator. Now It Became Easier With Smartphone Apps Opened A 0.7% Branch, Introduced Quality Control Management System, Focus On Training And Development Operations Are 0.7% Expanded Pizza Delivery, 0.7% New Updated Menu Previous 0.7% Machines Were Old. Newer Machines Produce Better **Quality Products** And Raise Productivity Previous 0.7% Operations Standards

Lacked
Efficiency
And Control.
With New
International
Operation
Standards
Company
Became More
Efficient
Previously, The

Old Batteries Would Be Replaced And Thrown Away; With A New In-House Restoration Of Dead Batteries The Newer Service Is Introduced 0.7%

h7x: How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different Value Label Cases Percentage Products With 0.7% New Tetra Pack Packaging Are Convenient For Transporting And Storage Provided 0.7% Services That Better Meet Customers Design Re-Design And 0.7% Renovations To Fit Customers Order Reduced 0.7% Packaging Time, Introduced To Customers Lean Meat Products Renewal Of 0.7% Equipment, Improved Quality Of Products, Decreased Supply Time Services 0.7% Improved To Provide 'No Wait Customer Experience And Saved Time Significant 0.7% Organizational As Well As Technical New Changes Souvenirs Such 0.7% As Photo Printed Mugs Offer Local Valuable Items, Hence Attract More Demand Speed Improved, 0.7% 1 No "Screen Freezing" The Company 0.7% Operaties In Far West With Muslim Population. Therefore, Halal Technology Was Introduced And Hit The Market The Difference 0.7% Between Old And New Performance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
And Evaluation System Is Incomparably Different. It Allowed More New Ideas And Enthusiasm Thriving.			
The New Practice Differs From Previous Is To Become A Mobile Order Taking Shop		1	0.7%
The Old Dirt Paved Road Was Substituted By Bitumen Paved Road Which Required Modern Road Building Technology		1	0.7%
The Previous Practice Was To Provide With The Fully Cooked Food; It Changed To Practice Of Making Half- Cooked Meals Allowing Them Upon Placement In The Thermos Letting Heat Cooking Until Doneness		1	0.7%
The Quality Of Products Has Been Improved And Design Has Been Changed To Suit Tastes Of Northern European Market. The Company Has Commendable Products Array For Exports To Europe		1	0.7%
Time And Costs Savings		1	0.7%
Time Savings, Higher Efficiency		1	0.7%
Totally New		1	0.7%
Traditional Moon Cakes, Milky Bread Rolls, Toffee Buns Which		1	0.7%

$\mbox{\#}\,\mbox{h7x:}$ How The Main New/Significantly Improved Process Is Different

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Allowed To Stay On The Top Of The Market Trends			
Tree Nursery Allows Expanding Assortment Of Products Appreciable By Customers And Government		1	0.7%
Two Side Wearable Coats Followed The Market Trend And Allowed The Company To Stay On The Top Of The Wave		1	0.7%
Use Of Local Ingredients Such As Limestone, Gypsum, Iron Ore And Transporting Them From 30 To 130 Km Afar; Better Packaging; Expanding Products Line		1	0.7%
Use Of Mobile Crusher Reduced Costs, Improved Labor, And Management		1	0.7%
Wholesaling Of Latest Trend Products Attracted Retailers		1	0.7%
With Delivery Customers' Satisfaction And Demand Increased		1	0.7%
With Expansion Of Traditional Medicine Prodcuts There Were Better Ingredients And Pharmaceutical Content, Better Variety Of Products, Thus Better Meeting Customers' Demand		1	0.7%
			0.7%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
The Delivery Points Increased Leading To Better Sales			
With More Interesting Packaging The Customers Satisfaction And Number Will Grow		1	0.7%
With Newer Autopark Better Delivery Service Is Achieved		1	0.7%
With Newew Products Able To Maintain Customers		1	0.7%
With Targeted New Marketing Initiatives, Heavy Advertising Expanded Customer'S Base And Sales Rose		1	0.7%
Work To Customer'S Order, Therefore Each Order Is Unique And New. Previously, The Products Were Standard, Not Every Order Was Met	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	1	0.7%

#BMh1: During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Spent On Acquisition of External Knowledge?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMh.1. Over the last three years, did this establishment spend on the acquisition of external knowledge? This includes the purchase or licensing of patents and non-patented inventions, know-how, and other types of knowledge from other businesses or organizations.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.6%
1	Yes	87	24.2%
2	No	271	75.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMh2: During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Spent On R&D Within The Establishment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMh.2. Over the last three years, did this establishment spend on research and development activities within the establishment?

#BMh2: During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Spent On R&D Within The Establishment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	158	43.9%
2	No	201	55.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMh3: During Last 3 Yrs, Establishment Spent On R&D Contracted Outside Establishment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMh.3. Over the last three years, did this establishment spend on research and development activities contracted with other companies?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	72	20.0%
2	No	288	80.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#h8: During Last Fiscal Year, Establishment Spent On R&D (Excl Market Research)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=163 /-] [Invalid=197 /-]
Literal question	H.8. During last fiscal year, did this establishment spend on research and development activities, either in-house or contracted with other companies, excluding market research surveys?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO F.1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	55	33.7%
2	No	108	66.3%
Sysmiss		197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h9: How Much Spent On R&D, Either In-House or External?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-950000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55 /-] [Invalid=305 /-]
Literal question	H.9. During last fiscal year, how much did this establishment spend on research and development activities, either in-house or contracted with other companies?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1		1	1.8%	
100		1	1.8%	
200000		3		5.5%
500000		3		5.5%
1000000		1	1.8%	
1090000		1	1.8%	
2000000		2	3.69	%
2500000		1	1.8%	
4000000		1	1.8%	
4600000		1	1.8%	

# h9: How Much Spent On R	&D, Either In-House or External?
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
5000000		3	5.5%		
5500000		1	1.8%		
7000000		1	1.8%		
7323600		1	1.8%		
10000000		3	5.5%		
12000000		1	1.8%		
13000000		1	1.8%		
13250000		1	1.8%		
13500000		1	1.8%		
15000000		1	1.8%		
20000000		4	7.30	%	
28000000		1	1.8%		
30000000		3	5.5%		
35000000		2	3.6%		
40000000		2	3.6%		
50000000		1	1.8%		
52000000		1	1.8%		
56000000		1	1.8%		
60000000		2	3.6%		
75000000		1	1.8%		
100000000		4	7.30	%	
200000000		1	1.8%		
250000000		1	1.8%		
500000000		1	1.8%		
950000000		1	1.8%		
Sysmiss		305			

$^{\#}$ f1: In Last FY, What Was The Capacity Utilization (%) of This Establishment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	F.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what was this establishment's output produced as a percentage of the maximum output possible if using all the resources available (capacity utilization)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%
1		1	0.8%
5		1	0.8%
10		1	0.8%
20		5	4.1%
30		4	3.3%
32		1	0.8%
40		13	10.7%
45		1	0.8%
50		8	6.6%
60		12	9.9%

#f1: In Last FY, What Was The Capacity Utilization (%) of This Establishment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64		1	0.8%
65		3	2.5%
70		19	15.7%
75		4	3.3%
78		2	1.7%
80		17	14.0%
81		1	0.8%
82		1	0.8%
90		6	5.0%
100		15	12.4%
Sysmiss		239	

#f2: Number of Hours Per Week Operated By The Establishment In Last Fiscal Year

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	formation [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-168] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]		
Literal question	F.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many hours per week did this establishment normally operate?	

Value	Label	Cases	P	ercentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	6	5.0%		
8		1	0.8%		
24		1	0.8%		
28		1	0.8%		
30		1	0.8%		
36		3	2.5%		
40		46			38.0%
46		1	0.8%		
48		30		24.8%	
50		4	3.3%		
53		1	0.8%		
54		1	0.8%		
55		1	0.8%		
56		4	3.3%		
60		4	3.3%		
63		2	1.7%		
65		1	0.8%		
70		1	0.8%		
80		2	1.7%		
112		1	0.8%		
120		1	0.8%		
140		2	1.7%		
160		1	0.8%		
168		5	4.1%		
Sysmiss		239			

#BMt1: How Often Does The Top Manager Meet With The COO, CAO etc.?			
Information	n [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	NW/ W] [Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]		
Literal question	BMT.1. In a typical week, how often does the top manager meet with one or more of the following: Chief Operating Officer (COO), Chief Administrative Officer (CAO), Chief Marketing Officer (CMO), Board members, Business Unit managers, or managers from a parent company?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Never	2	2.3%
2	Once a week	29	33.0%
3	Between 2 and 4 times a week	27	30.7%
4	Daily	28	31.8%
5	More than once a day	2	2.3%
Sysmiss		272	

#BMt2: How Often Does The Top Manager Meet With Suppliers?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]		
Literal question BMT.2. In a typical week, how often does the top manager meet with suppliers?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Never	24	27.3%
2	Once a week	31	35.2%
3	Between 2 and 4 times a week	22	25.0%
4	Daily	11	12.5%
5	More than once a day	0	
Sysmiss		272	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMt3: How Often Does Top Manager Meet With Employees In Production/Services Provision?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	ics [NW/ W] [Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]		
Literal question	BMT.3. In a typical week, how often does the top manager meet with employees involved in production activities (e.g. plant managers, front line production workers)?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Never	7	8.0%
2	Once a week	30	34.1%
3	Between 2 and 4 times a week	24	27.3%
4	Daily	24	27.3%
5	More than once a day	3	3.4%
Sysmiss		272	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMt4: How Many Meetings With Top Manager More Than One Other Participant Involved?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]

#BMt4: How Many Meetings With Top Manager More Than One Other Participant Involved?

Literal question BMT.4. In a typical week, how many meetings that involve the top manager include more than one other participant?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Fewer than 5 meetings	62		70.5%
2	Between 5 and 10 meetings	24	27.3%	
3	Between 11 and 15 meetings	1	1.1%	
4	Between 16 and 20 meetings	0		
5	More than 20 meetings	1	1.1%	
Sysmiss		272		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMt5: How Many Meetings With Top Manager Last Longer Than One Hour?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]		
Literal question	BMT.5. In a typical week, how many meetings that involve the top manager last longer than one hour?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Fewer than 5 meetings	66		75.0%
2	Between 5 and 10 meetings	20	22.7%	
3	Between 11 and 15 meetings	2	2.3%	
4	Between 16 and 20 meetings	0		
5	More than 20 meetings	0		
Sysmiss		272		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g6a: Percentage of The Building Occupied: Owned By This Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question G.6. Of the buildings occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Owned by this establishment		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		57	15.8%
10		1	0.3%
50		2	0.6%
60		1	0.3%
70		2	0.6%
100		297	82.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g6b: Percentage of The Building Occupied: Leased or Rented By This Establishment

Information	IType= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	G.6. Of the buildings occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Rented or leased by this establishment	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	ĺ
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		ĺ

g6b: Percentage of The Building Occupied: Leased or Rented By This Establishment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		297	82.5%
30		2	0.6%
40		1	0.3%
50		2	0.6%
90		1	0.3%
100		57	15.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g6c: Percentage of The Building Occupied: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-0] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	G.6. Of the buildings occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Other	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		360	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g1a: Percentage of The Land Occupied: Owned By This Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	G.1. Of the land occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Owned by this establishment		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply is a floor in a building (spontaneous)	0	
0		60	16.7%
10		1	0.3%
50		2	0.6%
60		1	0.3%
70		2	0.6%
100		294	81.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#g1b: Percentage of The Land Occupied: Rented or Leased By This Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	atistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question G.1. Of the land occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Rented or leased by this establishment		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply is a floor in a building (spontaneous)	0	
0		295	81.9%
30		2	0.6%
40		1	0.3%
50		2	0.6%

#g1b: Percentage of The Land Occupied: Rented or Leased By This Establishment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90		1	0.3%
100		59	16.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#g1c: Percentage of The Land Occupied: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	tistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question G.1. Of the land occupied by this establishment, what percentage is: - Other			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply is a floor in a building (spontaneous)	0	
0		359	99.7%
100		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

g2: Applic. To Obtain A Construction-Related Permit Submitted Over The Last 2 Years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question G.2. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain a construction-related permit		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO G.30	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	71	19.7%
2	No	289	80.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#g3: How Many Days Did It Take For You To Obtain A Construction-Related Permit?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-365] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	Valid=71 /-] [Invalid=289 /-]	
Literal question	G.3. In reference to that application for a construction-related permit, approximately how many days did it take to obtain it from the day of the application to the day the permit was granted?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.4%	
-6	Still in process	5	7.0%	
-5	Application denied	1	1.4%	
1	One day or less	3	4.2%	
2		2	2.8%	
3		1	1.4%	
5		2	2.8%	
7		5	7.0%	
14		5	7.0%	
21		1	1.4%	
22		1	1.4%	
30		23		32.4%
45		4	5.6%	

# g3:	How Many	v Davs Did l	t Take For Yo	ou To Obtain A	Construction-Related Permit?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		8	11.3%
90		3	4.2%
120		1	1.4%
150		1	1.4%
165		1	1.4%
360		1	1.4%
364		1	1.4%
365		1	1.4%
Sysmiss		289	

g4: Informal Gift/Payment Expected or Requested For A Construction-Related Permit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=71 /-] [Invalid=289 /-]		
Literal question	G.4. In reference to that application for a construction-related permit, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	12	16.9%
2	No	59	83.1%
Sysmiss		289	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#g5a: What Is The Total Selling Area In This Establishment?

Information	Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-200000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]	
Literal question G.5. What is the total selling area of this establishment?		
Post-question	If -9, GO TO G30	

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5			5.7%
20		1	1.1%		
30		1	1.1%		
36		1	1.1%		
50		2		2.3%	
54		2		2.3%	
56		1	1.1%		
64		1	1.1%		
72		1	1.1%		
80		1	1.1%		
82		1	1.1%		
83		1	1.1%		
90		2		2.3%	
96		1	1.1%		
100		4		4.	5%
115		1	1.1%		

# g5a: Wh	at Is The Total Selling Area In This	s Establishment?			
Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
120		2		2.3%	
144		1	1.1%		
150		1	1.1%		
155		1	1.1%		
180		3		3.4%	
200		4		4.5%	
216		2		2.3%	
220		1	1.1%		
240		2		2.3%	
241		1	1.1%		
256		1	1.1%		
260		1	1.1%		
300		4		4.5%	
320		1	1.1%		
357		1	1.1%		
360		1	1.1%		
400		3		3.4%	
480		1	1.1%		
500		1	1.1%		
516		1	1.1%		
520		1	1.1%		
540		1	1.1%		
560		1	1.1%		
600		2		2.3%	
790		1	1.1%		
900		2		2.3%	
1000		1	1.1%		
1300		1	1.1%		
1500		1	1.1%		
1600		1	1.1%		
1800		2	2.273	2.3%	
2000		2		2.3%	
2200		1	1.1%		
2380		1	1.1%		
2400		1	1.1%		
2700		1	1.1%		
2970		1	1.1%		
3000		1	1.1%		
5000		1	1.1%		
7326		1	1.1%		
9981		1	1.1%		
12000			1.1%		
25000		1	1.1%		
		1			
100000		1	1.1%		
200000		1	1.1%		

#g5a: What Is The Total Selling Area In This Establishment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		272	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#g5b: The Area Is Measured In:

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=83 /-] [Invalid=277 /-]	
Literal question G.5. What is the total selling area of this establishment? Specify units	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Square Feet	1	1.2%
2	Square Yards	0	
3	Square Meters	82	98.8%
4	Other	0	
Sysmiss		277	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#g5bx: Specify Other Units (If Not Included Above) The Area Is Measured In

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question G.5. What is the total selling area of this establishment? Specify units - other psecify		G.5. What is the total selling area of this establishment? Specify units - other psecify

#g30a: How Much of An Obstacle: Access To Land?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	G.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Access to Land an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
0	No obstacle	89	24.7%
1	Minor obstacle	69	19.2%
2	Moderate obstacle	117	32.5%
3	Major obstacle	61	16.9%
4	Very severe obstacle	23	6.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#i1: In Last FY, Did This Establishment Pay For Security?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	atistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	I.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment pay for security, for example equipment, personnel, or professional security services including internet security?	
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO I.3	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Yes	252	70.0%	
2 No 108 30.0%				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

#i2a: Percentage of Total Annual Sales Paid For Security In Last Fiscal Year			
Information	rmation [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-40] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=56 /-] [Invalid=304 /-]		
Literal question	I.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's total annual sales was paid for security, or what was the total annual cost of security? - Percentage of total annual sales for security		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1		25	44.6%
2		6	10.7%
3		3	5.4%
4		2	3.6%
5		4	7.1%
6		4	7.1%
7		1	1.8%
8		3	5.4%
10		1	1.8%
11		1	1.8%
19		1	1.8%
25		2	3.6%
30		1	1.8%
32		1	1.8%
40		1	1.8%
Sysmiss		304	

$\mbox{\tt\#\,i2b:}$ In Last Fiscal Year, What Is The Total Annual Cost of Security?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5700000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=196 /-] [Invalid=164 /-]	
Literal question	I.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's total annual sales was paid for security, or what was the total annual cost of security? - Total annual cost of security	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.5%
10		1	0.5%
4800		1	0.5%
280000		1	0.5%
300000		1	0.5%
350000		1	0.5%
400000		1	0.5%
450000		1	0.5%
700000		1	0.5%
850000		1	0.5%
1000000		1	0.5%
1056000		1	0.5%
1080000		1	0.5%
1100000		4	2.0%
1188000		2	1.0%

# i2b: In Last Fiscal Year, What Is The Total Annual Cost of Security?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1200000		4	2.0%
1440000		3	1.5%
1500000		5	2.6%
1650000		1	0.5%
1700000		1	0.5%
1800000		1	0.5%
1848000		1	0.5%
2000000		2	1.0%
2150000		1	0.5%
2200000		1	0.5%
2300000		1	0.5%
2367000		1	0.5%
2400000		3	1.5%
2500000		3	1.5%
2600000		1	0.5%
2700000		1	0.5%
2800000		2	1.0%
3000000		13	6.6%
3480000		1	0.5%
3500000		1	0.5%
3600000		5	2.6%
3800000		1	0.5%
3840000		3	1.5%
4000000		3	1.5%
4200000		1	0.5%
4224000		1	0.5%
4607600		1	0.5%
4800000		2	1.0%
4900000		1	0.5%
5000000		6	3.1%
5080000		1	0.5%
5100000		1	0.5%
5200000 5500000		1	0.5%
5800000		1	0.5%
5978000		1	0.5%
6000000		7	3.6%
6500000		1	0.5%
6550000		1	0.5%
7000000		1	0.5%
7200000		5	2.6%
7600000		1	0.5%
7680000		1	0.5%
8000000		3	1.5%
9000000		3	1.5%
2000000		3	1.5/0

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9600000		3	1.5%
10000000		2	1.0%
11000000		2	1.0%
11988000		1	0.5%
12000000		1	0.5%
12200000		1	0.5%
12300000		1	0.5%
12600000		1	0.5%
13000000		1	0.5%
14000000		5	2.6%
14400000		1	0.5%
15000000		8	4.1%
18000000		5	2.6%
19800000		1	0.5%
20000000		6	3.1%
21000000		1	0.5%
21600000		1	0.5%
23040000		1	0.5%
24000000		3	1.5%
24400000		1	0.5%
25930000		1	0.5%
30000000		4	2.0%
32000000		1	0.5%
34000000		1	0.5%
35000000		1	0.5%
36000000		2	1.0%
40000000		1	0.5%
48000000		2	1.0%
18223000		1	0.5%
50000000		1	0.5%
53800000		1	0.5%
55000000		1	0.5%
50000000		1	0.5%
100000000		2	1.0%
103500000		1	0.5%
108000000		1	0.5%
120000000		2	1.0%
135000000		1	0.5%
146000000		1	0.5%
150000000		1	0.5%
200000000		2	1.0%
500000000		1	0.5%
5700000000		1	0.5%
Sysmiss		164	

# i3: Losses Due To Theft, Robbery, Vandalism or Arson Experienced In Last Fiscal Year		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question I.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment experience losses as a result of theft, robbery, vandalism, arson on this establishment's premises or from internet hacking or fraudulent internet transactions?		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO I.30	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	14	3.9%
2	No	345	95.8%

i4a: Losses Due To Theft, Robbery, Vandalism or Arson In Last FY (% of Sales)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=355 /-]
Literal question	I.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were the estimated losses as a result of theft, robbery, vandalism, arson, internet hacking or fraudulent internet transactions that occurred on this establishment's premises either as a percentage of total annual sales or as total annual losses? - Losses as percentage of total annual sales

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1		3	60.0%
6		1	20.0%
20		1	20.0%
Sysmiss		355	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

i4b: Value of Losses Due To Theft, Robbery, Vandalism or Arson In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-500000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=9 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]	
Literal question	I.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what were the estimated losses as a result of theft, robbery, vandalism, arson, internet hacking or fraudulent internet transactions that occurred on this establishment's premises either as a percentage of total annual sales or as total annual losses? - Total annual value of losses	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
200000		1	11.1%
320000		1	11.1%
10000000		3	33.3%
11000000		1	11.1%
20000000		1	11.1%
185000000		1	11.1%
500000000		1	11.1%
Sysmiss		351	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#i30: How Much of An Obstacle: Crime, Theft And Disorder?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

i30: How Much of An Obstacle: Crime, Theft And Disorder?

Literal question I.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Crime, Theft and Disorder an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No obstacle	187	51.9%
1	Minor obstacle	125	34.7%
2	Moderate obstacle	37	10.3%
3	Major obstacle	8	2.2%
4	Very severe obstacle	3	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k1c: In Last FY, % of Material Inputs or Services Paid For After Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	K.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of the value of total annual purchases of material inputs or services was purchased on credit?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.6%	
0		179		49.7%
1		1	0.3%	
2		1	0.3%	
5		2	0.6%	
8		1	0.3%	
10		15	4.2%	
13		1	0.3%	
15		4	1.1%	
20		18	5.0%	
25		3	0.8%	
30		27	7.5%	
35		2	0.6%	
40		23	6.4%	
45		1	0.3%	
50		24	6.7%	
55		1	0.3%	
60		17	4.7%	
70		12	3.3%	
80		12	3.3%	
90		5	1.4%	
100		9	2.5%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#k2c: In Last FY, % of Total Annual Sales Paid For After Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	K.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of this establishment's total annual sales of its goods or services was sold on credit?	

k2c: In Last FY, % of Total Annual Sales Paid For After Delivery

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%	
0		163	45.39	6
1		5	1.4%	
2		2	0.6%	
3		2	0.6%	
5		6	1.7%	
7		1	0.3%	
10		36	10.0%	
12		1	0.3%	
15		8	2.2%	
20		28	7.8%	
21		1	0.3%	
22		1	0.3%	
25		4	1.1%	
28		1	0.3%	
30		28	7.8%	
37		1	0.3%	
40		17	4.7%	
45		1	0.3%	
50		12	3.3%	
60		10	2.8%	
70		14	3.9%	
80		9	2.5%	
90		4	1.1%	
100		4	1.1%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k3a: % of Working Capital Financed From Internal Funds/Retained Earnings

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Internal funds or retained earnings

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		12	3.3%
5		1	0.3%
10		2	0.6%
11		1	0.3%
17		1	0.3%
20		16	4.4%
25		3	0.8%
30		14	3.9%
35		1	0.3%
40		7	1.9%
45		1	0.3%

#k3a: % of Working Capital Financed From Internal Funds/Retained Earnings

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		37	10.3%
51		1	0.3%
55		1	0.3%
60		21	5.8%
65		2	0.6%
68		1	0.3%
70		38	10.6%
71		1	0.3%
75		3	0.8%
80		25	6.9%
82		1	0.3%
85		2	0.6%
90		10	2.8%
95		6	1.7%
98		1	0.3%
99		2	0.6%
100		149	41.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#k3bc: % of Working Capital Borrowed From Banks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Borrowed from banks: private and state-owned

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		197	54.7%
1		2	0.6%
2		1	0.3%
5		7	1.9%
10		12	3.3%
15		2	0.6%
17		1	0.3%
18		1	0.3%
20		24	6.7%
25		4	1.1%
28		1	0.3%
30		32	8.9%
35		1	0.3%
40		12	3.3%
45		2	0.6%
47		1	0.3%
50		28	7.8%
60		5	1.4%
70		8	2.2%

#k3bc: % of Working Capital Borrowed From Banks

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75		3	0.8%
80		9	2.5%
89		1	0.3%
90		1	0.3%
100		5	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k3e: % of Working Capital Borrowed From Non-Bank Financial Institutions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-80] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Borrowed from non-bank financial institutions which include microfinance institutions, credit cooperatives, credit unions, or finance companies	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		340	94.4%
5		2	0.6%
10		6	1.7%
20		2	0.6%
30		5	1.4%
40		1	0.3%
50		3	0.8%
80		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k3f: % of Working Capital Purchased On Credit/Advances From Suppliers /Customers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Purchases on credit from suppliers and advances from customers	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		297	82.5%
2		1	0.3%
3		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
5		5	1.4%
10		7	1.9%
20		11	3.1%
25		2	0.6%
30		9	2.5%
40		4	1.1%
50		9	2.5%
55		1	0.3%
60		4	1.1%

k3f: % of Working Capital Purchased On Credit/Advances From Suppliers /Customers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70		1	0.3%
95		1	0.3%
100		6	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk3a: % of Working Capital in Government grants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-32] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	V] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Government grants	

Value	Label		Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		359	99.7%
32		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk3b: % of Working Capital in Issued Bonds

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Issued bonds

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		359	99.7%
10		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk3hd: % Other, Moneylenders, Friends, Relatives, etc.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-80] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	K.3. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital, that is the funds available for day-to-day operations, that was financed from each of the following sources? - Other, moneylenders, friends, relatives, etc.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		354	98.3%
30		3	0.8%
50		2	0.6%
80		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMk2: The Proportion of Working Capital, Financed By The Same Group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMK.2. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's working capital that was financed by the same group to which this establishment belongs.	

BMk2: The Proportion of Working Capital, Financed By The Same Group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	3	0.8%
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	59	16.4%
0		285	79.2%
5		1	0.3%
10		2	0.6%
15		1	0.3%
20		2	0.6%
50		2	0.6%
100		5	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#k4: Did This Establishment Purchase Any Fixed Assets In Last FY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question K.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment purchase any new or used fixed assets, a machinery, vehicles, equipment, land or buildings, including expansion and renovations of existing structures?		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMK.5	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Yes	143	39.7%	
2	No	217	60.3%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n5a: Total Annual Expenditure For Purchases of Equipment In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-12000000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=143 /-] [Invalid=217 /-]	
Literal question	N.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: - New or used machinery, vehicles, and equipment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.7%	
0		13		9.1%
700000		1	0.7%	
1180000		1	0.7%	
1823000		1	0.7%	
3200000		1	0.7%	
3500000		1	0.7%	
4000000		1	0.7%	
4500000		1	0.7%	
6000000		2	1.4%	
7500000		1	0.7%	
9000000		1	0.7%	
10000000		4	2.8%	
11000000		1	0.7%	
12000000		2	1.4%	
12500000		1	0.7%	
14000000		3	2.1%	

# n5a: Total Annual Expenditure For Purchases of Equipment In Last FY				
Value	Label	Cases	Percent	age
15000000		2	1.4%	
18000000		1	0.7%	
18350000		1	0.7%	
20000000		11		7.7%
22000000		1	0.7%	
24000000		1	0.7%	
25000000		2	1.4%	
25800000		1	0.7%	
26000000		1	0.7%	
26864600		1	0.7%	
30000000		6	4.2%	
31000000		1	0.7%	
32000000		1	0.7%	
32560000		1	0.7%	
35000000		2	1.4%	
39000000		2	1.4%	
40000000		3	2.1%	
45000000		2	1.4%	
46000000		1	0.7%	
48000000		1	0.7%	
50000000		3	2.1%	
56000000		1	0.7%	
58500000		1	0.7%	
60000000		1	0.7%	
65000000		1	0.7%	
70000000		2	1.4%	
76000000		1	0.7%	
83000000		1	0.7%	
85750000		1	0.7%	
90000000		1	0.7%	
100000000		1	0.7%	
110000000		3	2.1%	
120000000		2	1.4%	
129600000		1	0.7%	
134000000		1	0.7%	
140000000		1	0.7%	
150000000		1	0.7%	
168000000		1	0.7%	
170000000		2	1.4%	
195000000		1	0.7%	
200000000		7	4.9%	
207000000		1	0.7%	
230000000		1	0.7%	
240000000		1	0.7%	
250000000		1	0.7%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
254000000		1	0.7%
264660000		1	0.7%
300000000		4	2.8%
307000000		1	0.7%
312122178		1	0.7%
320000000		1	0.7%
330000000		1	0.7%
351000000		1	0.7%
371622765		1	0.7%
400000000		2	1.4%
437000000		1	0.7%
500000000		2	1.4%
585700000		1	0.7%
600000000		1	0.7%
650000000		1	0.7%
1000000000		1	0.7%
1200000000		1	0.7%
2500000000		1	0.7%
3000000000		1	0.7%
6000000000		1	0.7%
8000000000		1	0.7%
12000000000		1	0.7%
Sysmiss		217	_

n5b: Total Annual Expenditure For Purchases of Land And Buildings In Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-10000000000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=143 /-] [Invalid=217 /-]	
Literal question	N.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: - Land and buildings, including expansion and renovations of existing structures?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.7%	
0		64	44.89	%
400000		1	0.7%	
1200000		1	0.7%	
1500000		1	0.7%	
2000000		2	1.4%	
3000000		1	0.7%	
3045000		1	0.7%	
4000000		1	0.7%	
5000000		5	3.5%	
8000000		1	0.7%	
10000000		4	2.8%	
14000000		1	0.7%	
14987000		1	0.7%	

Value La	bel	Cases	Percentage
15000000		1	0.7%
20000000		3	2.1%
20546000		1	0.7%
25000000		1	0.7%
30000000		7	4.9%
35000000		1	0.7%
50000000		3	2.1%
55000000		1	0.7%
60000000		1	0.7%
67000000		1	0.7%
67856226		1	0.7%
70000000		1	0.7%
80000000		2	1.4%
98000000		1	0.7%
100000000		5	3.5%
118000000		1	0.7%
150000000		1	0.7%
200000000		4	2.8%
245000000		1	0.7%
250000000		1	0.7%
252000000		1	0.7%
300000000		1	0.7%
350000000		1	0.7%
380000000		1	0.7%
400000000		2	1.4%
427000000		1	0.7%
500000000		3	2.1%
540000000		1	0.7%
600000000		1	0.7%
800000000		1	0.7%
860000000		1	0.7%
900000000		1	0.7%
1000000000		2	1.4%
1500000000		2	1.4%
10000000000		1	0.7%
Sysmiss		217	
arning: these figures indica	te the number of cases found in the data file.	They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.
k5a: Last FY, %	6 Fixed Assets Funded By:	Internal Funds/Retained Earnin	ngs
Information	[Type- discrete] [Format-	numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Internal funds or retained earnings

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	

# k5a: Last FY	, % Fixed Assets Funde	d By: Internal Funds/Retained Earnings

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		13	10.7%
5		1	0.8%
10		1	0.8%
20		3	2.5%
25		1	0.8%
30		2	1.7%
35		2	1.7%
40		1	0.8%
45		1	0.8%
50		12	9.9%
60		9	7.4%
70		2	1.7%
80		5	4.1%
85		1	0.8%
90		1	0.8%
99		1	0.8%
100		65	53.7%
Sysmiss		239	

#k5a1: Fixed Assets - Value Internal Funds or Retained Earnings

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-1700000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]	
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Internal funds or retained earnings

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		4	18.2%
3200000		1	4.5%
7500000		1	4.5%
12500000		1	4.5%
25000000		1	4.5%
76000000		1	4.5%
195000000		1	4.5%
200000000		2	9.1%
250000000		1	4.5%
280000000		1	4.5%
282000000		1	4.5%
285700000		1	4.5%
340000000		1	4.5%
351000000		1	4.5%
379978404		1	4.5%
534000000		1	4.5%
1120000000		1	4.5%
1700000000		1	4.5%

k5a1: Fixed Assets - Value Internal Funds or Retained Earnings

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k5bc: Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Bank Borrowing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Borrowed from banks: private and state-owned

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		77	63.6%
1		1	0.8%
10		1	0.8%
15		1	0.8%
20		7	5.8%
30		3	2.5%
40		5	4.1%
50		7	5.8%
55		1	0.8%
60		1	0.8%
65		2	1.7%
70		2	1.7%
75		1	0.8%
80		1	0.8%
90		1	0.8%
100		10	8.3%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#k5bc1: Fixed Assets - Value Borrowed From Banks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-640000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]	
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Borrowed from banks: private and state-owned

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		15	68.2%
70000000		1	4.5%
140000000		1	4.5%
200000000		1	4.5%
230000000		1	4.5%
250000000		1	4.5%
300000000		1	4.5%
640000000		1	4.5%
Sysmiss		338	

#k5bc1: Fixed Assets - Value Borrowed From Banks Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # k5i: Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Owners' Contributions or Issued New Equity Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-] Literal question K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Owners' contribution or issued new equity shares Value Label Cases Percentage -9 Don't know (spontaneous) 0 0 115 95.0% 20 0.8% 40 0.8% 1.7% 50 80 0.8% 100 0.8% 239 Sysmiss Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # k5i1: Fixed Assets - Value Owners' Contribution or Issued New Equity Shares Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-0] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-] Literal question K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Owners' contribution or issued new equity shares

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		22	100.0%
Sysmiss		338	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

k5e: Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Non-Bank Financial Institutions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-90] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Borrowed from non-bank financial institutions, which include microfinance institutions, credit cooperatives, credit unions, or finance companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		119	98.3%
50		1	0.8%
90		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#	k5e1:	Fixed .	Assets -	Value	Borrowed	From	Non-	-Bank	Financial	Institutions
---	-------	---------	----------	-------	----------	------	------	-------	-----------	--------------

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]

k5e1: Fixed Assets - Value Borrowed From Non-Bank Financial Institutions Literal question K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Borrowed from non-bank financial institutions, which include microfinance institutions, credit cooperatives, credit unions, or finance companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		22	100.0%
Sysmiss		338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k5f: Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Credit From Suppliers/Advances From Customers

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-50] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Purchases on credit from suppliers and advances from customers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		114	94.2%
5		1	0.8%
10		2	1.7%
20		1	0.8%
30		2	1.7%
50		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k5f1: Fixed Assets - Value Purchases On Credit From Suppliers, Advances From Customers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-0] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Purchases on credit from suppliers and advances from customers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		22	100.0%
Sysmiss		338	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#BMk5a: Last FY, % Fixed Assets Financed with Government grants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Government grants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		120	99.2%
100		1	0.8%

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
	Laber		239	Teremage			
Sysmiss Varning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret				f interest.			
# BMk5a1:	Fixed Asso	ets - Financed with Governmen	t grants				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [I	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-0] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]				
Literal question		K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Government grants					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
-9	Don't kno	ow (spontaneous)	0				
0			22	100.0%			
Sysmiss			338				
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statistics of the population of	f interest.			
# BMk5b: 1	Last FY, %	Fixed Assets Financed by Issu	ing Bonds				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [I	Range= -9-0] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]					
Literal question		K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (percent) - Issued bonds					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)		0				
0			121	100.0%			
Sysmiss		239					
		number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be		f interest.			
Information	Fixeu Asso	ets - Financed by Issuing Bonds					
	7/88/3	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [I	Kange= -9-0] [Wissing="]				
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-] K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's					
Literal questi	on		olete fiscal year], please estimate the prop re financed from the following sources: (a				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
-9	Don't kno	ow (spontaneous)	0				
0			22	100.0%			
Sysmiss			338				
		number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be					
	: Last FY,	% Fixed Assets Funded By: Of		Kerauves\Euc)			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [I	xange= -9-80J [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]					
Literal question		• •	re financed from the following sources: (ortion or MNT amount of this establishment' percent)			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
	Don't know (spontaneous)		0				
-9	Don t kno	ow (spontaneous)	U				

#BMk5hdj: Last FY, % Fixed Assets Funded By: Other (Money Lenders\Friends\Relatives\Etc)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		1	0.8%
50		1	0.8%
80		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk5hdj1: Fixed Assets - Value Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-30000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=22 /-] [Invalid=338 /-]
Literal question	K.5. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion or MNT amount of this establishment's total purchases of fixed assets that were financed from the following sources: (amount) - Other, moneylenders, friends, relatives, etc.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		21	95.5%
30000000		1	4.5%
Sysmiss		338	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk4: Proportion of Total Purchase of Fixed Assets Financed By The Same Group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=143 /-] [Invalid=217 /-]	
Literal question	BMK.4. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please estimate the proportion of this establishment's total purchase of fixed assets that was financed by the same group to which this establishment belongs.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	35	24.5%	
0		104	72.7%	
30		1	0.7%	
100		3	2.1%	
Sysmiss		217		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk5: Lease Any Fixed Assets, e.g. Machinery, Vehicles, Equipment, Land or Buildings?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMK.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment use any assets, such as machinery, vehicles, equipment, land or buildings, under leasing?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	69	19.2%
2	No	291	80.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk6: Purchase Any Trademarks, Copyrights, Patents, or Other Intangible Assets?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

#BMk6: Purchase Any Trademarks, Copyrights, Patents, or Other Intangible Assets?

Literal question

BMK.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment purchase or acquire any trademarks, copyrights, patents, licenses, service contracts, franchise agreements, or other intangible assets?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	26	7.2%
2	No	334	92.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k4b: Purchase Any New/Used Fixed Assets?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	K.4b. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], did this establishment purchase any new or used fixed assets, such as machinery, vehicles, equipment, land or buildings, including expansion and renovations of existing structures?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO K.6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%
1	Yes	30	24.8%
2	No	86	71.1%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n5c: Total Annual Expenditure For Purchases of Equipment In Year Prior To Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-16000000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=330 /-]	
Literal question	N.5c. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: - New or used machinery, vehicles, and equipment

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0			
0		5			16.7%
1000000		1	3.3%		
3500000		1	3.3%		
4000000		1	3.3%		
9000000		1	3.3%		
10000000		1	3.3%		
14000000		1	3.3%		
16000000		1	3.3%		
20000000		2		6.7%	
25000000		2		6.7%	
30000000		1	3.3%		
35000000		1	3.3%		
74000000		1	3.3%		
100000000		1	3.3%		
120000000		1	3.3%		
150000000		1	3.3%		
300000000		1	3.3%		
450000000		1	3.3%		
500000000		1	3.3%		

		# n5c: Total Annual E	xpenditure For	Purchases of Equi	ipment In Year Prior	r To Last FY
--	--	-----------------------	----------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
540000000		1	3.3%
2000000000		1	3.3%
3000000000		1	3.3%
10000000000		1	3.3%
16000000000		1	3.3%
Sysmiss		330	

n5d: Total Annual Expenditure On Land And Buildings In Year Prior To Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-600000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=330 /-]
Literal question	N.5d. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], how much did this establishment spend on purchases of: - Land and buildings

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0		17	56.7%
1200000		1	3.3%
2500000		1	3.3%
8000000		2	6.7%
10000000		1	3.3%
20000000		1	3.3%
22000000		1	3.3%
25000000		1	3.3%
60000000		1	3.3%
85000000		1	3.3%
200000000		1	3.3%
300000000		1	3.3%
600000000		1	3.3%
Sysmiss		330	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k6: Does This Establishment Have A Checking And\or Saving Account?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	K.6. At this time, does this establishment have a checking (current) or savings account?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	358	99.4%
2	No	2	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k7: At This Time, Does This Establishment Have An Overdraft Facility?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	K.7. At this time, does this establishment have an overdraft facility?

k7: At This Time, Does This Establishment Have An Overdraft Facility?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	42	11.7%
2	No	317	88.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k8: Establishment Has A Line of Credit or Loan From A Financial Institution?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	K.8. At this time, does this establishment have a line of credit or a loan from a financial institution?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	177	49.2%
2	No	183	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk7: What Is The Reason For Not Having A Loan or Line of Credit At The Moment?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=183 /-] [Invalid=177 /-]
Literal question	BMK.7. What is the reason for not having a loan or line of credit at the moment?
Post-question	If 1, 2, 3 or -9, GO TO K.15d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Because this establishment did not apply for a loan or line of credit	162	88.5%
2	Because the last application for a loan or line of credit was turned down	16	8.7%
3	Because the approval of the application for a loan or line of credit is still pending	5	2.7%
Sysmiss		177	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k9: Type of Financial Institution That Granted The Line of Credit or Loan

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]
Literal question	K.9. Referring to the most recent line of credit or loan, what type of financial institution granted this loan?
Post-question	If 3, 4 or -9, GO TO K.10

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Private commercial banks	168	94.9%
2	State-owned banks or government agency	3	1.7%
3	Non-bank financial institutions	2	1.1%
4	Other	4	2.3%
Sysmiss		183	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k10: Year When The Most Recent Loan/Line of Credit Approved

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2019] [Missing=*]	
--	--

# k10: Year When The Most Recent Loan/Line of Credit Approved		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]	
Literal question	K.10. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, in what year was the most recent line of credit or loan approved?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.6%
2005		2	1.1%
2008		1	0.6%
2010		1	0.6%
2011		1	0.6%
2013		3	1.7%
2014		7	4.0%
2015		8	4.5%
2016		16	9.0%
2017		31	17.5%
2018		98	55.4%
2019		8	4.5%
Sysmiss		183	

$\mbox{\tt\#}\,k11\mbox{\tt H}$ For The Most Recent Loan, What Was The Value At The Time of Approval?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-70000000000] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-70000000000] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]
	Literal question	K.11. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what was its value at the time of approval?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	2	1.1%
1		2	1.1%
380000		1	0.6%
500000		1	0.6%
3000000		1	0.6%
6000000		2	1.1%
10000000		2	1.1%
12000000		1	0.6%
20000000		2	1.1%
24000000		1	0.6%
27000000		1	0.6%
30000000		6	3.4%
35000000		1	0.6%
40000000		4	2.3%
45000000		2	1.1%
50000000		7	4.0%
51000000		1	0.6%
60000000		6	3.4%
65000000		1	0.6%
68000000		1	0.6%
70000000		1	0.6%

# k11: For The Most Recent Loan, What Was The Value At The Time of Approval?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80000000		4	2.3%
85000000		1	0.6%
99000000		1	0.6%
100000000		14	7.9%
110000000		1	0.6%
120000000		4	2.3%
130000000		1	0.6%
134000000		1	0.6%
140000000		2	1.1%
150000000		6	3.4%
180000000		1	0.6%
189000000		1	0.6%
190000000		1	0.6%
200000000		7	4.0%
220000000		1	0.6%
240000000		1	0.6%
250000000		4	2.3%
260000000		1	0.6%
300000000		5	2.8%
337765000		1	0.6%
350000000		4	2.3%
370000000		1	0.6%
400000000		4	2.3%
450000000		2	1.1%
500000000		8	4.5%
600000000		3	1.7%
700000000		2	1.1%
744000000		1	0.6%
800000000		5	2.8%
900000000		1	0.6%
950000000		1	0.6%
1000000000		5	2.8%
1200000000		1	0.6%
1250000000		1	0.6%
1300000000		1	0.6%
1400000000		1	0.6%
1500000000		2	1.1%
1700000000		1	0.6%
2000000000		7	4.0%
2056673544		1	0.6%
2100000000		1	0.6%
2300000000		3	1.7%
2500000000		1	0.6%
3000000000		2	1.1%
4000000000		1	0.6%

# 1-11. For The Most	Dogont Loon What	Woo The Velue At Tl	a Time of Annuoval?
"KII: FOF THE WOS	Necent Loan, what	was the value At 11	e Time of Approval?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4500000000		1	0.6%
5000000000		4	2.3%
5500000000		1	0.6%
6000000000		1	0.6%
7000000000		1	0.6%
8000000000		1	0.6%
10000000000		3	1.7%
70000000000		1	0.6%
Sysmiss		183	

#BMk9: In What Currency Is The Most Recent Line of Credit or Loan Denominated?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]
Literal question BMK.9. In what currency is the most recent line of credit or loan denominated?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't Know (spontaneous)	0	
1	LCU, other than Euro	173	97.7%
2	Euro	0	
3	US Dollar	3	1.7%
4	Other	1	0.6%
Sysmiss		183	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMk9x: Other (Specify)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMK.9. In what currency is the most recent line of credit or loan denominated? Other specify

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
There Is A Rule Not To Provide Financial Data		1	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMk10: What Was The original Duration of The Most Recent Line of Credit or Loan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-12000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]	
Literal question BMK.10. What was the original duration of the most recent line of credit or loan in months?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	1	0.6%
1		3	1.7%
3		3	1.7%
6		5	2.8%
7		1	0.6%
12		28	15.8%

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
17			1	0.6%	
18			6	3.4%	
21			2	1.1%	
24			38		21.5%
36			43		24.3%
48			7	4.0%	
60			23	13.0%	
72			5	2.8%	
84			3	1.7%	
96			2	1.1%	
120			3	1.7%	
144			1	0.6%	
180			1	0.6%	
12000000			1	0.6%	
Sysmiss			183		
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the nun	ber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
# k13: Fina	ncing Requi	red For The Most Recent Line of (Credit or Loan		
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=	-9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]			
Literal questi	ion	K.13. Referring only to this most recent line	of credit or loan, did the	e financing require collateral?	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know	(spontaneous)	0		
1	Yes		174		98.3%
2	No		3	1.7%	
Sysmiss			183		

# k14a: Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Land, Buildings			
Information	Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=186 /-]		
Literal question K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Land, buildings under ownership of the establishment			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	147	84.5%
2	No	27	15.5%
Sysmiss		186	

k14b: Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Equipment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=186 /-]		
Literal question	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Machinery and equipment including movables	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	57	32.8%
2	No	117	67.2%
Sysmiss		186	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k14c: Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Accounts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=186 /-]	
Literal question	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Accounts receivable and inventories	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	13	7.5%
2	No	161	92.5%
Sysmiss		186	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k14d: Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Personal Assets

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=186 /-]		
Literal question	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Personal assets of owner (house, etc.)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	68	39.1%
2	No	106	60.9%
Sysmiss		186	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# k14e: Type of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Loan? Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=186 /-]		
Literal question	K.14. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what type of collateral was required? - Other forms of collateral not included in the categories above		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	9	5.2%
2	No	165	94.8%
Sysmiss		186	

k15a: Value of Collateral Required For The Most Recent Credit/Loan

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-110000000000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=186 /-]		
Literal question	K.15a. Referring only to this most recent line of credit or loan, what was the approximate value of the collateral required?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
.9	Don't know (spontaneous)	8	4.6%
50		1	0.6%
30		1	0.6%
5000000		1	0.6%
10000000		1	0.6%
15000000		1	0.6%
20000000		3	1.7%
30000000		3	1.7%
39000000		1	0.6%
40000000		1	0.6%
50000000		2	1.1%
70000000		4	2.3%
75000000		1	0.6%
30000000		3	1.7%
97000000		1	0.6%
100000000		7	4.0%
120000000		2	1.1%
130000000		2	1.1%
140000000		1	0.6%
150000000		7	4.0%
160000000		1	0.6%
170000000		1	0.6%
180000000		2	1.1%
190000000		1	0.6%
200000000		7	4.0%
220000000		1	0.6%
225000000		1	0.6%
240000000		2	1.1%
250000000		7	4.0%
256000000		1	0.6%

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
300000000			6	3.4%		
350000000			3	1.7%		
380000000			1	0.6%		
400000000			4	2.3%		
450000000			6	3.4%		
467000000			1	0.6%		
500000000			6	3.4%		
600000000			5	2.9%		
700000000			4	2.3%		
800000000			5	2.9%		
900000000			1	0.6%		
1000000000			8	4	4.6%	
1050000000			1	0.6%		
1200000000			3	1.7%		
1300000000			3	1.7%		
1400000000			1	0.6%		
1500000000			4	2.3%		
1600000000			1	0.6%		
1800000000			2	1.1%		
2000000000			4	2.3%		
2380500000			1	0.6%		
2500000000			2	1.1%		
3000000000			4	2.3%		
3200000000			1	0.6%		
3500000000			1	0.6%		
4000000000			4	2.3%		
5000000000			1	0.6%		
6000000000			2	1.1%		
6500000000			1	0.6%		
7584170000			1	0.6%		
8000000000			1	0.6%		
9000000000			1	0.6%		
10000000000			2	1.1%		
11000000000			1	0.6%		
15000000000			1	0.6%		
16000000000			1	0.6%		
20000000000			4	2.3%		
110000000000			1	0.6%		
Sysmiss			186			
Warning: these figur	res indicate the nu	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the pop	ulation of interest.		
k15b: Tota	al Number	of Open Lines of Credit and Outs	tanding Loans			
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	e= -9-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/	/ W]	[Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]				
			C 1'4 1 4 4 1'	loans held by this establishment?		

k15b: Total Number of Open Lines of Credit and Outstanding Loans

Post-question If -9, GO TO K.15d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	2.8%
1		143	80.8%
2		18	10.2%
3		9	5.1%
4		2	1.1%
Sysmiss		183	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k15c: Total Outstanding Balance of All Open Lines of Credit and Loans (LCU)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-70000000000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=172 /-] [Invalid=188 /-]		
Literal question	K.15c. What is the total outstanding balance of all open lines of credit and loans held by this establishment?		

Value	Label	Cases	Pe	rcentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.6%	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0		
0		6		3.5%
1		1	0.6%	
3		1	0.6%	
240000		1	0.6%	
300000		1	0.6%	
1060000		1	0.6%	
1200000		1	0.6%	
4000000		1	0.6%	
5000000		4		2.3%
5200000		1	0.6%	
5300000		1	0.6%	
6000000		2	1.2%	
6400000		1	0.6%	
7345600		1	0.6%	
8000000		1	0.6%	
10000000		5		2.9%
12000000		3		1.7%
13200000		1	0.6%	
14000000		1	0.6%	
15000000		2	1.2%	
15900000		1	0.6%	
16000000		1	0.6%	
18000000		2	1.2%	
20000000		2	1.2%	
21000000		1	0.6%	
23000000		1	0.6%	
25000000		1	0.6%	
30000000		5		2.9%
35000000		1	0.6%	

Value	Label	Cases		Perce	entage	
37000000		1	0.6%			
40000000		2		.2%		
42000000		1	0.6%			
45000000		1	0.6%			
47000000		1	0.6%			
50000000		2		.2%		
53000000		1	0.6%			
55000000		2		.2%		
60000000		4			2.3%	
65000000		1	0.6%		_	
69000000		1	0.6%			
70000000		2		.2%		
74000000		1	0.6%			
77000000		1	0.6%			
80000000		4			2.3%	
85800000		1	0.6%			
86000000		1	0.6%			
90000000		2		.2%		
93000000		1	0.6%			
100000000		3		1.79	%	
110000000		2		.2%		
115000000		2		.2%		
120000000		2		.2%		
140000000		2		.2%		
149000000		1	0.6%			
150000000		2		.2%		
155000000		1	0.6%			
180000000		1	0.6%			
200000000		6			:	3.5%
220000000		1	0.6%			
230000000		1	0.6%			
250000000		2		.2%		
260000000		1	0.6%			
290000000		1	0.6%			
300000000		2		.2%		
305000000		1	0.6%			
340000000		1	0.6%			
347000000		1	0.6%			
350000000		1	0.6%			
358000000		1	0.6%			
380000000		1	0.6%			
390000000		1	0.6%			
400000000		3		1.79	%	
411000000		1	0.6%			

Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
600000000			5		2.9%	
650000000			1	0.6%		
700000000			3		1.7%	
798000000			1	0.6%		
800000000			1	0.6%		
1000000000			2	1.2%		
1100000000			1	0.6%		
1200000000			4		2.3%	
1238834491			1	0.6%		
1300000000			1	0.6%		
1400000000			2	1.2%		
1500000000			1	0.6%		
1900000000			1	0.6%		
1978000000			1	0.6%		
2000000000			2	1.2%		
2300000000			1	0.6%		
2729500000			1	0.6%		
2760000000			1	0.6%		
3000000000			2	1.2%		
3100000000			1	0.6%		
3600000000			1	0.6%		
3762414500			1	0.6%		
4200000000			1	0.6%		
4800000000			2	1.2%		
5000000000			2	1.2%		
7000000000			2	1.2%		
10000000000)		1	0.6%		
11000000000)		1	0.6%		
11500000000)		1	0.6%		
20000000000)		2	1.2%		
70000000000)		1	0.6%		
Sysmiss			188			
Varning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	be interpreted as summary statistics of the population	lation of interest.		
k15d: Ou	ıtstanding l	Personal Loans Used To Finan	ce Establishment'S Busines	ss Activities?		
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric]	[Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NV	w/ w]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Literal questi	ion	K.15d. At this time, does the owner of finance this establishment's business		any outstanding per	rsonal loans that are used to	
Value	Label		Cases	P	ercentage	
-9	Don't kno	ow (spontaneous)	0			
1	Yes		12	3.3%		
2	No		348		96.79	

# k16: In Last FY, Did Establishment Apply For New Loans/Lines of Credit?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	K.16. Referring again to the last fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment apply for any lines of credit or loans?		
Post-question	If 1, GO TO K.20; If -9, GO TO K.21		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	142	39.4%
2	No	218	60.6%

k17: Main Reason For Not Applying For New Loans or New Lines of Credit

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=218 /-] [Invalid=142 /-]	
Literal question K.17. What was the main reason why this establishment did not apply for any line of credit or loan?	
Post-question If 1 to 7 or -9, GO TO K.21	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	No need for a loan - establishment had sufficient capital	61	28.0%	
2	Application procedures were complex	10	4.6%	
3	Interest rates were not favorable	119	54.6%	
4	Collateral requirements were too high	17	7.8%	
5	Size of loan and maturity were insufficient	4	1.8%	
6	Did not think it would be approved	1	0.5%	
7	Other	6	2.8%	
Sysmiss		142		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k20a1: What Was The Outcome of That Most Recent Application For Loan/Line of Credit?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=142 /-] [Invalid=218 /-]
Literal question	K.20. Referring only to this most recent application for a line of credit or loan, what was the outcome of that application?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
-6	Still in process	0		
1	Application was approved in full	122	85.9%	
2	Application was approved in part	3	2.1%	
3	Application was rejected	16	11.3%	
4	Application was withdrawn	1	0.7%	
Sysmiss		218		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

k21: Financial Statements Checked & Certified By External Auditor In Last FY?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	K.21. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have its annual financial statements checked and certified by an external auditor?

k21: Financial Statements Checked & Certified By External Auditor In Last FY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Yes	320	88.9%	
2	No	40	11.1%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#k30: How Much of An Obstacle: Access To Finance

Information	on [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	K.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree is Access to Finance an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%	
-7	Does not apply	0		
0	No obstacle	36	10.0%	
1	Minor obstacle	73	20.3%	
2	Moderate obstacle	151	41.9%	
3	Major obstacle	81	22.5%	
4	Very severe obstacle	18	5.0%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h7a: The Court System Is Fair, Impartial And Uncorrupted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	H7a. The court system is fair, impartial and uncorrupted

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	4	1.1%	
-7	Does not apply	0		
1	Strongly disagree	112	31.1%	
2	Tend to disagree	160	44.4%	
3	Tend to agree	70	19.4%	
4	Strongly agree	14	3.9%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j2: What % of Senior Management Time Was Spent In Dealing With Govt Regulations?

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-90] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	J.2. In a typical week over the last year, what percentage of total senior management's time was spent on dealing with requirements imposed by government regulations? (By senior management I mean managers, directors, and officers above direct supervisors of production or sales workers.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
0	No time was spent	69		19.2%
1		11	3.1%	
2		13	3.6%	
3		6	1.7%	
4		5	1.4%	

# i2	2: Wha	t % o	f Senior	Management	t Time Was S	bent In Dealin	g With Govt Regulations?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		49	13.6%
6		2	0.6%
7		1	0.3%
8		5	1.4%
10		40	11.1%
11		1	0.3%
12		2	0.6%
14		3	0.8%
15		4	1.1%
16		2	0.6%
20		50	13.9%
24		2	0.6%
25		2	0.6%
30		19	5.3%
35		1	0.3%
36		1	0.3%
40		20	5.6%
45		1	0.3%
50		19	5.3%
56		5	1.4%
60		10	2.8%
64		2	0.6%
70		6	1.7%
80		4	1.1%
87		1	0.3%
90		4	1.1%

\sharp j3: Over The Last 12 Months, Was This Establishment Inspected By Tax officials?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	J.3. Over the last year, was this establishment visited or inspected by tax officials or required to meet with them?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMJ.1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	233	64.7%
2	No	127	35.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j4: Frequency of Inspections/Requirement For Meeting By Tax officials

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-50] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=233 /-] [Invalid=127 /-]
Literal question	J.4. Over the last year, how many times was this establishment visited or inspected by tax officials or required to meet with them?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	

# j4	4: Frequen	y of Inspe	ections/Req	uirement For	Meeting By	y Tax officials
------	------------	------------	-------------	--------------	------------	-----------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		103	44.2%
2		55	23.6%
3		22	9.4%
4		27	11.6%
5		8	3.4%
6		2	0.9%
8		2	0.9%
10		3	1.3%
12		8	3.4%
13		1	0.4%
30		1	0.4%
50		1	0.4%
Sysmiss		127	

j5: In Any of These Inspections Was A Gift/Informal Payment Requested?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=233 /-] [Invalid=127 /-]
Literal question	J.5. In any of these inspections or meetings was a gift or informal payment expected or requested?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	16	6.9%
2	No	217	93.1%
Sysmiss		127	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj1a: Tax officials Were Professional And Impartial

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - Tax officials were professional and impartial	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
1	Strongly disagree	10	2.8%
2	Tend to disagree	30	8.3%
3	Tend to agree	214	59.4%
4	Strongly agree	104	28.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj1b: Tax officials Were Transparent When Making Decisions About This Establishment

*	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements:

#BMj1b: Tax officials Were Transparent When Making Decisions About This Establishment

- Tax officials were transparent when making decisions regarding this establishment

Value	Label	Cases	Percenta	ige
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%	
1	Strongly disagree	14	3.9%	
2	Tend to disagree	24	6.7%	
3	Tend to agree	212		58.9%
4	Strongly agree	109	30.3%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj1c: This Establishment Was Able To Voice Complaints

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - This establishment was able to voice complaints	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
1	Strongly disagree	13	3.6%
2	Tend to disagree	50	13.9%
3	Tend to agree	180	50.0%
4	Strongly agree	116	32.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj1_impartial_pos: BMj1: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.981 /-] [StdDev=0.798 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - Tax officials were professional and impartial	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		118	32.8%
2		131	36.4%
3		111	30.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj1_transparent_pos: BMj1: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.939 /-] [StdDev=0.812 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - Tax officials were transparent when making decisions regarding this establishment	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		130	36.1%
2		122	33.9%
3		108	30.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#BMj1_voice_pos: BMj1: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview			
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.081 /-] [StdDev=0.836 /-]		
Literal question BMJ.1. In reference to this establishment's experience with the process of paying taxes, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - This establishment was able to voice complaints			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		112	31.1%
2		107	29.7%
3		141	39.2%

#j6a: Government Contract Secured (or Attempted) In The Last 12 Months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question J.6a. Over the last year, has this establishment secured or attempted to secure a government contract?		
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO J.7	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	122	33.9%
2	No	237	65.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#j6: % of Value Avg. Establishment Pays In Informal Gifts To Govt To Secure Contract?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-20] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=122 /-] [Invalid=238 /-]	
Literal question	J.6. When establishments like this one do business with the government, what percent of the contract value would be typically paid in informal payments or gifts to secure the contract?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.8%
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
0	No payments	109	89.3%
1		1	0.8%
2		1	0.8%
5		1	0.8%
6		1	0.8%
8		2	1.6%
10		5	4.1%
20		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		238	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j7a: Percent of Total Annual Sales Paid In Informal Payments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-20] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=269 /-] [Invalid=91 /-]
Literal question	J.7. It is said that establishments are sometimes required to make gifts or informal payments to public officials to "get things done" with regard to customs, taxes, licenses, regulations, services etc. On average, what percentage of total annual sales, or estimated total annual value, do establishments like this one pay in informal payments or gifts to public officials for this purpose?

j7a: Percent of Total Annual Sales Paid In Informal Payments

- Percentage of total annual sales paid as informal payment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
0	No payments or gifts are paid	246	91.4%
1		6	2.2%
2		2	0.7%
3		3	1.1%
5		1	0.4%
6		2	0.7%
7		1	0.4%
8		3	1.1%
10		4	1.5%
20		1	0.4%
Sysmiss		91	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j7b: Total Annual Informal Payment

	·	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-30000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=91 /-] [Invalid=269 /-]	
Literal question	J.7. It is said that establishments are sometimes required to make gifts or informal payments to public officials to "get things done" with regard to customs, taxes, licenses, regulations, services etc. On average, what percentage of total annual sales, or estimated total annual value, do establishments like this one pay in informal payments or gifts to public officials for this purpose? - Total annual informal payment	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
0	No payments or gifts are paid	84	92.3%
500000		2	2.2%
800000		1	1.1%
5000000		2	2.2%
9000000		1	1.1%
30000000		1	1.1%
Sysmiss		269	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#j10: Application To Obtain An Import License Submitted Over The Last 2 Years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	J.10. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain an import license?	
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO J.13	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0			
1	Yes	46	12.8%		
2 No 314 87.2%					
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

#j11: How Many Days Did It Take To Obtain Your Import License?		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-120] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=46 /-] [Invalid=314 /-]	
Literal question	J.11. Approximately how many days did it take to obtain this import license from the day of the application to the day it was granted?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-6	Still in process	2	4.3%
-5	Application denied	0	
1	One day or less	9	19.6%
2		1	2.2%
3		6	13.0%
4		1	2.2%
5		3	6.5%
7		11	23.9%
10		1	2.2%
14		1	2.2%
15		1	2.2%
22		1	2.2%
30		4	8.7%
60		2	4.3%
90		2	4.3%
120		1	2.2%
Sysmiss		314	

#j12: When You Applied For An Import License, Was An Informal Gift/Payment Requested?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=46 /-] [Invalid=314 /-]	
Literal question	J.12. In reference to that application for an import license, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	2	4.3%
2	No	44	95.7%
Sysmiss		314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j13: Application To Obtain An Operating License Submitted Over Last 2 Years?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question J.13. Over the last two years, did this establishment submit an application to obtain an operating license?	
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMJ.3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	68	18.9%
2	No	292	81.1%

#j13: Application To Obtain An Operating License Submitted Over Last 2 Years?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#j14: How Many Days Did It Take To Obtain Your Operating License?

	• 0
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-180] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=68 /-] [Invalid=292 /-]
Literal question	J.14. Approximately how many days did it take to obtain this operating license from the day of the application to the day it was granted?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-6	Still in process	0	
-5	Application denied	0	
1	One day or less	2	2.9%
3		2	2.9%
4		1	1.5%
5		3	4.4%
7		10	14.7%
10		1	1.5%
14		10	14.7%
15		2	2.9%
20		2	2.9%
25		2	2.9%
30		15	22.1%
45		3	4.4%
60		7	10.3%
90		5	7.4%
150		2	2.9%
180		1	1.5%
Sysmiss		292	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j15: When You Applied For Operating License Was An Informal Gift/Payment Requested?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=68 /-] [Invalid=292 /-]	
Literal question	J.15. In reference to that application for an operating license, was an informal gift or payment expected or requested?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	7	10.3%
2	No	61	89.7%
Sysmiss		292	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj2a: Public officials Were Professional And Impartial

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=68 /-] [Invalid=292 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - Public officials were professional and impartial	

#BMj2a: Public officials Were Professional And Impartial

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.5%
-7	Does not apply	2	2.9%
1	Strongly disagree	4	5.9%
2	Tend to disagree	20	29.4%
3	Tend to agree	32	47.1%
4	Strongly agree	9	13.2%
Sysmiss		292	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj2b: Public officials Were Transparent In Making Decisions About This Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=68 /-] [Invalid=292 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - Public officials were transparent in making decisions regarding this establishment	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.5%
-7	Does not apply	2	2.9%
1	Strongly disagree	4	5.9%
2	Tend to disagree	20	29.4%
3	Tend to agree	31	45.6%
4	Strongly agree	10	14.7%
Sysmiss		292	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj2c: This Establishment Was Able To Voice Complaints

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=68 /-] [Invalid=292 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: - This establishment was able to voice complaints	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	1.5%
-7	Does not apply	2	2.9%
1	Strongly disagree	8	11.8%
2	Tend to disagree	14	20.6%
3	Tend to agree	31	45.6%
4	Strongly agree	12	17.6%
Sysmiss		292	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj2_impartial_pos: BMj2: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.981 /-] [StdDev=0.798 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - Public officials were professional and impartial	

# BM	j2 im	partial	pos: BM	j2: The	Position i	in which	This Op	tion A	ppears I	During 1	Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		118	32.8%
2		131	36.4%
3		111	30.8%

#BMj2_transparent_pos: BMj2: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.939 /-] [StdDev=0.812 /-]			
Literal question	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - Public officials were transparent in making decisions regarding this establishment			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		130	36.1%
2		122	33.9%
3		108	30.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj2_voice_pos: BMj2: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.081 /-] [StdDev=0.836 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.2. In reference to this establishment's experience of the whole process of obtaining an operating license, please tell me if you Strongly disagree, Tend to disagree, Tend to agree, or Strongly agree with the following statements: (position) - This establishment was able to voice complaints	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		112	31.1%
2		107	29.7%
3		141	39.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj3a: Payments, Gifts or Exchange of Favors With Parliamentarians To Affect Votes

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
		BMJ.3. It is often said that firms make gifts or informal payments to public officials to gain advantages in the drafting of laws, decrees, regulations or other binding government decisions. Using the scale in the show card, please tell me to what extent have the following practices had a direct impact on this establishment. - Payments, gifts or exchange of favors with parliamentarians to affect their votes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	1	0		
-7	2	1	0.3%	
0	3	290	80.6%	
1	4	37	10.3%	
2	5	24	6.7%	
3	6	6	1.7%	
4	7	2	0.6%	
5	8	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# BMj3b: Payments, or Exchange of Favors With National Govt officials To Affect Decrees		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.3. It is often said that firms make gifts or informal payments to public officials to gain advantages in the drafting of laws, decrees, regulations or other binding government decisions. Using the scale in the show card, please tell me to what extent have the following practices had a direct impact on this establishment. - Payments, gifts or exchange of favors with national government officials to affect the content of government decrees	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	1	0	
-7	2	1	0.3%
0	3	266	73.9%
1	4	46	12.8%
2	5	38	10.6%
3	6	6	1.7%
4	7	3	0.8%
5	8	0	

#BMj3c: Payments, or Exchange of Favors With Local/Reg. Govt officials To Affect Policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMJ.3. It is often said that firms make gifts or informal payments to public officials to gain advantages in the drafting of laws, decrees, regulations or other binding government decisions. Using the scale in the show card, please tell me to what extent have the following practices had a direct impact on this establishment. - Payments, gifts or exchange of favors with local or regional government officials to affect their votes or content of local or regional decrees

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	1	0	
-7	2	1	0.3%
0	3	240	66.7%
1	4	67	18.6%
2	5	39	10.8%
3	6	11	3.1%
4	7	2	0.6%
5	8	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj3_parliament_pos: BMj3: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.981 /-] [StdDev=0.798 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.3. It is often said that firms make gifts or informal payments to public officials to gain advantages in the drafting of laws, decrees, regulations or other binding government decisions. Using the scale in the show card, please tell me to what extent have the following practices had a direct impact on this establishment. (position) - Payments, gifts or exchange of favors with parliamentarians to affect their votes	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		118	32.8%
2		131	36.4%
3		111	30.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#BMj3_natgov_pos: BMj3: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.939 /-] [StdDev=0.812 /-]	
Literal question	BMJ.3. It is often said that firms make gifts or informal payments to public officials to gain advantages in the drafting of laws, decrees, regulations or other binding government decisions. Using the scale in the show card, please tell me to what extent have the following practices had a direct impact on this establishment. (position) - Payments, gifts or exchange of favors with national government officials to affect the content of government decrees	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		130	36.1%
2		122	33.9%
3		108	30.0%

#BMj3_locgov_pos: BMj3: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.081 /-] [StdDev=0.836 /-]
Literal question	BMJ.3. It is often said that firms make gifts or informal payments to public officials to gain advantages in the drafting of laws, decrees, regulations or other binding government decisions. Using the scale in the show card, please tell me to what extent have the following practices had a direct impact on this establishment. (position) - Payments, gifts or exchange of favors with local or regional government officials to affect their votes or content of local or regional decrees

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		112	31.1%
2		107	29.7%
3		141	39.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30a: How Much of An Obstacle: Tax Rates

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Tax rates

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
0	No obstacle	25	6.9%
1	Minor obstacle	63	17.5%
2	Moderate obstacle	123	34.2%
3	Major obstacle	84	23.3%
4	Very severe obstacle	64	17.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30b: How Much of An Obstacle: Tax Administrations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Tax administration	

#j30b: How Much of An Obstacle: Tax Administrations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No obstacle	93	25.8%
1	Minor obstacle	137	38.1%
2	Moderate obstacle	87	24.2%
3	Major obstacle	33	9.2%
4	Very severe obstacle	10	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30c: How Much of An Obstacle: Business Licensing And Permits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Business licensing and permits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
0	No obstacle	141	39.2%
1	Minor obstacle	99	27.5%
2	Moderate obstacle	72	20.0%
3	Major obstacle	34	9.4%
4	Very severe obstacle	13	3.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# j30e: How Much of An Obstacle: Political Instability		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current of this establishment? - Political instability		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No obstacle	52	14.4%
1	Minor obstacle	46	12.8%
2	Moderate obstacle	73	20.3%
3	Major obstacle	50	13.9%
4	Very severe obstacle	138	38.3%

#j30f: How Much of An Obstacle: Corruption

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Corruption

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	2	0.6%
0	No obstacle	107	29.7%
1	Minor obstacle	75	20.8%
2	Moderate obstacle	54	15.0%
3	Major obstacle	31	8.6%
4	Very severe obstacle	91	25.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

h30: How Much of An Obstacle: Courts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Courts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
0	No obstacle	221	61.4%
1	Minor obstacle	73	20.3%
2	Moderate obstacle	50	13.9%
3	Major obstacle	12	3.3%
4	Very severe obstacle	3	0.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#BMj4a: How Much of An Obstacle: Occupational safety regulations		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the cut of this establishment? - Occupational safety regulations		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
0	No obstacle	189	52.5%
1	Minor obstacle	99	27.5%
2	Moderate obstacle	46	12.8%
3	Major obstacle	20	5.6%
4	Very severe obstacle	5	1.4%

#BMj4b: How Much of An Obstacle: Health and hygiene regulations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Health and hygiene regulations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No obstacle	197	54.7%
1	Minor obstacle	95	26.4%
2	Moderate obstacle	46	12.8%
3	Major obstacle	15	4.2%
4	Very severe obstacle	7	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj4c: How Much of An Obstacle: Environmental regulations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Environmental regulations

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%		
-7	Does not apply	0			
0	No obstacle	213			59.2%
1	Minor obstacle	92		25.6%	
2	Moderate obstacle	30	8.3%		
3	Major obstacle	20	5.6%		
4	Very severe obstacle	4	1.1%		

#j30_taxrate_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.964 /-] [StdDev=2.472 /-]		
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Tax rates	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		32	8.9%	
2		41	11.4%	
3		45	12.5%	
4		43	11.9%	
5		49	13.6%	
6		36	10.0%	
7		39	10.8%	
8		43	11.9%	
9		32	8.9%	

$\begin{tabular}{ll} \# j30_taxadmin_pos: J30: The \ Position \ in \ which \ This \ Option \ Appears \ During \ Interview \end{tabular}$

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5.036 /-] [StdDev=2.552 /-]	
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Tax administration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		44	12.2%
2		34	9.4%
3		29	8.1%
4		47	13.1%
5		44	12.2%
6		40	11.1%
7		49	13.6%
8		34	9.4%
9		39	10.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#j30_permit_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.956 /-] [StdDev=2.53 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Business licensing and permits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		33	9.2%
2		49	13.6%
3		39	10.8%
4		42	11.7%
5		39	10.8%
6		47	13.1%

j30_permit_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		32	8.9%
8		44	12.2%
9		35	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30_instability_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.936 /-] [StdDev=2.545 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Political instability

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		43	11.9%
2		38	10.6%
3		37	10.3%
4		39	10.8%
5		50	13.9%
6		39	10.8%
7		40	11.1%
8		39	10.8%
9		35	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30_corruption_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.961 /-] [StdDev=2.505 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Corruption

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		34	9.4%
2		38	10.6%
3		52	14.4%
4		41	11.4%
5		40	11.1%
6		38	10.6%
7		42	11.7%
8		41	11.4%
9		34	9.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30_courts_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.833 /-] [StdDev=2.68 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Courts

#j30_courts_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		55	15.3%
2		35	9.7%
3		42	11.7%
4		42	11.7%
5		32	8.9%
6		36	10.0%
7		39	10.8%
8		39	10.8%
9		40	11.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30_safety_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.972 /-] [StdDev=2.628 /-]	
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Occupational safety regulations	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		42	11.7%
2		42	11.7%
3		43	11.9%
4		36	10.0%
5		35	9.7%
6		39	10.8%
7		45	12.5%
8		35	9.7%
9		43	11.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

j30_health_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5.186 /-] [StdDev=2.676 /-]
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Health and hygiene regulations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		38	10.6%
2		39	10.8%
3		43	11.9%
4		31	8.6%
5		36	10.0%
6		44	12.2%
7		31	8.6%
8		45	12.5%
9		53	14.7%

#j30_environment_pos: J30: The Position in which This Option Appears During Interview		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5.156 /-] [StdDev=2.653 /-]	
Literal question	J.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? (position) - Environmental regulations	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		39	10.8%
2		44	12.2%
3		30	8.3%
4		39	10.8%
5		35	9.7%
6		41	11.4%
7		43	11.9%
8		40	11.1%
9		49	13.6%

#BMj5: For The Next 3 Years, Which Public Spending Should Be of Highest Priority?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMJ.5. From the perspective of this establishment, for the next three years, which one of the following areas of public spending should be the highest priority?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Transport	66	18.3%
2	Energy	26	7.2%
3	Environment	39	10.8%
4	Education	97	26.9%
5	Health	82	22.8%
6	Information and Communication Technology	37	10.3%
7	Other (spontaneous-specify)	12	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMj5x: Other (Specify)

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=12 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question BMJ.5. From the perspective of this establishment, for the next three years, which one of the following areas of pending should be the highest priority? Other specify		BMJ.5. From the perspective of this establishment, for the next three years, which one of the following areas of public spending should be the highest priority? Other specify

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Agriculture		1	8.3%
Construction Sector		1	8.3%
Customs		1	8.3%
Light Industry		1	8.3%
Manufacturing		3	25.0%
Manufacturing Of Construction Materials		1	8.3%

#BMj5x: Other (Specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Manufacturing Of Food, Agriculture And Light Industrial Article		1	8.3%
Mining		1	8.3%
Production		2	16.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#11: Num. Permanent, Full-Time Employees At End of Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2200] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	L.1. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many permanent, full-time individuals worked in this establishment? Please include all employees and managers Permanent, full-time employees are defined as all employees that are employed for a term of one or more fiscal years and/or
	have a guaranteed renewal of their employment and that work a full shift.

	nave a guaranteed renewar of their e	improgramme and that work a run s	iiit.
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1		2	0.6%
2		2	0.6%
3		5	1.4%
4		4	1.1%
5		40	11.1%
6		10	2.8%
7		9	2.5%
8		20	5.6%
9		13	3.6%
10		20	5.6%
11		7	1.9%
12		12	3.3%
13		7	1.9%
14		7	1.9%
15		12	3.3%
16		5	1.4%
17		2	0.6%
18		11	3.1%
19		3	0.8%
20		7	1.9%
21		2	0.6%
22		5	1.4%
23		4	1.1%
24		7	1.9%
25		7	1.9%
26		4	1.1%
27		3	0.8%
28		2	0.6%
30		10	2.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2	0.6%
2		1	0.3%
3		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
35		3	0.8%
36		1	0.3%
38		2	0.6%
10		2	0.6%
1 1		2	0.6%
12		3	0.8%
13		2	0.6%
5		2	0.6%
19		3	0.8%
50		4	1.1%
54		1	0.3%
56		1	0.3%
50		2	0.6%
53		2	0.6%
55		2	0.6%
56		1	0.3%
58		2	0.6%
59		1	0.3%
70		4	1.1%
72		1	0.3%
73		1	0.3%
74		2	0.6%
5		2	0.6%
76		1	0.3%
77		1	0.3%
30		5	1.4%
33		1	0.3%
34		1	0.3%
5		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
01		1	0.3%
93		2	0.6%
5		2	0.6%
98		1	0.3%
.00		3	0.8%
.09		1	0.3%
10		4	1.1%
12		1	0.3%
15		1	0.3%
19		1	0.3%
20		3	0.8%

#11: Num. Permanent, Full-Time Employees At End of Last Fiscal Yea	# 11:	: Num. Permanent	Full-Time Employees	At End of Last Fiscal Year
--	-------	------------------	---------------------	----------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
128		1	0.3%
138		1	0.3%
140		2	0.6%
142		1	0.3%
162		1	0.3%
170		1	0.3%
180		1	0.3%
181		1	0.3%
200		2	0.6%
210		2	0.6%
212		1	0.3%
219		1	0.3%
220		1	0.3%
240		1	0.3%
255		1	0.3%
294		1	0.3%
340		1	0.3%
350		3	0.8%
355		1	0.3%
360		1	0.3%
376		1	0.3%
415		1	0.3%
450		1	0.3%
500		1	0.3%
550		1	0.3%
710		1	0.3%
800		1	0.3%
820		1	0.3%
866		1	0.3%
1350		1	0.3%
2200		1	0.3%
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.

#12: Num. Permanent, Full-Time Employees At End of 3 Fiscal Years Ago

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	L.2. Looking back, at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus two], how many permanent, full-time individuals worked in this establishment? Please include all employees and managers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Establishment was not in business	1	0.3%
1		3	0.8%
2		4	1.1%
3		5	1.4%
4		5	1.4%

#12: Num.	[‡] 12: Num. Permanent, Full-Time Employees At End of 3 Fiscal Years Ago				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
5		29	8.1%		
6		22	6.1%		
7		14	3.9%		
8		17	4.7%		
9		16	4.4%		
10		15	4.2%		
11		5	1.4%		
12		14	3.9%		
13		8	2.2%		
14		9	2.5%		
15		17	4.7%		
16		4	1.1%		
17		2	0.6%		
18		9	2.5%		
19		1	0.3%		
20		10	2.8%		
21 22		4	0.6%		
23		5	1.4%		
24		3	0.8%		
25		1	0.3%		
27		3	0.8%		
28		8	2.2%		
30		7	1.9%		
31		1	0.3%		
32		4	1.1%		
35		4	1.1%		
36		1	0.3%		
38		2	0.6%		
40		5	1.4%		
42		1	0.3%		
43		1	0.3%		
44		1	0.3%		
45		5	1.4%		
49		1	0.3%		
50		8	2.2%		
52		1	0.3%		
53		1	0.3%		
54		2	0.6%		
55			0.3%		
56		2	0.6%		
59		1	0.3%		
60		5	1.4%		
65		1	0.3%		
70		3	0.8%		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
72		3	0.8%
73		1	0.3%
75		1	0.3%
80		6	1.7%
82		1	0.3%
85		3	0.8%
90		2	0.6%
98		1	0.3%
100		4	1.1%
110		4	1.1%
112		1	0.3%
115		1	0.3%
119		1	0.3%
120		4	1.1%
128		1	0.3%
130		2	0.6%
137		1	0.3%
140		1	0.3%
146		1	0.3%
150		1	0.3%
160		1	0.3%
162		1	0.3%
180		1	0.3%
200		1	0.3%
220		2	0.6%
240		1	0.3%
258		1	0.3%
270		1	0.3%
275		1	0.3%
300		3	0.8%
320		1	0.3%
323		1	0.3%
355		1	0.3%
390		1	0.3%
450		1	0.3%
480		1	0.3%
550		1	0.3%
630		1	0.3%
680		1	0.3%
750		1	0.3%
863		1	0.3%
1100		1	0.3%
2000		1	0.3%
	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. I		_

#13a: Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Production Workers		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-1540] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question	L.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], at the end of the fiscal year, how many permanent, full-time individuals in this establishment were: - Production workers	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	4	3.3%
2		1 0.	.8%
3		2	1.7%
4		10	8.3%
5		9	7.4%
6		10	8.3%
7		2	1.7%
3		4	3.3%
)		1 0.	.8%
10		5	4.1%
11		2	1.7%
12		5	4.1%
13		1 0.	.8%
14		1 0.	.8%
15		2	1.7%
16		2	1.7%
17		2	1.7%
18		2	1.7%
19		2	1.7%
20		2	1.7%
21		2	1.7%
22		4	3.3%
24		1 0.	.8%
25		1 0.	.8%
26		1 0.	.8%
30		1 0.	.8%
32		1 0.	.8%
33		1 0.	.8%
36		1 0.	.8%
38		1 0.	.8%
40		1 0.	.8%
47		1 0.	.8%
50		2	1.7%
53		1 0.	.8%
54		2	1.7%
55		3	2.5%
50		2	1.7%
52			.8%
53			.8%
65			.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
67		1	0.8%
70		2	1.7%
75		1	0.8%
76		2	1.7%
80		1	0.8%
86		1	0.8%
112		1	0.8%
114		1	0.8%
120		1	0.8%
130		1	0.8%
170		1	0.8%
180		1	0.8%
200		1	0.8%
279		1	0.8%
330		1	0.8%
350		1	0.8%
420		1	0.8%
450		1	0.8%
677		1	0.8%
720		1	0.8%
1540		1	0.8%
Sysmiss	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	239	

#13b: Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Non-Production Workers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-660] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	L.3. Coming back to fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], at the end of the fiscal year, how many permanent, full-time individuals in this establishment were: - Non-production workers [e.g., administration, sales]

Value	Label	Cases	Per	rcentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	4	3.3%	
0		7		5.8%
1		9		7.4%
2		12		9.9%
3		6	5	5.0%
4		10		8.3%
5		5	4.1%	
6		9		7.4%
7		5	4.1%	
8		2	1.7%	
9		3	2.5%	
10		4	3.3%	
11		1	0.8%	
12		2	1.7%	
13		1	0.8%	

# 13b: Num. Full-Time I	mployees At End of Last FY: Non-Production W	orkers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14		2	1.7%
15		5	4.1%
16		1	0.8%
17		2	1.7%
20		1	0.8%
21		1	0.8%
23		1	0.8%
25		3	2.5%
27		2	1.7%
28		1	0.8%
29		1	0.8%
30		3	2.5%
34		1	0.8%
35		1	0.8%
38		1	0.8%
40		2	1.7%
60		1	0.8%
90		1	0.8%
98		1	0.8%
100		3	2.5%
125		1	0.8%
155		1	0.8%
165		1	0.8%
180		1	0.8%
189		1	0.8%
290		1	0.8%
660		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

14a1: Num. of Permanent, Full-Time Highly Skilled Production Workers, Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-400] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question	L.4. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how many permanent, full-time production workers in this establishment were: - In highly skilled jobs, that is professionals whose tasks require extensive theoretical and technical knowledge

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%	
0		1	0.8%	
1		5	4.1%	
2		12		9.9%
3		13		10.7%
4		13		10.7%
5		8	6.6%	
6		6	5.0%	
7		3	2.5%	

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
8			3	2.5%
9			1	0.8%
10			7	5.8%
11			2	1.7%
12			4	3.3%
14			1	0.8%
15			2	1.7%
16			1	0.8%
17			1	0.8%
20			3	2.5%
21			1	0.8%
22			1	0.8%
25			2	1.7%
26			2	1.7%
27			1	0.8%
30			2	1.7%
32			1	0.8%
38			1	0.8%
40			1	0.8%
45			1	0.8%
50			4	3.3%
53			1	0.8%
57			1	0.8%
60			1	0.8%
66			1	0.8%
76			1	0.8%
80			1	0.8%
100			1	0.8%
120			1	0.8%
150			1	0.8%
200			1	0.8%
282			1	0.8%
300			1	0.8%
400			1	0.8%
Sysmiss			239	0.070
•	gures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in		opulation of interest.
# 14a2: Nu	m, of Perm	anent, Full-Time Semi Skilled Pr	oduction Workers Las	it FY
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ra	nige= -9- / /U] [MISSIng=*]	
Statistics [NV		[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]		
Literal quest	ion	establishment were:		any permanent, full-time production workers in this el of mechanical or technical knowledge
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't kno	ow (spontaneous)	5	4.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		6	5.0%
2		11	9.1%
3		4	3.3%
4		5	4.1%
5		2	1.7%
6		3	2.5%
7		1	0.8%
8		3	2.5%
10		10	8.3%
11		3	2.5%
14		1	0.8%
15		1	0.8%
16		1	0.8%
19		1	0.8%
20		1	0.8%
21		2	1.7%
24		1	0.8%
25		1	0.8%
30		5	4.1%
33		1	0.8%
35		1	0.8%
40		3	2.5%
43		1	0.8%
50		4	3.3%
61		1	0.8%
66		1	0.8%
70		1	0.8%
140		1	0.8%
150		2	1.7%
200		1	0.8%
221		1	0.8%
270		1	0.8%
300		1	0.8%
308		1	0.8%
770		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	
	res indicate the number of cases found in the data file. I		
# 14b: Num.	of Permanent, Full-Time Unskil	ed Production Workers, Last F	Y
Information		umeric] [Range= -9-470] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=23	0 /-]	
Literal question	establishment were:	r [Insert last complete fiscal year], how r nose tasks involve no specialized knowled	nany permanent, full-time production workers in this dge
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		69	57.0%
1		3	2.5%
2		3	2.5%
3		4	3.3%
4		2	1.7%
5		5	4.1%
6		3	2.5%
7		1	0.8%
8		3	2.5%
10		2	1.7%
12		1	0.8%
14		1	0.8%
15		2	1.7%
20		3	2.5%
21		1	0.8%
24		1	0.8%
25		1	0.8%
30		1	0.8%
33		1	0.8%
40		1	0.8%
45		1	0.8%
50		2	1.7%
60		1	0.8%
87		1	0.8%
100		2	1.7%
470		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the number of cases found in the data file.	They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the	e population of interest.
# 15a: Num	. Full-Time Employees At End of	Last FY: Female Production W	Vorkers
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=	numeric] [Range= -9-616] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NV	V/W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=23	39 /-]	
Literal questi		L.5. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], in this establishment how many permanent, full-time works in each of the following categories were female? - Production workers	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%
0		9	7.4%
1		5	4.1%
2		5	4.1%
3		9	7.4%
4		5	4.1%
5		4	3.3%
6		8	6.6%
7		6	5.0%
8		5	4.1%

Value	Label	Cases Percentage	
9		1 0.8%	
10		9	7.4%
11		4 3.3%	
12		1 0.8%	
14		1 0.8%	
15		1 0.8%	
16		1 0.8%	
17		1 0.8%	
18		2 1.7%	
19		1 0.8%	
20		2 1.7%	
22		2 1.7%	
24		1 0.8%	
25		1 0.8%	
26		1 0.8%	
28		1 0.8%	
29		1 0.8%	
38		2 1.7%	
40		1 0.8%	
42		1 0.8%	
45		1 0.8%	
50		2 1.7%	
53		1 0.8%	
55		3 2.5%	
60		4 3.3%	
70		1 0.8%	
79		1 0.8%	
80		1 0.8%	
96		1 0.8%	
120		1 0.8%	
170		1 0.8%	
195		1 0.8%	
290		1 0.8%	
300		1 0.8%	
350		1 0.8%	
420		1 0.8%	
559		1 0.8%	
570		1 0.8%	
616		1 0.8%	
Sysmiss	in lights the must be of a second of the sec	239	
		hey cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	
		Last FY: Female Non-Production Workers	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=	numeric] [Range= -9-290] [Missing=*]	

#15b: Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Female Non-Production Workers

L.5. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], in this establishment how many permanent, full-time workers in each of the following categories were female?

- Non-production workers

Value	Label	Cases	Perc	entage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%	
0		17		14.0%
1		20		16.5%
2		12		9.9%
3		5	4.1%	
4		7	5.8%	
5		6	5.0%	
6		5	4.1%	
7		2	1.7%	
8		3	2.5%	
10		3	2.5%	
11		2	1.7%	
12		2	1.7%	
14		2	1.7%	
15		4	3.3%	
16		2	1.7%	
17		1	0.8%	
18		1	0.8%	
19		1	0.8%	
20		4	3.3%	
21		1	0.8%	
25		1	0.8%	
30		2	1.7%	
35		1	0.8%	
47		1	0.8%	
57		1	0.8%	
60		1	0.8%	
70		2	1.7%	
86		1	0.8%	
90		1	0.8%	
100		1	0.8%	
132		1	0.8%	
165		1	0.8%	
264		1	0.8%	
290		1	0.8%	
Sysmiss		239		

15: Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Female

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-972] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=239 /-] [Invalid=121 /-]
Literal question	L.5. At the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], in this establishment how many permanent, full-time workers were female?

$\mbox{\tt\#}\,\mbox{\tt 15:}$ Num. Full-Time Employees At End of Last FY: Female

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	4	1.7%
1		15	6.3%
2		20	8.4%
3		20	8.4%
4		31	13.0%
5		17	7.1%
6		14	5.9%
7		7	2.9%
8		13	5.4%
9		7	2.9%
10		13	5.4%
11		2	0.8%
12		2	0.8%
13		3	1.3%
14		4	1.7%
15		3	1.3%
16		3	1.3%
17		1	0.4%
18		2	0.8%
19		1	0.4%
20		4	1.7%
21		3	1.3%
22		3	1.3%
23		1	0.4%
24		4	1.7%
25		3	1.3%
28		2	0.8%
29		1	0.4%
30		1	0.4%
34		2	0.8%
37			0.4%
40		1	0.4%
41		1	0.4%
43		2	0.8%
45		1	0.4%
48		1	0.4%
50		2	0.8%
51		1	0.4%
53		2	0.8%
60		1	0.4%
65		1	0.4%
70		3	1.3%
75		1	0.4%
80		1	0.4%
86		1	0.4%

# 15: Num. Full-Time Employe	es At End of Last FY: Female
------------------------------	------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88		1	0.4%
91		1	0.4%
100		1	0.4%
110		1	0.4%
138		1	0.4%
142		1	0.4%
145		1	0.4%
180		2	0.8%
210		1	0.4%
280		1	0.4%
384		1	0.4%
972		1	0.4%
Sysmiss		121	

l6: Num. Full-Time Temporary Employees At End of Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-500] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	L.6. How many full-time seasonal or temporary employees did this establishment employ during [Insert last complete fiscal year]?
	Full-time, temporary workers are all short-term that is for less than a year, employees with no guarantee of renewal of employment and work full-time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
0	No full-time seasonal or temporary workers	200	55.6%
1		5	1.4%
2		8	2.2%
3		9	2.5%
4		6	1.7%
5		7	1.9%
6		8	2.2%
7		3	0.8%
8		9	2.5%
9		2	0.6%
10		20	5.6%
11		1	0.3%
12		2	0.6%
13		2	0.6%
14		3	0.8%
15		10	2.8%
16		1	0.3%
19		2	0.6%
20		10	2.8%
21		1	0.3%
22		2	0.6%

# 16: Num. Full-Time Tempo	orary Employees At End of Last FY
----------------------------	-----------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23		1	0.3%
24		1	0.3%
25		2	0.6%
30		13	3.6%
32		1	0.3%
34		1	0.3%
36		1	0.3%
40		3	0.8%
45		1	0.3%
48		1	0.3%
50		3	0.8%
55		1	0.3%
56		1	0.3%
60		2	0.6%
64		1	0.3%
67		1	0.3%
70		2	0.6%
86		1	0.3%
90		1	0.3%
100		2	0.6%
110		1	0.3%
120		1	0.3%
129		1	0.3%
141		1	0.3%
157		1	0.3%
170		1	0.3%
300		1	0.3%
500		1	0.3%

l6a: Female Temporary, Full-Time Workers Employed Throughout Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-84] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=159 /-] [Invalid=201 /-]
Literal question	L.6a. How many full-time seasonal or temporary employees during fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], were female?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
0		38	23.9	%
1		13	8.2%	
2		19	11.9%	
3		15	9.4%	
4		8	5.0%	
5		7	4.4%	
6		12	7.5%	
7		3	1.9%	

l6a: Female Temporary, Full-Time Workers Employed Throughout Last FY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		6	3.8%
9		3	1.9%
10		8	5.0%
11		2	1.3%
12		2	1.3%
15		2	1.3%
17		1	0.6%
18		2	1.3%
20		3	1.9%
25		2	1.3%
28		1	0.6%
30		5	3.1%
36		1	0.6%
40		1	0.6%
45		1	0.6%
50		1	0.6%
55		1	0.6%
64		1	0.6%
84		1	0.6%
Sysmiss		201	
W		at a Catharina a Cathar	and the state of t

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#18: Avg. Length of Employment of All Full-Time Temporary Employees In Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-12] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=159 /-] [Invalid=201 /-]
Literal question	L.8. What was the average length of employment of all full-time seasonal or temporary employees in fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year]?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	One month or less	38	23.9%
2		19	11.9%
3		38	23.9%
4		11	6.9%
5		10	6.3%
6		18	11.3%
7		6	3.8%
8		7	4.4%
9		3	1.9%
10		2	1.3%
11		1	0.6%
12		6	3.8%
Sysmiss		201	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#19b: % of Full Time Workers Completed High School End of Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]

#19b: % of Full Time Workers Completed High School End of Last FY			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=75 /-] [Invalid=285 /-]			
Literal question	L.9b. What percentage or how many of the full-time permanent workers employed at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] completed secondary school? (percent) Please provide the percentage or number, not both.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
2		1	1.3%
4		2	2.7%
5		2	2.7%
7		2	2.7%
8		1	1.3%
10		1	1.3%
11		1	1.3%
12		3	4.0%
13		1	1.3%
18		1	1.3%
20		1	1.3%
26		1	1.3%
50		9	12.0%
60		4	5.3%
70		6	8.0%
75		1	1.3%
80		4	5.3%
89		1	1.3%
90		6	8.0%
95		2	2.7%
98		1	1.3%
99		1	1.3%
100		23	30.7%
Sysmiss		285	

#19b1: Number of Full Time Workers Completed High School

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-1350] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=285 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]
	L.9b. What percentage or how many of the full-time permanent workers employed at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] completed secondary school? (number) Please provide the percentage or number, not both.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.7%
1		4	1.4%
2		4	1.4%
3		7	2.5%
4		6	2.1%
5		33	11.6%
6		10	3.5%

/alue	Label	Cases	Percentage
		8	2.8%
		15	5.3%
		9	3.2%
)		23	8.1%
		7	2.5%
		4	1.4%
		5	1.8%
		6	2.1%
		9	3.2%
		5	1.8%
		1	0.4%
		7	2.5%
		4	1.4%
		5	1.8%
		1	0.4%
		5	1.8%
		3	1.1%
		1	0.4%
		5	1.8%
		4	1.4%
		2	0.7%
		1	0.4%
		9	3.2%
		1	0.4%
		1	0.4%
		1	0.4%
		7	2.5%
		1	0.4%
		1	0.4%
		3	1.1%
		3	1.1%
		2	0.7%
		1	0.4%
		1	0.4%
		2	0.7%
		3	1.1%
		1	0.4%
		2	0.7%
		1	0.4%
		1	0.4%
		1	0.4%
		1	0.4%
		2	0.7%
		1	0.4%
		2	0.7%
			0.770

#19b1: Number of Full Time Workers Completed High School
--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		3	1.1%
81		1	0.4%
84		1	0.4%
85		1	0.4%
87		1	0.4%
88		1	0.4%
91		1	0.4%
93		1	0.4%
95		2	0.7%
98		1	0.4%
99		1	0.4%
100		3	1.1%
110		1	0.4%
114		1	0.4%
119		1	0.4%
120		1	0.4%
128		1	0.4%
138		1	0.4%
140		2	0.7%
160		1	0.4%
168		1	0.4%
170		1	0.4%
200		2	0.7%
212		1	0.4%
219		1	0.4%
220		1	0.4%
240		1	0.4%
355		1	0.4%
376		1	0.4%
400		1	0.4%
415		1	0.4%
450		1	0.4%
800		1	0.4%
1350		1	0.4%
Sysmiss		75	

#BMl1a: % Permanent Full-Time Employees At The End of FY Had A University Degree?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=81 /-] [Invalid=279 /-]
Literal question	BML.1 What percentage or how many of this establishment's permanent full-time employees employed at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] had a university degree? Please provide the percentage or number, not both. (percent) - Percentage of permanent full-time employees with a university degree

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	2.5%
0	No one has a university degree	3	3.7%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3	3.7%
2		2	2.5%
3		4	4.9%
4		3	3.7%
5		3	3.7%
6		1	1.2%
10		4	4.9%
15		1	1.2%
20		10	12.3%
25		1	1.2%
28		4	4.9%
30		9	11.1%
35		2	2.5%
38		2	2.5%
40		3	3.7%
45		1	1.2%
48		1	1.2%
50		9	11.1%
56		1	1.2%
60		1	1.2%
70		3	3.7%
80		3	3.7%
95		1	1.2%
98		1	1.2%
100		3	3.7%
Sysmiss		279	

#BMI1b: Num. of Permanent Full-Time Employees At The End of FY Had A University Degree?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-904] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=279 /-] [Invalid=81 /-]
Literal question	BML.1 What percentage or how many of this establishment's permanent full-time employees employed at the end of fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year] had a university degree? Please provide the percentage or number, not both. (number) - Number of permanent full-time employees with a university degree

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.7%
0	No one has a university degree	2	0.7%
1		16	5.7%
2		43	15.4%
3		32	11.5%
4		23	8.2%
5		16	5.7%
6		14	5.0%
7		8	2.9%
8		16	5.7%
9		5	1.8%

alue	Label	Cases	Percentage
)		16	5.7%
		4	1.4%
2		4	1.4%
3		2	0.7%
ļ.		2	0.7%
5		5	1.8%
i		3	1.1%
7		3	1.1%
3		3	1.1%
)		5	1.8%
2		1	0.4%
3		2	0.7%
ļ		2	0.7%
5		2	0.7%
7		1	0.4%
)		5	1.8%
2		1	0.4%
5		4	1.4%
5		1	0.4%
)		2	0.7%
1		1	0.4%
5		1	0.4%
5		1	0.4%
)		1	0.4%
)		4	1.4%
2		1	0.4%
3		1	0.4%
)		1	0.4%
)		2	0.7%
5		2	0.7%
í		2	0.7%
7		1	0.4%
5		1	0.4%
1		1	0.4%
00		2	0.7%
08		1	0.4%
.0		1	0.4%
26		1	0.4%
31		1	0.4%
10		1	0.4%
55		1	0.4%
58		1	0.4%
70		1	0.4%
54		1	0.4%
50		1	0.4%

#BMI1b: Num. of Permanent Full-Time Employees At The End of FY Had A University Degree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
320		1	0.4%
904		1	0.4%
Sysmiss		81	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

l10: Formal Training Programs For Permanent, Full-Time Employees In Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	L.10. Over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have formal training programs for its permanent, full-time employees?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO 130a

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	273	75.8%
2	No	87	24.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMl2: What Was The Primary Focus of The Formal Training Programs?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=273 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]
Literal question	BML.2. What was the primary focus of the formal training programs? (If there were more than one training please refer to the one with highest total cost)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Numeracy or math skills	0	
2	Problem solving or critical thinking skills	3	1.1%
3	Managerial and leadership skills	1	0.4%
4	Interpersonal and communication skills	11	4.0%
5	Job-specific technical skills	66	24.2%
6	Other	190	69.6%
7		2	0.7%
Sysmiss		87	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#111a: % Permanent Full-Time Production Employees Received Formal Training In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=74 /-] [Invalid=286 /-]
Literal question	L.11. Referring to the training programs run over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of permanent, full-time employees of the following categories received formal training? If easier please provide the total numbers (provide one or the other but not both) (percent) - Production full-time permanent employees trained

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	3	4.1%
0	No employees trained	0	
2		1	1.4%
10		2	2.7%
15		1	1.4%

# l11a: % Permanent Full-Tin	ne Production Employees	Received Formal Trainin	g In Last FY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		1	1.4%
30		2	2.7%
38		1	1.4%
50		1	1.4%
60		2	2.7%
63		1	1.4%
70		4	5.4%
75		2	2.7%
80		6	8.1%
100		47	63.5%
Sysmiss		286	

#111a1: Training Programs Amount: Production Full-Time Permanent Employees Trained

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-200] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=24 /-] [Invalid=336 /-]
Literal question	L.11. Referring to the training programs run over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of permanent, full-time employees of the following categories received formal training? If easier please provide the total numbers (provide one or the other but not both) (number) - Production full-time permanent employees trained

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0	No employees trained	2	8.3%
2		3	12.5%
3		3	12.5%
4		2	8.3%
8		3	12.5%
9		2	8.3%
10		1	4.2%
12		1	4.2%
15		3	12.5%
20		1	4.2%
21		1	4.2%
55		1	4.2%
200		1	4.2%
Sysmiss		336	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#111b: % Permanent Fulltime Nonproduction Employees Received Formal Training In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=74 /-] [Invalid=286 /-]
Literal question	L.11. Referring to the training programs run over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of permanent, full-time employees of the following categories received formal training? If easier please provide the total numbers (provide one or the other but not both) (percent) - Non-production full-time permanent employees trained

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	4	5.4%

#111b: % Permanent Fulltime	Nonproduction Employees 1	Received Formal Training I	n Last FY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No employees trained	9	12.2%
10		2	2.7%
13		1	1.4%
20		3	4.1%
25		1	1.4%
30		2	2.7%
33		1	1.4%
37		1	1.4%
40		2	2.7%
50		3	4.1%
65		1	1.4%
80		2	2.7%
90		1	1.4%
100		41	55.4%
Sysmiss		286	

#111b1: Training Programs Amount:Non-Production Full-Time Permanent Employees Trained

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-155] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=24 /-] [Invalid=336 /-]
Literal question	L.11. Referring to the training programs run over fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what percentage of permanent, full-time employees of the following categories received formal training? If easier please provide the total numbers (provide one or the other but not both) (number) - Non-production full-time permanent employees trained

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
0	No employees trained	4	16.7%
1		3	12.5%
2		5	20.8%
3		2	8.3%
5		3	12.5%
7		1	4.2%
8		1	4.2%
10		2	8.3%
12		1	4.2%
14		1	4.2%
155		1	4.2%
Sysmiss		336	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#130a: How Much of An Obstacle: Labor Regulations?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	L.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Labor regulations

#130a: How Much of An Obstacle: Labor Regulations?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
0	No obstacle	210	58.3%
1	Minor obstacle	98	27.2%
2	Moderate obstacle	41	11.4%
3	Major obstacle	7	1.9%
4	Very severe obstacle	4	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#130b: How Much of An Obstacle: Inadequately Educated Workforce?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	L.30. Using the response options on the card; To what degree are each of the following an obstacle to the current operations of this establishment? - Inadequately educated workforce

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
0	No obstacle	93	25.8%
1	Minor obstacle	126	35.0%
2	Moderate obstacle	91	25.3%
3	Major obstacle	39	10.8%
4	Very severe obstacle	10	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m1a: Biggest Obstacle Affecting The Operation of This Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment.
Interviewer's instructions	SHOW RANDOMIZED LIST TO THE RESPONDENT. DO NOT READ OPTIONS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	1	0.3%
1	Access to finance	56	15.6%
2	Access to land	3	0.8%
3	Business licensing and permits	10	2.8%
4	Corruption	8	2.2%
5	Courts	0	
6	Crime, theft and disorder	0	
7	Customs and trade regulations	9	2.5%
8	Electricity	3	0.8%
9	Inadequately educated workforce	44	12.2%
10	Labor regulations	4	1.1%
11	Political instability	106	29.4%
12	Practices of competitors in the informal sector	13	3.6%

#m1a: Biggest Obstacle Affecting The Operation of This Establishment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Tax administration	10	2.8%
14	Tax rates	84	23.3%
15	Transport	9	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#m1a_finance_pos: m1a: Position of Access to Finance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.075 /-] [StdDev=4.191 /-]
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Access to finance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		22	6.1%
2		20	5.6%
3		23	6.4%
4		21	5.8%
5		25	6.9%
6		31	8.6%
7		27	7.5%
8		20	5.6%
9		27	7.5%
10		26	7.2%
11		19	5.3%
12		25	6.9%
13		33	9.2%
14		24	6.7%
15		17	4.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m1a_land_pos: m1a: Position of Access to land

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.697 /-] [StdDev=4.328 /-]		
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Access to land	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		30	8.3%
2		30	8.3%
3		30	8.3%
4		17	4.7%
5		17	4.7%
6		22	6.1%
7		18	5.0%
8		35	9.7%
9		29	8.1%
10		17	4.7%
11		26	7.2%

#m1a_land_pos: m1a: Position of Access to land

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		27	7.5%
13		24	6.7%
14		23	6.4%
15		15	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#m1a_permit_pos: m1a: Position of Business licensing and permits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.489 /-] [StdDev=3.919 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment Business licensing and permits	

Value	Label	Cases	Percent	tage
1		23	6.4%)
2		29		8.1%
3		24	6.79	%
4		24	6.79	%
5		21	5.8%	
6		19	5.3%	
7		22	6.1%	
8		43		11.9%
9		44		12.2%
10		31		8.6%
11		19	5.3%	
12		18	5.0%	
13		15	4.2%	
14		16	4.4%	
15		12	3.3%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m1a_corruption_pos: m1a: Position of corruption

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.253 /-] [StdDev=4.458 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Corruption	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		20	5.6%
2		33	9.2%
3		25	6.9%
4		21	5.8%
5		17	4.7%
6		16	4.4%
7		27	7.5%
8		18	5.0%
9		27	7.5%
10		23	6.4%

#m1a_corruption_pos: m1a: Position of corruption

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11		20	5.6%
12		30	8.3%
13		29	8.1%
14		28	7.8%
15		26	7.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m1a_courts_pos: m1a: Position of Courts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.914 /-] [StdDev=4.285 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Courts	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		20	5.6%
2		24	6.7%
3		33	9.2%
4		22	6.1%
5		30	8.3%
6		20	5.6%
7		26	7.2%
8		17	4.7%
9		19	5.3%
10		31	8.6%
11		20	5.6%
12		26	7.2%
13		31	8.6%
14		25	6.9%
15		16	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

m1a_crime_pos: m1a: Position of Crime, theft and disorder

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.375 /-] [StdDev=4.288 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Crime, theft and disorder	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		31	8.6%
2		27	7.5%
3		25	6.9%
4		28	7.8%
5		35	9.7%
6		29	8.1%
7		21	5.8%
8		14	3.9%
9		18	5.0%

#m1a_crime_pos: m1a: Position of Crime, theft and disorder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10		25	6.9%	
11		25	6.9%	
12		30	8.3%	
13		15	4.2%	
14		22	6.1%	
15		15	4.2%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#m1a_trade_pos: m1a: Position of Customs and trade regulations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	W/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.3 /-] [StdDev=4.344 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Customs and trade regulations	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		26	7.2%	
2		20	5.6%	
3		24	6.7%	
4		19	5.3%	
5		16	4.4%	
6		24	6.7%	
7		26	7.2%	
8		27	7.5%	
9		19	5.3%	
10		24	6.7%	
11		38	10.6%	
12		14	3.9%	
13		33	9.2%	
14		24	6.7%	
15		26	7.2%	
Warning: these f	arning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# m1a_electricity_pos: m1a: Position of Electricity		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	istics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.833 /-] [StdDev=4.321 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Electricity	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		22	6.1%
2		30	8.3%
3		25	6.9%
4		30	8.3%
5		22	6.1%
6		20	5.6%
7		21	5.8%
8		28	7.8%
9		19	5.3%
10		27	7.5%
11		23	6.4%
12		17	4.7%
13		35	9.7%
14		26	7.2%
15		15	4.2%

#m1a_workforce_pos: m1a: Position of Inadequately educated workforce

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.053 /-] [StdDev=4.147 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Inadequately educated workforce

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		14	3.9%
2		27	7.5%
3		22	6.1%
4		24	6.7%
5		23	6.4%
6		31	8.6%
7		25	6.9%
8		35	9.7%
9		20	5.6%
10		25	6.9%
11		29	8.1%
12		15	4.2%
13		17	4.7%
14		32	8.9%
15		21	5.8%
Warning: these f	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summar	statistics of the	population of interest.

# m1a_labor_pos: m1a:	#m1a_labor_pos: m1a: Position of Labor regulations		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.681 /-] [StdDev=4.298 /-]		
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Labor regulations		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		32	8.9%	
2		18	5.0%	
3		22	6.1%	
4		30	8.3%	
5		30	8.3%	
6		20	5.6%	
7		25	6.9%	
8		32	8.9%	
9		21	5.8%	
10		26	7.2%	
11		21	5.8%	
12		21	5.8%	
13		17	4.7%	
14		18	5.0%	
15		27	7.5%	

#m1a_instability_pos: m1a: Position of Political instability

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.556 /-] [StdDev=4.246 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Political instability	

Value	Label	Cases	Percent	age	
1		17	4.7%		
2		17	4.7%		
3		18	5.0%		
4		21	5.89	%	
5		25		6.9%	
6		29		8.1%	
7		29		8.1%	
8		25		6.9%	
9		23	6	.4%	
10		26		7.2%	
11		19	5.3%		
12		31		8.6%	
13		17	4.7%		
14		25		6.9%	
15		38			10.6%
Warning: these f	gures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They	cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the po	pulation of interest.		

# m1a_informal_pos: m1a: Position of Practices of competitors in the informal sector		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.311 /-] [StdDev=4.474 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Practices of competitors in the informal sector	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		23	6.4%
2		20	5.6%
3		21	5.8%
4		29	8.1%
5		21	5.8%
6		26	7.2%
7		26	7.2%
8		22	6.1%
9		19	5.3%
10		21	5.8%
11		28	7.8%
12		18	5.0%
13		20	5.6%
14		24	6.7%
15		42	11.7%

#m1a_taxadmin_pos: m1a: Position of Tax administration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.167 /-] [StdDev=4.494 /-]
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Tax administration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		27	7.5%
2		18	5.0%
3		27	7.5%
4		29	8.1%
5		25	6.9%
6		21	5.8%
7		21	5.8%
8		17	4.7%
9		18	5.0%
10		19	5.3%
11		25	6.9%
12		34	9.4%
13		24	6.7%
14		26	7.2%
15		29	8.1%

# m1a_taxrate_pos: m1	#m1a_taxrate_pos: m1a: Position of Tax rates		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.264 /-] [StdDev=4.387 /-]		
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Tax rates		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		26	7.2%
2		20	5.6%
3		20	5.6%
4		23	6.4%
5		25	6.9%
6		24	6.7%
7		22	6.1%
8		18	5.0%
9		28	7.8%
10		22	6.1%
11		30	8.3%
12		25	6.9%
13		20	5.6%
14		27	7.5%
15		30	8.3%

m1a_transport_pos: m1a: Position of Transport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.033 /-] [StdDev=4.501 /-]	
Literal question	M.1. By looking at the list of elements of the business environment please tell me which one, if any, currently represents the biggest obstacle faced by this establishment. - Transport	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		27	7.5%
2		27	7.5%
3		21	5.8%
4		22	6.1%
5		28	7.8%
6		28	7.8%
7		24	6.7%
8		9	2.5%
9		29	8.1%
10		17	4.7%
11		18	5.0%
12		29	8.1%
13		30	8.3%
14		20	5.6%
15		31	8.6%
Warning: these f	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.

# n2a: Total Labor Cost (Incl. Wages, Salaries, Bonuses, Etc) In Last Fiscal Year		
Information	Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-54000000000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of labor including wages, salaries, bonuses, social security payments	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
0		2	0.6%
3020800		1	0.3%
3697300		1	0.3%
6900000		1	0.3%
6960000		1	0.3%
7200000		1	0.3%
7600000		1	0.3%
8400000		1	0.3%
8601600		1	0.3%
9000000		2	0.6%
10247700		1	0.3%
10656000		1	0.3%
10800000		1	0.3%
11400000		1	0.3%
11520000		1	0.3%
12000000		1	0.3%
12500000		1	0.3%
12800000		1	0.3%
13139800		1	0.3%
14000000		1	0.3%
14400000		2	0.6%
15000000		2	0.6%
15125000		1	0.3%
15243700		1	0.3%
15360000		1	0.3%
16500000		1	0.3%
16560000		1	0.3%
16592000		1	0.3%
17049600		1	0.3%
17280000		1	0.3%
17673600		1	0.3%
18000000		1	0.3%
18844200		1	0.3%
19200000		3	0.8%
19500000		1	0.3%
20000000		2	0.6%
21000000		2	0.6%
21600000		2	0.6%
21800000		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22240000		1	0.3%
23000000		1	0.3%
23300000		1	0.3%
23400000		1	0.3%
24000000		5	1.4%
24038765		1	0.3%
24300000		1	0.3%
24500000		1	0.3%
24650000		1	0.3%
24887300		1	0.3%
25000000		1	0.3%
26000000		1	0.3%
26136000		1	0.3%
26306000		1	0.3%
26350000		1	0.3%
26400000		1	0.3%
26640000		2	0.6%
26820000		1	0.3%
27000000		3	0.8%
27600000		1	0.3%
27800000		1	0.3%
28000000		1	0.3%
28560000		1	0.3%
28800000		1	0.3%
29142000		1	0.3%
30000000		6	1.7%
30600000		1	0.3%
30828900		1	0.3%
31600000		1	0.3%
32500000		1	0.3%
32841600		1	0.3%
33000000		1	0.3%
33200000		1	0.3%
34240800		1	0.3%
34560000		1	0.3%
34600000		1	0.3%
35000000		1	0.3%
35640000		1	0.3%
35904000		1	0.3%
36000000		3	0.8%
36480000		1	0.3%
36720000		1	0.3%
37000000		1	0.3%
37080000		1	0.3%
		1	0.570

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38400000		1	0.3%
38563000		1	0.3%
39000000		1	0.3%
39278800		1	0.3%
39600000		1	0.3%
39960000		1	0.3%
40000000		3	0.8%
40720000		1	0.3%
41057200		1	0.3%
42000000		4	1.1%
42175427		1	0.3%
43000000		1	0.3%
43136200		1	0.3%
45000000		1	0.3%
45703000		1	0.3%
46800000		1	0.3%
47000000		1	0.3%
48000000		4	1.1%
48670340		1	0.3%
49000000		1	0.3%
50000000		4	1.1%
50400000		2	0.6%
51260000		1	0.3%
51200000		1	0.3%
51840000		1	0.3%
52200000		1	0.3%
53300000		1	0.3%
54000000		2	0.6%
55000000		2	0.6%
57494400		1	0.3%
57600000		1	0.3%
58400000		1	0.3%
59000000		1	0.3%
6000000		1	0.3%
61124900		1	0.3%
61644500		1	0.3%
61929000		1	0.3%
63000000		1	0.3%
64480000		1	0.3%
64800000		1	0.3%
65000000		1	0.3%
68600000		1	0.3%
70000000			0.3%
72000000		1	0.8%
		3	
72643800		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
74000000		1	0.3%	
74490000		1	0.3%	
75000000		1	0.3%	
78400000		1	0.3%	
79200000		1	0.3%	
79776000		1	0.3%	
79800000		1	0.3%	
80000000		6		1.7%
80400000		1	0.3%	
80412500		1	0.3%	
81400000		1	0.3%	
84000000		2	0.6%	
86000000		1	0.3%	
86400000		1	0.3%	
89800000		1	0.3%	
90000000		1	0.3%	
91000000		1	0.3%	
91200000		1	0.3%	
95000000		1	0.3%	
96000000		1	0.3%	
97756000		1	0.3%	
99960000		1	0.3%	
101245000		1	0.3%	
103600000		1	0.3%	
107698000		1	0.3%	
108000000		1	0.3%	
108400000		1	0.3%	
110000000		1	0.3%	
112550000		1	0.3%	
115200000		1	0.3%	
119400000		1	0.3%	
120000000		3	0.8%	
129502000		1	0.3%	
129600000		2	0.6%	
130000000		2	0.6%	
131000000		1	0.3%	
132000000		1	0.3%	
132687000		1	0.3%	
133216000		1	0.3%	
138100096		1	0.3%	
139626200		1	0.3%	
140000000		2	0.6%	
141200000		1	0.3%	
147000000		1	0.3%	
150000000		3	0.8%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
151000000		1	0.3%
152538000		1	0.3%
160500000		1	0.3%
166000000		1	0.3%
168790800		1	0.3%
170000000		2	0.6%
175689000		1	0.3%
180000000		3	0.8%
182250000		1	0.3%
183700000		1	0.3%
192000000		2	0.6%
198315900		1	0.3%
200000000		2	0.6%
204000000		1	0.3%
205000000		1	0.3%
212490000		1	0.3%
216000000		1	0.3%
218011000		1	0.3%
225000000		1	0.3%
230000000		1	0.3%
241793000		1	0.3%
250000000		1	0.3%
253731700		1	0.3%
256000000		1	0.3%
260000000		1	0.3%
270000000		1	0.3%
278080000		1	0.3%
281000000		1	0.3%
292198581		1	0.3%
300000000		6	1.7%
310000000		1	0.3%
316000000		1	0.3%
322952000		1	0.3%
327000000		1	0.3%
336000000		1	0.3%
345000000		1	0.3%
358928800		1	0.3%
362000000		1	0.3%
364573940		1	0.3%
379500000		1	0.3%
412492300		1	0.3%
450000000		3	0.8%
456000000		1	0.3%
471000000		1	0.3%
476268000		1	0.3%

lue	Label	Cases	Percentage
0000000		1	0.3%
1703981		1	0.3%
80600		1	0.3%
551000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
032640		1	0.3%
178500		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
139394		1	0.3%
500000		1	0.3%
653713		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
000000		2	0.6%
000000		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
0000		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
00000		2	0.6%
00000		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
0000		2	0.6%
000000		2	0.6%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
681827		1	0.3%
200100		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
0000000		2	0.6%
097620		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		3	0.8%
0000000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
757000		1	0.3%
2000000		1	0.3%
7000000		1	0.3%
343000		1	0.3%
0000000		1	0.3%
			0.3%

# n2a: Total Labor Cost (I	Incl. Wages, Salaries, Bonus	ses, Etc) In Last Fiscal Year
----------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2480448900		1	0.3%
2500000000		1	0.3%
2640000000		1	0.3%
3000000000		1	0.3%
3200000000		1	0.3%
3300000000		1	0.3%
3406000000		1	0.3%
3600000000		1	0.3%
4086000000		1	0.3%
4200000000		1	0.3%
4354143131		1	0.3%
4790400000		1	0.3%
4950000000		1	0.3%
5000000000		1	0.3%
5500000000		2	0.6%
5550000000		1	0.3%
6000000000		1	0.3%
6800000000		1	0.3%
7000000000		2	0.6%
8800000000		1	0.3%
42161190000		1	0.3%
54000000000		1	0.3%
Warning: these figure	es indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.

n2e: Cost of Raw Materials And Intermediate Goods Used In Prod. In Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-222688917700] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of raw materials and intermediate goods used in production

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	6	5.0%
300		1	0.8%
2000000		1	0.8%
2381000		1	0.8%
2480000		1	0.8%
3000000		1	0.8%
4470000		1	0.8%
8600000		1	0.8%
11248210		1	0.8%
12600000		1	0.8%
13000000		1	0.8%
13800000		1	0.8%
14204000		1	0.8%
14500000		1	0.8%
15000000		1	0.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
00000		1	0.8%
54800		1	0.8%
17556		1	0.8%
77000		1	0.8%
95000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
50000		1	0.8%
00300		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
000000		2	1.7%
55200		1	0.8%
37300		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
31000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
900		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
000		1	0.8%
000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
30000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
00000			0.8%
		1	
00000		1	0.8%
		1	
00000		1	0.8%
12000		1	0.8%
00000		2	1.7%
00000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
00000		2	1.7%
47617		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
2400		1	0.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56032000		1	0.8%
0000000		2	1.7%
000000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
2657000		1	0.8%
852000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
1704800		1	0.8%
5763000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
1882500		1	0.8%
00000000		3	2.5%
0000000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
410000		1	0.8%
501000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
0862011		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
593391		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
5354000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
100000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
5012000		1	0.8%
4774710		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
55000000		1	0.8%
0000000		1	0.8%
500000000		1	0.8%
00000000			0.8%
00000000		1	
00000000		1	0.8%
		1	0.8%
00000000		1	0.8%

n2e: Cost of Raw Materials And Intermediate Goods Used In Prod. In Last Fiscal Year

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28121700710		1	0.8%
30000000000		1	0.8%
31000000000		1	0.8%
32500000000		1	0.8%
222688917700		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n2b: Total Annual Costs of Electricity In Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2976000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of electricity

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%	
0		2	0.6%	
150000		1	0.3%	
240000		5		1.4%
274612		1	0.3%	
360000		1	0.3%	
375000		1	0.3%	
400000		1	0.3%	
480000		4		1.1%
500000		1	0.3%	
506000		1	0.3%	
528000		1	0.3%	
540000		1	0.3%	
564000		1	0.3%	
569000		1	0.3%	
600000		1	0.3%	
720000		7		1.9%
738000		1	0.3%	
780000		2	0.6%	
800000		3	0.3	8%
829200		1	0.3%	
895900		1	0.3%	
900000		1	0.3%	
950000		1	0.3%	
1000000		2	0.6%	
1016000		1	0.3%	
1050000		1	0.3%	
1080000		2	0.6%	
1100000		1	0.3%	
1200000		8		2.2%
1204000		1	0.3%	

	al Annual Costs of Electricity In Last Fiscal Y	ear	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1320000		1	0.3%
1360000		1	0.3%
1486000		1	0.3%
1500000		5	1.4%
1523000		1	0.3%
1800000		6	1.7%
1872000		1	0.3%
1980000		1	0.3%
2000000		5	1.4%
2160000		1	0.3%
2200000		1	0.3%
2257438		1	0.3%
2270000		1	0.3%
2290000		1	0.3%
2300000		1	0.3%
2304816		1	0.3%
2400000		7	1.9%
2450000		1	0.3%
2660000		1	0.3%
2790000		1	0.3%
2800000		2	0.6%
2830000		1	0.3%
2880000		1	0.3%
3000000		5	1.4%
3120000		1	0.3%
3200000		4	1.1%
3360000		1	0.3%
3500000		1	0.3%
3600000		8	2.2%
3758800		1	0.3%
3800000		1	0.3%
3808200		1	0.3%
4000000		1	0.3%
4032000		1	0.3%
4037100		1	0.3%
4092800		1	0.3%
4316000		1	0.3%
4400500		1	0.3%
4440000		1	0.3%
4522815		1	0.3%
4800000		9	2.5%
4832000		1	0.3%
4930000		1	0.3%
5000000		5	1.4%
5072200		1	0.3%

# n2b: Tota	l Annual Costs of Electricity In Last Fiscal Year		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5228600		1	0.3%
5400000		1	0.3%
5600000		1	0.3%
5678000		1	0.3%
5680000		1	0.3%
5680340		1	0.3%
6000000		8	2.2%
6206000		1	0.3%
6400000		1	0.3%
6600000		1	0.3%
6656600		1	0.3%
6720000		1	0.3%
6741300		1	0.3%
6852000		1	0.3%
7000000		2	0.6%
7200000		1	0.3%
7274300		1	0.3%
7350000		1	0.3%
7498300		1	0.3%
7504152		1	0.3%
7760000		1	0.3%
7795500		1	0.3%
7836000		1	0.3%
7890000		1	0.3%
8000000		4	1.1%
8296000		1	0.3%
8400000		3	0.8%
8910000		1	0.3%
9000000		4	1.1%
9200000		1	0.3%
9400000		1	0.3%
9500000		1	0.3%
9506000		1	0.3%
9600000		2	0.6%
10000000		3	0.8%
10261000		1	0.3%
10377000		1	0.3%
10600000		2	0.6%
10680000		1	0.3%
10795000		1	0.3%
10800000		1	0.3%
11000000		3	0.8%
11953200		1	0.3%
12000000		6	1.7%
13000000		3	0.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13200000		2	0.6%
13400000		1	0.3%
14000000		3	0.8%
14097000		1	0.3%
14300000		1	0.3%
14310000		1	0.3%
14400000		3	0.8%
14700000		1	0.3%
15553000		1	0.3%
15600000		1	0.3%
16000000		2	0.6%
16440000		1	0.3%
16500000		1	0.3%
17000000		1	0.3%
17864100		1	0.3%
18000000		4	1.1%
18447889		1	0.3%
18886200		1	0.3%
19000000		1	0.3%
19200000		1	0.3%
19203000		1	0.3%
19700000		1	0.3%
20000000		1	0.3%
20400000		1	0.3%
20546900		1	0.3%
21000000		3	0.8%
21600000		3	0.8%
22000000		1	0.3%
23000000		1	0.3%
23734000		1	0.3%
23990000		1	0.3%
24000000		7	1.9%
24442000		1	0.3%
25000000		1	0.3%
30000000		4	1.1%
30300000		1	0.3%
31000000		1	0.3%
34000000		1	0.3%
36000000		3	0.8%
37200000		1	0.3%
38613290		1	0.3%
39637000		1	0.3%
40000000		2	0.6%
42000000		3	0.8%

# n2b: Total Annual Costs of Electricity In Last Fiscal Year					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
42304000		1	0.3%		
48000000		1	0.3%		
50000000		1	0.3%		
54000000		1	0.3%		
55000000		1	0.3%		
55710200		1	0.3%		
56740000		1	0.3%		
60000000		3	0.8%		
64848000		1	0.3%		
69000000		1	0.3%		
70000000		1	0.3%		
76000000		1	0.3%		
78000000		1	0.3%		
78892000		1	0.3%		
80000000		1	0.3%		
83182000		1	0.3%		
100000000		5	1.4%		
109393100		1	0.3%		
110000000		1	0.3%		
112000000		1	0.3%		
117000000		1	0.3%		
120640000		1	0.3%		
144000000		3	0.8%		
145718400		1	0.3%		
150000000		1	0.3%		
156000000		1	0.3%		
157000000		1	0.3%		
168000000 171000000		1	0.3%		
174961221		1	0.3%		
174901221		1	0.3%		
18000000		2	0.6%		
194000000		1	0.3%		
20000000		1	0.3%		
226550000		1	0.3%		
226946000		1	0.3%		
265000000		1	0.3%		
300000000		1	0.3%		
316000000		1	0.3%		
326835281		1	0.3%		
400000000		3	0.8%		
452000000		1	0.3%		
482000000		1	0.3%		
491330000		1	0.3%		
600000000		1	0.3%		

n2b: Total Annual Costs of Electricity In Last Fiscal Year

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
614108000		1	0.3%
645000000		1	0.3%
765000000		1	0.3%
800000000		1	0.3%
1000000000		2	0.6%
1500000000		1	0.3%
1737774171		1	0.3%
1839660190		1	0.3%
2976000000		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

n2f: Total Annual Costs of Fuel In Last Fiscal Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-15000000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of fuel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	0.6%
0		2	0.6%
100000		1	0.3%
120000		1	0.3%
200000		1	0.3%
240000		1	0.3%
260000		1	0.3%
285000		1	0.3%
300000		4	1.1%
360000		1	0.3%
380000		1	0.3%
400000		2	0.6%
480000		2	0.6%
500000		1	0.3%
532900		1	0.3%
600000		5	1.4%
765000		1	0.3%
800000		1	0.3%
802900		1	0.3%
816100		1	0.3%
817000		1	0.3%
1000000		5	1.4%
1080000		1	0.3%
1200000		5	1.4%
1210000		1	0.3%
1260000		1	0.3%
1300000		2	0.6%
1440000		2	0.6%

# n2f: Tota	l Annual Costs of Fuel In Last Fis	cal Year	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1454700		1	0.3%
1496000		1	0.3%
1500000		3	0.8%
1600000		2	0.6%
1638600		1	0.3%
1800000		5	1.4%
1901300		1	0.3%
1980000		1	0.3%
1985000		1	0.3%
2000000		7	1.9%
2100000		1	0.3%
2300000		1	0.3%
2340000		1	0.3%
2400000		12	3.3%
2500000		1	0.3%
2600000		1	0.3%
2648000		1	0.3%
2670000		1	0.3%
2800000		1	0.3%
3000000		6	1.7%
3110000		1	0.3%
3200000		1	0.3%
3320000		1	0.3%
3330000		1	0.3%
3400000		1	0.3%
3500000		1	0.3%
3600000		5	1.4%
3900000 4000000		1 2	0.3%
4069900 4165300		1	0.3%
4200000		1	0.3%
4346700		1	0.3%
4350700		1	0.3%
4500000		1	0.3%
4600000		1	0.3%
4800000		2	0.6%
4836000		1	0.3%
5000000		5	1.4%
5200000		1	0.3%
5400000		4	1.1%
5500000		2	0.6%
5760000		1	0.3%
5800000		1	0.3%
6000000		4	1.1%
5500000		7	1.1/0

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6350100		1	0.3%
6408000		1	0.3%
6423100		1	0.3%
6480000		1	0.3%
6500000		1	0.3%
6527700		1	0.3%
6584300		1	0.3%
6600000		1	0.3%
6667000		1	0.3%
6700000		1	0.3%
6750000		1	0.3%
6832000		1	0.3%
6960000		1	0.3%
7000000		5	1.4%
7200000		4	1.1%
7614000		1	0.3%
7664575		1	0.3%
7952332		1	0.3%
8000000		4	1.1%
8010530		1	0.3%
8200000		1	0.3%
8400000		1	0.3%
9000000		4	1.1%
9600000		2	0.6%
10000000		13	3.6%
10876518		1	0.3%
11000000		1	0.3%
11500000		1	0.3%
11650000		1	0.3%
11724000		1	0.3%
12000000		4	1.1%
13000000		3	0.8%
13400000		1	0.3%
13500000		1	0.3%
13600000		2	0.6%
13900000		1	0.3%
14000000		1	0.3%
14125600		1	0.3%
14250000		1	0.3%
14400000		3	0.8%
14605200		1	0.3%
14613000		1	0.3%
15000000		1	0.3%
16000000		1	0.3%
17000000		1	0.3%

# n2f: Total	[‡] n2f: Total Annual Costs of Fuel In Last Fiscal Year				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
17584000		1	0.3%		
18000000		2	0.6%		
18065681		1	0.3%		
18400000		1	0.3%		
18730000		1	0.3%		
18870900		1	0.3%		
19000000		1	0.3%		
19270000		1	0.3%		
20000000		8	2.2%		
20373000		1	0.3%		
22000000		1	0.3%		
22431000		1	0.3%		
22800000		1	0.3%		
23427500		1	0.3%		
24000000		3	0.8%		
24720000		1	0.3%		
25000000		3	0.8%		
25100000		1	0.3%		
26200000		1	0.3%		
27000000		1	0.3%		
28000000		1	0.3%		
28100000		1	0.3%		
28447600		1	0.3%		
30000000		5	1.4%		
30800000		1	0.3%		
31247000		1	0.3%		
32707000		1	0.3%		
33000000		1	0.3%		
35000000		1	0.3%		
36000000		1	0.3%		
37792685		1	0.3%		
38000000		1	0.3%		
38712000		1	0.3%		
39000000		1	0.3%		
39118000		1	0.3%		
40000000		2	0.6%		
40337600		1	0.3%		
42000000		1	0.3%		
42600000		1	0.3%		
43000000		1	0.3%		
44040000		1	0.3%		
44066900		1	0.3%		
45000000		1	0.3%		
45600000		1	0.3%		
50000000		4	1.1%		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
400000		1	0.3%
381492		1	0.3%
324000		1	0.3%
291000		1	0.3%
500000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
650000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
201000		1	0.3%
185332		1	0.3%
000000		4	1.1%
750000		1	0.3%
400000		1	0.3%
130000		1	0.3%
200000		1	0.3%
593392		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
0000		1	0.3%
09500		1	0.3%
73100		1	0.3%
90000		1	0.3%
000000		2	0.6%
00000		1	0.3%
00000		2	0.6%
180000		1	0.3%
905200		1	0.3%
20316		1	0.3%
0000		1	0.3%
0000		1	0.3%
297800		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
000000		2	0.5%
00000		1	0.3%
000000		2	0.5%
00000		1	0.3%
000000			
		1	0.6%
712400 000000			
		1	0.3%
702000		1	0.3%
702000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%
00000		1	0.3%
000000		1	0.3%

# n2f: Total	Annual	Costs	of Fuel	In L	act Ficea	l Vear
" 1141. I Otal	Ammai	COSES	or ruer	1 1 1 1 1 1	asi. 14 ista	I Cai

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
468000000		1	0.3%
500000000		2	0.6%
515000000		1	0.3%
577171000		1	0.3%
600000000		1	0.3%
650000000		1	0.3%
800000000		1	0.3%
824458527		1	0.3%
856000000		1	0.3%
880000000		1	0.3%
900000000		1	0.3%
1000000000		2	0.6%
1100000000		1	0.3%
1700000000		1	0.3%
2714000000		1	0.3%
3000000000		1	0.3%
5000000000		1	0.3%
6000000000		1	0.3%
7826339760		1	0.3%
11000000000		1	0.3%
15000000000		1	0.3%

n2i: Total Annual Cost of Finished Goods/Materials Bought To Resell In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-360000000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]
Literal question	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total annual cost of finished goods and materials purchased to resell
Interviewer's instructions	ONLY ASK THE FOLLOWING QUESTION TO RETAIL ESTABLISHMENTS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	2	2.3%
0		2	2.3%
4000000		1	1.1%
4531000		1	1.1%
8000000		1	1.1%
9800000		1	1.1%
19000000		1	1.1%
30000000		1	1.1%
32000000		1	1.1%
33050100		1	1.1%
39270000		1	1.1%
43000000		1	1.1%
51000000		1	1.1%
55000000		1	1.1%
56400000		1	1.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50000000		1	1.1%
78000000		1	1.1%
2300000		1	1.1%
4000000		1	1.1%
100000000		2	2.3%
107000000		1	1.1%
42607300		1	1.1%
58940000		1	1.1%
176000000		1	1.1%
190000000		3	3.4%
200000000		2	2.3%
240000000		1	1.1%
247000000		1	1.1%
250000000		1	1.1%
260000000		1	1.1%
295000000		1	1.1%
330000000		1	1.1%
347000000		1	1.1%
140000000		1	1.1%
160000000		1	1.1%
487263400		1	1.1%
500000000		3	3.4%
502224000		1	1.1%
518000000		1	1.1%
570000000		1	1.1%
500000000		1	1.1%
509300000		1	1.1%
539915300		1	1.1%
580000000		1	1.1%
700000000		1	1.1%
710000000		1	1.1%
739000000		1	1.1%
790000000		1	1.1%
792000000		1	1.1%
1230000000		1	1.1%
300000000		1	1.1%
500000000		1	1.1%
550000000		1	1.1%
700000000		1	1.1%
709582500		1	1.1%
2000000000		2	2.3%
037205900		1	1.1%
119674000		1	1.1%
168000000		1	1.1%
250000000		1	1.1%

# n2i: Total Annual	Cost of Finished	Goods/Materials Bo	ught To Resell In Last FY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3684608900		1	1.1%
4000000000		1	1.1%
4200000000		1	1.1%
4455450626		1	1.1%
4800000000		1	1.1%
6000000000		2	2.3%
12000000000		1	1.1%
18000000000		1	1.1%
18172000000		1	1.1%
19000000000		1	1.1%
22000000000		1	1.1%
26000000000		1	1.1%
28000000000		1	1.1%
30000000000		1	1.1%
58000000000		1	1.1%
64730000000		1	1.1%
2260000000000		1	1.1%
360000000000		1	1.1%
Sysmiss		272	

n2p: Total Cost of Sales In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-322688917700] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question	N.2. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], please provide the following information: - Total cost of sales

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	8		6.6%
0		1	0.8%	
613000		1	0.8%	
11500000		1	0.8%	
17560000		1	0.8%	
31000000		1	0.8%	
37900000		1	0.8%	
42427600		1	0.8%	
42894200		1	0.8%	
43300000		1	0.8%	
46890000		1	0.8%	
48000000		1	0.8%	
51000000		1	0.8%	
58000000		1	0.8%	
58983109		1	0.8%	
60676200		1	0.8%	
70500000		1	0.8%	
71500000		1	0.8%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
000000		1	0.8%
20000		1	0.8%
0000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
320000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
860000		1	0.8%
040000		1	0.8%
1200000		1	0.8%
800000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
2588000		1	0.8%
5980000		1	0.8%
4236800		1	0.8%
5256000		1	0.8%
9900000		1	0.8%
491000		1	0.8%
200000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
500000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
800000		1	0.8%
00000		2	1.7%
90000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
00000		1	0.8%
800000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
82100		1	0.8%
799765		1	0.8%
600000		1	0.8%
900000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
000000		2	1.7%
875600		1	0.8%
313000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
540000		1	0.8%
400000		1	0.8%
800000		1	0.8%
707600		1	0.8%
000000		1	0.8%
71063		1	0.8%
71003		1	0.070

000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 749100 1 0.8% 950000 1 0.8% 950000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 44000 1 0.8% 480000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 972000 1 0.8% 9972000 1 0.8%	Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0000000 1 0.8% 749100 1 0.8% 950000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 770000 1 0.8% 474000 1 0.8% 3000000 1 0.8% 3000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 9900000 1 0.8% 9900000 1 0.8%	58000000		1	0.8%
749100 950000 1 1 0.8% 950000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 9700000 1 1 0.8% 97000000 1 1 0.8% 97000000 1 1 0.8% 97000000 1 1 0.8% 97000000 1 1 0.8% 970000000 1 1 0.8% 970000000 1 1 0.8% 970000000 1 1 0.8% 9700000000 1 1 0.8% 97000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000		1	0.8%
950000	000000		1	0.8%
000000 1 0.8% 700000 1 0.8% 474000 1 0.8% 480000 1 0.8% 3000000 1 0.8% 3000000 1 0.8% 5600000 1 0.8% 5600000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8%	749100		1	0.8%
700000	950000		1	0.8%
474000 480000 1	0000000		1	0.8%
1	5700000		1	0.8%
3000000	474000		1	0.8%
9000000	3480000		1	0.8%
5600000 1 0.8% 5600000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 4371000 1 0.8% 6830000 1 0.8% 9167100 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000	93000000		1	0.8%
5600000 1 0.8% 5600000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 4371000 1 0.8% 6830000 1 0.8% 9167100 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 9000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000 1 0.8% 90000000	9000000			_
5600000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 7000000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 6830000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 <td< td=""><td>35600000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	35600000			
0000000 1 0.8% 7000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 4371000 1 0.8% 683000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 9167100 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% <				
7000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 4371000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8%	40000000			_
0000000 1 0.8% 9720000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 4371000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1				
9720000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 6830000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 9167100 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1				_
5000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 4371000 1 0.8% 6830000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
0000000 1 0.8% 4371000 1 0.8% 6830000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1				_
4371000 1 0.8% 6830000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 9167100 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 2838463 1 0.8% 4000000 1 0.8% 86061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 86061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 87300000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td>				_
5830000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 60000000 1 0.8% 600000000 1 0.8% 600000000				
0000000 1 0.8% 9167100 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 4000000 1 0.8% 6079900 1 0.8% 86061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td>				_
1 0.8% 0.00000 1 0.8% 0.000000 1 0.8% 0.000000 1 0.8% 0.000000 1 0.8% 0.000000 1 0.8% 0.00000000 1 0.8% 0.00000000 1 0.8% 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.000000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.000000000 1 0.00000000 1 0.000000000 1 0.000000000 1 0.000000000 1 0.000000000 1 0.000000000 1 0.0000000000				
0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 4000000 1 0.8% 5079900 1 0.8% 36061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 100000000 1 0.8% 100000000 1 0.8% 11 0.8% 1 12 0.8% 1 13 0.8% 1 14 0.8% 1 15 0.8% 1 16 0.8% 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td>				_
0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 2838463 1 0.8% 4000000 1 0.8% 36061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 37300000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 1 0.8% 1 00000000 1 0.8% 1 0.8%				_
000000 1 0.8% 987740 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 079900 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8%				
1 0.8% 100000 1 0.8% 100000 1 0.8% 100000 1 0.8% 100000 1 0.8% 100000 1 0.8% 100000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8% 1000000 1 0.8%				_
000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 838463 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 079900 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 1330000 1 0.8%				
000000 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 838463 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 079900 1 0.8% 6061000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8%			1	
0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 2838463 1 0.8% 4000000 1 0.8% 5079900 1 0.8% 38061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 87300000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%			1	_
000000 1 0.8% 838463 1 0.8% 000000 1 0.8% 079900 1 0.8% 6061000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 1330000 1 0.8%			1	
2838463 1 0.8% 4000000 1 0.8% 6079900 1 0.8% 86061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 87300000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	0000000		1	0.8%
4000000 1 0.8% 6079900 1 0.8% 86061000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 87300000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 000000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	0000000		1	0.8%
5079900 1 0.8% 36061000 1 0.8% 300000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 37300000 1 0.8% 350000000 1 0.8% 350000000 1 0.8% 300000000 1 0.8% 300000000 1 0.8% 31330000 1 0.8% 31330000 1 0.8%	838463		1	0.8%
6061000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 7300000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 5000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 0000000 1 0.8% 1330000 1 0.8%	000000		1	0.8%
00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	5079900		1	0.8%
00000000 1 0.8% 50000000 1 0.8% 8730000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	86061000		1	0.8%
60000000 1 0.8% 87300000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	00000000		1	0.8%
87300000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	00000000		1	0.8%
00000000 1 0.8% 55000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	60000000		1	0.8%
55000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	87300000		1	0.8%
00000000 1 0.8% 00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	500000000		1	0.8%
00000000 1 0.8% 41330000 1 0.8%	255000000		1	0.8%
41330000 1 0.8%	000000000		1	0.8%
41330000 1 0.8%	000000000		1	0.8%
19760900 1 0.8%	541330000		1	_
	19760900		1	0.8%

n2p: Total Cost of Sales In Last FY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38572000000		1	0.8%
40050000000		1	0.8%
41426220000		1	0.8%
322688917700		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#n2e1: Total Cost of Raw Materials And Intermediate Goods Used In Production

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-140016458700] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question N.2e1. From this establishment's Income Statement for fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year minus one], please provide the total cost of raw materials and intermediate goods used in production.	

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	7			5.8%
-7	Establishment was not in business	0			
0		4		3.3%	
500000		1	0.8%		
680000		1	0.8%		
1420000		1	0.8%		
1500000		1	0.8%		
2400000		1	0.8%		
3000000		2		1.7%	
3630000		1	0.8%		
8000000		1	0.8%		
8353800		1	0.8%		
9560000		1	0.8%		
10000000		1	0.8%		
11000000		1	0.8%		
13700000		1	0.8%		
15000000		1	0.8%		
19000000		1	0.8%		
20000000		3		2.5%	
21000000		2		1.7%	
24895000		1	0.8%		
27000000		2		1.7%	
28000000		1	0.8%		
30000000		2		1.7%	
32700000		1	0.8%		
32780700		1	0.8%		
34678300		1	0.8%		
38000000		1	0.8%		
40000000		1	0.8%		
45000000		1	0.8%		
52000000		1	0.8%		
55400000		1	0.8%		

alue	Label	Cases		Percentag
8000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
0000		1	0.8%	
0000		2		1.7%
00000		1	0.8%	
00000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
352000		1	0.8%	
0000000		2		1.7%
900000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
059200		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
101000		1	0.8%	
0000000		2		1.7%
0000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		3	0.073	2.5%
616000		1	0.8%	2.370
770000		1	0.8%	
00000		1	0.8%	
00000		1	0.8%	
18500		1	0.8%	
396000		1	0.8%	
00000				
		1	0.8%	
00000		1	0.8%	
32000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	1.70/
0000000		2		1.7%
000000		1	0.8%	4.504
000000		2		1.7%
000000		1	0.8%	
515200		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		3		2.5%
000000		1	0.8%	
4130547		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
3000000		1	0.8%	
2410000		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	

# n2e1	· Total Cos	t of Raw Mat	erials And I	Intermediate (Conds Used	In Production
" 25	. I OIAI COS	I. OI ISAW VIAI	CHAIS AIIU	me mediate	ttuuus uscu	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2250626800		1	0.8%
2327000000		1	0.8%
2523691000		1	0.8%
2900000000		1	0.8%
3000000000		1	0.8%
3113000000		1	0.8%
3400000000		1	0.8%
3500000000		1	0.8%
3510000000		1	0.8%
5200000000		1	0.8%
8000000000		1	0.8%
9974000000		1	0.8%
12000000000		1	0.8%
14000000000		1	0.8%
16000000000		1	0.8%
22263830000		1	0.8%
24448396425		1	0.8%
26000000000		1	0.8%
27000000000		1	0.8%
28000000000		1	0.8%
140016458700		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

n7a: Cost For Establishment To Re-Purchase All of Its Machinery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-500000000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question	N.7. Hypothetically, if this establishment were to purchase all the machinery, vehicles, and equipment it uses now, in their current condition and regardless of whether the establishment owns them or not, how much would they cost, independently of whether they are owned, rented or leased?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	6		5.0%
0		6		5.0%
9		1	0.8%	
350000		1	0.8%	
10000000		2	1.7%	
15000000		1	0.8%	
20000000		3	2.5%	
25000000		1	0.8%	
26500000		1	0.8%	
30000000		1	0.8%	
35000000		2	1.7%	
40000000		1	0.8%	
50000000		2	1.7%	
67000000		1	0.8%	
70000000		1	0.8%	

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
00000000		1	0.8%	
00000000		2	1	1.7%
1600000		1	0.8%	
3600000		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		2	1	1.7%
000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		2	1	1.7%
5000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		3		2.5%
0000000		1	0.8%	
3800000		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		3		2.5%
0000000		2	1	1.7%
0000000		1	0.8%	,,
000000		2		1.7%
000000		1	0.8%	1.770
000000		1	0.8%	
			0.8%	
000000		1		1.7%
		2	0.8%	1.7%
00000		1		
000000		1	0.8%	
00000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	. 70/
0000000		2		1.7%
0000000		2		1.7%
0000000		1	0.8%	
5000000		2	1	1.7%
00000000		3		2.5%
6000000		1	0.8%	
00000000		1	0.8%	
80000000		1	0.8%	
0000000		1	0.8%	
73000000		1	0.8%	
00000000		2	1	1.7%
00000000		2	1	1.7%
00000000		2	1	1.7%
00000000		3		2.5%
0000000		1	0.8%	
000000		1	0.8%	

# n7a: Cost For Establishment To Re-Purchase All of Its Machine	# n7a:	: Cost For Es	tablishment T	o Re-Purchase	All of	'Its Machiner
---	--------	---------------	---------------	---------------	--------	---------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3500000000		1	0.8%
3900000000		1	0.8%
4500000000		1	0.8%
5000000000		1	0.8%
5309000000		1	0.8%
7000000000		1	0.8%
7800000000		1	0.8%
8000000000		1	0.8%
9800000000		1	0.8%
10000000000		1	0.8%
12000000000		1	0.8%
15000000000		3	2.5%
17540036100		1	0.8%
18330339000		1	0.8%
20000000000		1	0.8%
23000000000		1	0.8%
24000000000		1	0.8%
27000000000		1	0.8%
30000000000		1	0.8%
40000000000		2	1.7%
50000000000		1	0.8%
3500000000000		1	0.8%
500000000000		1	0.8%
Sysmiss	indicate the number of cases found in the data Glo. They cannot be interpreted as summary	239	

BMGa22: Continue with current respondent or someone else

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGA.22. Should I continue this section with you or is there a specialized person in this establishment who can answer this section?
Post-question	If 2, GO TO BMGA.23a

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Continue with current respondent	354	98.3%
2	Continue with someone else	6	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa23: Continue F2F or by phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=354 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]	
Post-question	If 1, 2 or -8, GO TO A15
Interviewer's instructions	ATTEMPT TO COMPLETE THE MODULUE RIGHT AWAY, OR IF THAT IS NOT POSSIBLE, OFFER TO CALL AT A LATER DATE. EXPLAIN THAT THE MODULE MAY TAKE UP TO 15 TO 20 MINUTES, BUT COULD BE SHORTER.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	

#BMGa23: Continue F2F or by phone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Face-to-face interview, immediately after the main survey (spontaneous)	353	99.7%
2	Continue by phone at a later date (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
Sysmiss		6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15d: Day

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.764 /-] [StdDev=9.084 /-]	
Literal question A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Day (dd)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		9	2.5%	
2		13	3.6%	
3		10	2.8%	
1		18	5.0%	
5		9	2.5%	
i		7	1.9%	
1		8	2.2%	
		11	3.1%	
1		11	3.1%	
0		5	1.4%	
1		17	4.7%	
2		8	2.2%	
3		10	2.8%	
4		16	4.4%	
5		12	3.3%	
6		14	3.9%	
7		7	1.9%	
8		12	3.3%	
9		10	2.8%	
.0		9	2.5%	
1		5	1.4%	
2		19	5.3%	
3		10	2.8%	
.4		6	1.7%	
25		10	2.8%	
6		25	6.9%	
.7		20	5.6%	
8		13	3.6%	
.9		15	4.2%	
0		15	4.2%	
1		6	1.7%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15m: Month

-1		
	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]

# a15m: Month		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.342 /-] [StdDev=1.991 /-]	
Literal question	A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Month (mm)	

Value	Label	Cases		Per	centage		
1		66			18.3%		
2		35		9.7%			
3		96				26.7%	
4		116					32.2%
5		33		9.2%			
6		3	0.8%				
12		11	3.1%				

a15y: Year

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2018-2019] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2018.969 /-] [StdDev=0.172 /-]	
Literal question A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Year (yy)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2018		11	3.1%	
2019		349		96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15h: Hour

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-23] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14.111 /-] [StdDev=3.02 /-]	
Literal question A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Hour (00 to 23)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		8	2.2%
10		41	11.4%
11		36	10.0%
12		42	11.7%
13		40	11.1%
14		27	7.5%
15		45	12.5%
16		40	11.1%
17		31	8.6%
18		19	5.3%
19		15	4.2%
20		8	2.2%
21		6	1.7%
22		1	0.3%
23		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15min: Minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-59] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=28.697 /-] [StdDev=17.229 /-]

a15min: Minutes

Literal question A.15 Time face-to-face main interview ends: Minutes (00 to 59)

gdpr1a: GDPR consent, respondent 1

Information	tion [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Literal question	GDPR.1. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB? - Main respondent		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	358	99.4%
2	No	2	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gdpr1b: GDPR consent, respondent 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]			
Literal question	GDPR.1. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB? - Second respondent		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5	83.3%
2	No	1	16.7%
Sysmiss		354	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gdpr1c: GDPR consent, respondent 3

Information	formation [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]	
Literal question	GDPR.1. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB? - Third respondent	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11	100.0%
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15a1a: Option That Best Describes The Main Respondents Position

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-10] [Missing=*]	
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=358 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]	
Literal question A.15a1. Please indicate which option best describes the main respondents position:		A.15a1. Please indicate which option best describes the main respondents position:	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Owner	128	35.8%
2	President, Executive Director, or CEO	64	17.9%
3	Chief Financial, Chief Operational Officer (CFO/COO)	35	9.8%
4	Operational or plant manager	26	7.3%
5	Sales or marketing manager	13	3.6%
6	Accountant	71	19.8%
7	Lawyer	1	0.3%

a15a1a: Option That Best Describes The Main Respondents Position

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Other Administrative	15	4.2%
9	Other Management	4	1.1%
10	Other (Specify)	1	0.3%
Sysmiss		2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15a1ax: Main Respondent Position In The Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	A.15a1. Please indicate which option best describes the main respondents position: (other specify)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Consultant		1	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15a2a: Main Respondent Years Working In The Establishment:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-34] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=358 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]	
Literal question	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment - Main respondent	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	One year or less	10	2.8%
2		18	5.0%
3		21	5.9%
4		13	3.6%
5		19	5.3%
6		15	4.2%
7		18	5.0%
8		22	6.1%
9		15	4.2%
10		22	6.1%
11		23	6.4%
12		25	7.0%
13		13	3.6%
14		14	3.9%
15		18	5.0%
16		11	3.1%
17		9	2.5%
18		13	3.6%
19		13	3.6%
20		16	4.5%
21		6	1.7%
22		6	1.7%
23		7	2.0%
24		2 0.6	9%

Value Label Cases Percenta 25 2 0.6% 27 4 1.1% 30 2 0.6% 34 1 0.3% Sysmiss 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a3: Main Respondent's Gender Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Main respondent Value Label Cases Percenta 8 Refusal (spontaneous) 0 0 1 Male 145 2 2 Female 213 3 Sysmiss 2 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=			
27 30 30 34 34 35 Sysmiss 34 35 Sysmiss 32 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a3: Main Respondent's Gender Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=358 /-] [Invalid=2 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender -Main respondent Value Label Cases Percenta -8 Refusal (spontaneous) 0 1 Male 145 2 Female 2 Female 2 Sysmiss 3 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment Statistics [NW/W] Label Cases Percenta A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment Value Label Cases Percenta A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment Statistics [NW/W] Label Cases Percenta A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	40.5%		
30 34 35	40.5%		
34	40.5%		
Sysmiss Sysmiss 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	40.5%		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a3: Main Respondent's Gender Information	40.5%		
# a15a3: Main Respondent's Gender Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=358 /-] [Invalid=2 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Main respondent Value Label Cases Percenta -8 Refusal (spontaneous) 0 1 Male 145 2 Female 213 Sysmiss 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	40.5%		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=358 /-] [Invalid=2 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Main respondent Value Label Cases Percenta - Refusal (spontaneous) 0 1 Male 145 2 2 Female 213 2 Sysmiss 2 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent Walue Label Cases Percenta - Second Respondent Percenta - Second Respondent	40.5%		
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=358 /-] [Invalid=2 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Main respondent Value Label Cases Percenta -8 Refusal (spontaneous) 0 1 Male 145 2 Female 213 Sysmiss 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager 4 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	40.5%		
Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Main respondent Cases Percenta - Refusal (spontaneous) Male - Bale Bernale - Bale Bale Bale Bale Bale Bale Bale Bale	40.5%		
- Main respondent Value Label Cases Percenta -8 Refusal (spontaneous) 0 1 Male 145 2 Female 213 Sysmiss 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment-second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	40.5%		
Refusal (spontaneous) Male Permale P	40.5%		
1 Male 2 Female 2 13 Sysmiss 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
2 Female 213 Sysmiss 2 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager 4 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
Sysmiss Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager Other manager 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	59.5%		
# a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager Other manager Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# a15a1bx: Second Respondent Position In The Establishment Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment of cases and the stablishment of the			
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment of Cases Percenta Walue Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishments about the interviewee(s), position in the establishments. Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager Other manager Other manager Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
- Second respondent Value Label Cases Percenta Hr Manager 1 20.0% Other manager 4 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
Hr Manager Other manager Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	ent		
Other manager Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.	ge		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
	80.0%		
# a15a2b: Second Respondent Years Working In The Establishment:			
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-16] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=355 /-]			
Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment of the complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment of the complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment of the complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment of the complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment of the complete the following information about the interviewee(s) and the complete the following information about the interviewee(s) are sufficiently as the complete the following information about the interviewee(s) are sufficiently as the complete the following information about the interviewee(s) are sufficiently as the complete the c	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment - Second respondent		
Value Label Cases Percenta	ge		
-9 Don't know (spontaneous) 0			
-8 Refusal (spontaneous) 0			
-7 Does not apply 0			
1 One year or less 1	20.0%		
2	20.0%		
7	20.0%		
13			
16 1	20.0%		
Sysmiss 355	20.0%		

# a15b3: Second Respondent's Gender		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=355 /-]	
Literal question A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Second respondent		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
1	Male	1	20.0%
2	Female	4	80.0%
Sysmiss		355	

a15a1cx: Third Respondent Position In The Establishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), position in the establishment - Third respondent	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Other manager		11	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15a2c: Third Respondent Years Working In The Establishment:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-20] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]	
Literal question	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), years with the establishment - Third respondent	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
1	One year or less	1	9.1%
2		1	9.1%
7		3	27.3%
8		1	9.1%
10		1	9.1%
11		1	9.1%
16		1	9.1%
20		2	18.2%
Sysmiss		349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a15c3: Third Respondent's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]	
Literal question	A.15a Please complete the following information about the interviewee(s), gender - Third respondent	

a15c3: Third Respondent's Gender

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply	0	
1	Male	3	27.3%
2	Female	8	72.7%
Sysmiss		349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a16: Perception of The Questions Regarding Opinions And Perceptions

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	A.16. IT IS MY PERCEPTION THAT THE RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONS REGARDING OPINIONS AND PERCEPTIONS WERE:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Truthful	289	80.3%
2	Somewhat truthful	67	18.6%
3	Not truthful	4	1.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a17: Responses To The Questions About Figures Are…

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	A.17. THE RESPONSES TO THE QUESTIONS REGARDING FIGURES (PRODUCTIVITY AND EMPLOYMENT NUMBERS) WERE:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Are taken directly from establishment records	211	58.6%
2	Are estimates computed with some precision	115	31.9%
3	Are arbitrary and unreliable numbers	18	5.0%
4	Are in some case taken from books and in some case estimates	16	4.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

a18: This Questionnaire Was Completed In:

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	A.18. THIS QUESTIONNAIRE WAS COMPLETED IN:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	One visit in face-to-face interview with one person	327	90.8%
2	One visit in face-to-face interview with different managers/staff	27	7.5%
3	Several visits	6	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a19h: If Option 2 or 3 In A.18, Estimate Duration of The Whole Interview: Hours

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=327 /-] [Mean=1.364 /-] [StdDev=0.742 /-]
Literal question	A.19. IF OPTION 2 OR 3 IN A.18, ESTIMATE DURATION OF THE WHOLE INTERVIEW - Hour

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1	3.0%

a19h: If Option 2 or 3 In A.18, Estimate Duration of The Whole Interview: Hours

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		22	66.7%
2		8	24.2%
3		1	3.0%
4		1	3.0%
Sysmiss		327	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a19m: If Option 2 or 3 In A.18, Estimate Duration of The Whole Interview: Minutes

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=327 /-] [Mean=26.879 /-] [StdDev=17.399 /-]	
Literal question A.19. IF OPTION 2 OR 3 IN A.18, ESTIMATE DURATION OF THE WHOLE INTERVIEW - Minutes	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5	15.2%
10		4	12.1%
15		2	6.1%
20		3	9.1%
27		1	3.0%
30		5	15.2%
35		1	3.0%
40		5	15.2%
45		1	3.0%
50		6	18.2%
Sysmiss		327	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa14gd: Day

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.764 /-] [StdDev=9.084 /-]
Literal question BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Day (dd)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		9	2.5%
2		13	3.6%
3		10	2.8%
4		18	5.0%
5		9	2.5%
6		7	1.9%
7		8	2.2%
8		11	3.1%
9		11	3.1%
10		5	1.4%
11		17	4.7%
12		8	2.2%
13		10	2.8%
14		16	4.4%
15		12	3.3%

11 TO B			-
# KN	/I(÷a	14od	: Dav

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		14	3.9%
17		7	1.9%
18		12	3.3%
19		10	2.8%
20		9	2.5%
21		5	1.4%
22		19	5.3%
23		10	2.8%
24		6	1.7%
25		10	2.8%
26		25	6.9%
27		20	5.6%
28		13	3.6%
29		15	4.2%
30		15	4.2%
31		6	1.7%

#BMGa14gm: Month

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.342 /-] [StdDev=1.991 /-] Literal question BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Month (mm)	

Value	Label	Cases	Pero	centage
1		66		18.3%
2		35	9.7%	
3		96		26.7%
4		116		32.2%
5		33	9.2%	
6		3	0.8%	
12		11	3.1%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa14gy: Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2018-2019] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2018.969 /-] [StdDev=0.172 /-]
Literal question BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Year (yyyy)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2018		11	3.1%
2019		349	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa14gh: Hour

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-23] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14.122 /-] [StdDev=3.014 /-]	
Literal question BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Hour (00 to 23)		

#BMGa14gh: Hour

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		7	1.9%
10		40	11.1%
11		38	10.6%
12		42	11.7%
13		40	11.1%
14		27	7.5%
15		45	12.5%
16		40	11.1%
17		31	8.6%
18		19	5.3%
19		14	3.9%
20		9	2.5%
21		6	1.7%
22		1	0.3%
23		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa14gmin: Minutes

	Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-59] [Missing=*]			
	Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=28.564 /-] [StdDev=17.165 /-]			
Literal question BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Minutes (00 to 59)		BMGA.14i Time Green Economy Module interview begins: Minutes (00 to 59)			

BMGa1: In Last FY, Strategic Objectives Mention Environmental or Climate Change Issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGA.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this firm have strategic objectives that mention environmental or climate change issues?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	81	22.5%
2	No	278	77.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa2: In Last FY, Have Manager Responsible For Environmental or Climate Issues?

T 6 4	m 1 .1m1m1m
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGA.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment have a manager responsible for environmental and climate change issues?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGA.4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	23	6.4%
2	No	337	93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa3: Manager Responsible For Environment And Climate Change Issues Report To

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]

BMGa3: Manager Responsible For Environment And Climate Change Issues Report To Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=337 /-] Literal question BMGA.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], whom did the manager responsible for environmental and climate change issues directly report to?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	The CEO, Board, or Owners	13	56.5%
2	A manager directly reporting to the CEO, Board, or Owners	9	39.1%
3	A manager not reporting directly to the CEO, Board, or Owners	1	4.3%
Sysmiss		337	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa4: Customers Require Certifications or Adherence To Some Environmental Standards?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGA.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did any of the establishment's customers require environmental certifications or adherence to certain environmental standards as a condition to do business with this establishment?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	41	11.4%
2	No	318	88.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGb1: Over Last 3 Years, Experienced Monetary Losses Due To Extreme Weather Events		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question BMGB.1. Over the last three years, did this establishment experience monetary losses		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	42	11.7%
2	No	317	88.1%

#BMGb2: Over Last 3 Years, Experienced Monetary Losses From Pollution

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGB.2. Over the last three years, did this establishment experience monetary losses due to pollution not generated by this establishment (that is, independent of this establishment's activity)?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	21	5.8%
2	No	338	93.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc1: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Its Energy Consumption?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.1. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its energy consumption?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	298	82.8%
2	No	61	16.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc2: Over Last 3 Years, How Often Monitor Its Energy Consumption?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=298 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.2. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its energy consumption?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Annually	5	1.7%
2	Biannually/Every six months	1	0.3%
3	Quarterly	7	2.3%
4	Monthly	271	90.9%
5	Weekly	3	1.0%
6	Daily	10	3.4%
7	Hourly	1	0.3%
8	More than once in an hour	0	
Sysmiss		62	

#BMGc2: Over Last 3 Years, How Often Monitor Its Energy Consumption?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc3: Over Last 3 Years, Completed External Energy Consumption Audit?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=298 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]	

Literal question BMGC.3. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its energy consumption?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	19	6.4%
2	No	278	93.3%
Sysmiss		62	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc4: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Its Water Usage?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.4. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its water usage?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.7

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	6	5.0%
1	Yes	69	57.0%
2	No	46	38.0%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc5: Over Last 3 Years, How Often Did This Establishment Monitor Its Water Usage?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=291 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.5. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its water usage?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Annually	1	1.4%
2	Biannually/Every six months	0	
3	Quarterly	3	4.3%
4	Monthly	56	81.2%
5	Weekly	3	4.3%
6	Daily	6	8.7%
7	Hourly	0	
8	More than once in an hour	0	
Sysmiss		291	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc6: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Complete External Water Usage Audit?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=291 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.6. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its water usage?

#BMGc6: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Complete External Water Usage Audit?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Yes	8	11.6%	
2	No	61		88.4%
Sysmiss		291		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc7: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Emit CO2?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.7. Over the last three years, did this establishment emit CO2?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.11

V	alue	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9)	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1		Yes	40	11.1%
2		No	320	88.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc8: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Its CO2 Emissions?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=40 /-] [Invalid=320 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.8. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its CO2 emissions?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.11

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	11	27.5%
2	No	29	72.5%
Sysmiss		320	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc9: Over Last 3 Years, How often Did This Establishment Monitor Its CO2 Emissions?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.9. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its CO2 emissions?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0		
1	Annually	0		
2	Biannually/Every six months	2	18.2%	
3	Quarterly	1	9.1%	
4	Monthly	5	45.5%	
5	Weekly	2	18.2%	
6	Daily	1	9.1%	
7	Hourly	0		
8	More than once in an hour	0		
Sysmiss		349		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

#BMGc10: Over Last 3 Years, Completed External Audit of CO2 Emissions?		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=11 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.10. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its CO2 emissions?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	2	18.2%
2	No	9	81.8%
Sysmiss		349	

#BMGc11: Over Last 3 Years, Monitor CO2 Emissions Along Its Supply Chain

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.11. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor CO2 emissions along its supply chain?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	5	1.4%
2	No	354	98.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc12: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Emit Pollutants Other Than CO2?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.12. Over the last three years, did this establishment emit pollutants other than CO2? (such as other types of air pollutants, soil or land pollutants, and water pollutants)
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.16

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%
1	Yes	13	10.7%
2	No	103	85.1%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc13: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Monitor Pollutants Other Than CO2?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=13 /-] [Invalid=347 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.13. Over the last three years, did this establishment monitor its emissions of pollutants other than CO2?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	6	46.2%
2	No	7	53.8%
Sysmiss		347	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc14: Over Last 3 Years, How OftenMonitor Its Emissions Other Than CO2?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]

#BMGc14: Over Last 3 Years, How OftenMonitor Its Emissions Other Than CO2?

Literal question BMGC.14. Over the last three years, how often did this establishment monitor its emissions of pollutants other than CO2?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Annually	1	16.7%
2	Biannually/Every six months	0	
3	Quarterly	1	16.7%
4	Monthly	2	33.3%
5	Weekly	1	16.7%
6	Daily	1	16.7%
7	Hourly	0	
8	More than once in an hour	0	
Sysmiss		354	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc15: Over Last 3 Years, Completed External Audit on Pollutants Other Than CO2

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.15. Over the last three years, did this establishment complete an external audit of its pollutants other than CO2?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	1	16.7%
2	No	5	83.3%
Sysmiss		354	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc16: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Have Targets On Energy Consumption?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.16. Over the last three years, did this establishment have targets for energy consumption?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.18

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	74	20.6%
2	No	286	79.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc17: Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On Energy Consumption Were Set?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=74 /-] [Invalid=286 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.17. Over the last three years, what sort of targets for energy consumption did this establishment have?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't Know (Spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (Spontaneous)	0	
1	Quantity targets only	1	1.4%
2	Expenditure targets only	24	32.4%
3	Both quantity and expenditure targets	49	66.2%

#BMGc17: Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On Energy Consumption Were Set?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		286	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMGc18: Over Last 3 Years, Did This Establishment Have Targets For CO2 Emissions?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.18. Over the last three years, did this establishment have targets for CO2 emissions?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.20

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0			
1	Yes	9	2.5%		
2 No 351 97.5%					
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

BMGc19: Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On CO2 Emissions?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=9 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.19. Over the last three years, what sort of targets for CO2 emissions did this establishment have?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	11.1%
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Only quantity per unit of output targets	2	22.2%
2	Only absolute quantity targets	1	11.1%
3	Absolute and per unit of output quantity targets	5	55.6%
Sysmiss		351	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc20: Over Last 3 Years, Have Targets For Pollution Emissions Other Than CO2?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.20. Over the last three years, did this establishment have targets for pollution emissions other than CO2?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGC.22

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	4.1%
1	Yes	9	7.4%
2	No	107	88.4%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc21: Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On Pollution Emission Other Than CO2?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=9 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.21. Over the last three years, what sort of targets for pollution emissions other than CO2 did this establishment have?
Interviewer's instructions	GO TO BMGC.22 IF BMGA.2 IS "YES" AND AT LEAST ONE OF BMGC.16, BMGC.18 OR BMGC.20 IS "YES", OTHERWISE GO TO BMGC.23

#BMGc21: Over Last 3 Years, What Sort of Targets On Pollution Emission Other Than CO2?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Only quantity per unit of output targets	4	44.4%
2	Only absolute quantity targets	1	11.1%
3	Absolute and per unit of output quantity targets	4	44.4%
Sysmiss		351	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc22: Is Environment/CC Manager Evaluated On Meeting Environmental Targets?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=12 /-] [Invalid=348 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.22. Is the manager responsible for environmental and climate change issues evaluated against how well the establishment performs on energy consumption, CO2 emissions or other pollution or environmental targets?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	5	41.7%
2	No	7	58.3%
Sysmiss		348	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23a: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Heating And Cooling Improvements

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Heating and cooling improvements	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	190	52.8%
2	No	170	47.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23b: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt More Climate-Friendly Energy Generation On Site

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - More climate-friendly energy generation on site	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	6	1.7%
1	Yes	23	6.4%
2	No	331	91.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23c: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Machinery Upgrades

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

#BMGc23c: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Machinery Upgrades

Literal question

BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures?

- Machinery and equipment upgrades

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
1	Yes	178	49.4%
2	No	181	50.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23d: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Energy Management

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Energy management	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	3	0.8%
1	Yes	66	18.3%
2	No	290	80.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23e: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Waste Minimization, Recycling And Waste Management

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Waste minimization, recycling and waste management	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	3	0.8%
1	Yes	133	36.9%
2	No	224	62.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23f: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Air Pollution Control Measures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Air pollution control measures	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	3	0.8%
1	Yes	19	5.3%
2	No	337	93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23g: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Water Management

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

#BMGc23g: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Water Management

Literal question

BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures?

- Water management

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	4	1.1%
1	Yes	64	17.8%
2	No	291	80.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMGc23h: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Upgrades of Vehicles, Vessels, Aircraft In The Fleet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Upgrades of vehicles	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	2	0.6%
1	Yes	168	46.7%
2	No	190	52.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23i: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Improvement of Lighting Systems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Improvements to lighting systems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	2	0.6%
1	Yes	237	65.8%
2	No	121	33.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc23j: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Other Pollution Control Measures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.23. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any of the following measures? - Other pollution control measures

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-7	Does not apply (spontaneous)	5	1.4%
1	Yes	102	28.3%
2	No	253	70.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMGc24: Which Measure Has Contributed The Most To Reducing Environmental Impacts?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=290 /-] [Invalid=70 /-]

# BMGc24: Which Measure Has Contributed The Most To Reducing Environmental Impacts?		
Pre-question	ASK IF THE ANSWER TO AT LEAST TWO OPTIONS IS "YES", OTHERWISE GO TO BMGC.25	
Literal question	BMGC.24. Out of the measures adopted over the last three years, which one has contributed the most to reducing this establishment's environmental impacts if any?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-7	None of the above (spontaneous)	3	1.0%
1	Heating and cooling improvements	60	20.7%
2	More climate-friendly energy generation on site	4	1.4%
3	Machinery and equipment upgrades	43	14.8%
4	Energy management	11	3.8%
5	Waste minimization, recycling and waste management	65	22.4%
6	Air pollution control measures	1	0.3%
7	Water management	13	4.5%
8	Upgrade of vehicles	36	12.4%
9	Improvements to lighting system	31	10.7%
10	Other pollution control measures	22	7.6%
Sysmiss		70	

#BMGc25: Over Last 3 Years, Adopt Any Measures To Enhance Energy Efficiency?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.25. Over the last three years, did this establishment adopt any measures to enhance energy efficiency?
Post-question	If 2, GO TO BMGC.27; If -9 or -8, GO TO BMGD.1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.3%
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	86	23.9%
2	No	273	75.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc26: Over Last 3 Years, Were Any Of These Measures Developed By The Establishment?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=86 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.26. Over the last three years, were any of these measures developed by the establishment?
Post-question	If 1 to 2, -9, or -8, GO TO BMGC.28

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	59	68.6%
2	No	27	31.4%
Sysmiss		274	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc27: What Is The Main Reason No Measures Were Not Adopted?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=273 /-] [Invalid=87 /-]

#BMGc27: What Is The Main Reason No Measures Were Not Adopted? Literal question BMGC.27. What is the main reason no measures were adopted?

Post-question If 1 to 7 or -9, GO TO BMGD.1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	1	0.4%	
1	Not a priority relative to other investments	116	42.5%	
2	Not profitable	38	13.9%	
3	Lack of financial resources	27	9.9%	
4	Uncertainty about regulation	78	28.6%	
5	Uncertainty about future prices	7	2.6%	
6	Operational and/or technical risk	6	2.2%	
7	Other	0		
Sysmiss		87		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc27x: Other Main Reason No Measures Were Adopted

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.27. What is the main reason no measures were adopted? Other specify

#BMGc28: Over Last 3 Years, Max. Time Period for Return On Investment Of The Measures?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=86 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]
Literal question	BMGC.28. Over the last three years, was there a maximum time period for the return on investment of the adopted energy efficiency measures?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGD.1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	38	44.2%
2	No	48	55.8%
Sysmiss		274	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGc29: Over Last 3 Years, Was Max. Payback Time vs. Non-Energy Efficiency Measures

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=38 /-] [Invalid=322 /-]	
Literal question	BMGC.29. Over the last three years, was this maximum payback time longer than, equal to, or shorter than the average one applied to non-energy efficiency related measures?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't Know (Spontaneous)	0	
1	Longer	13	34.2%
2	Equal	14	36.8%
3	Shorter	11	28.9%
Sysmiss		322	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGd6: In Last Fy, Was This Establishment Subject To An Energy Tax or Levy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]

#BMGd6: In Last Fy, Was This Establishment Subject To An Energy Tax or Levy?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question BMGD.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], was this establishment subject to an energy tax or levy?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	336	93.3%
2	No	24	6.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMGd7: In Last FY, Subject To An Energy Performance Standard In Its Operations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGD.7. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], was this establishment subject to an energy performance standard in its operations?
Post-question	If 2 or -9, GO TO BMGE.1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	209	58.1%
2	No	151	41.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGd8: In Last FY, Which Energy Performance Standard Subjected To?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=209 /-] [Invalid=151 /-]	
Literal question	BMGD.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], which energy performance standard was this establishment subject to?	

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
-9	Don't Know (Spontaneous)	0			
1	Standard for total energy use	136			65.1%
2	Standard for energy use relative to output	60		28.7%	
3	Standard for energy use relative to other parameters	13	6.2%		
Sysmiss		151			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGe1: Electricity, In KWh, Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-685454000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGE.1. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much electricity, in kWh, did this establishment consume?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	8	2.2%
0		5	1.4%
5		1	0.3%
21		1	0.3%
40		1	0.3%
72		1	0.3%
85		1	0.3%
95		1	0.3%

alue	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		1	0.3%
36		1	0.3%
70		1	0.3%
14		1	0.3%
72		1	0.3%
73		1	0.3%
80		1	0.3%
20		1	0.3%
27		1	0.3%
90		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
58		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
60		1	0.3%
000		2	0.6%
260		1	0.3%
360		2	0.6%
420		1	0.3%
440		1	0.3%
515		1	0.3%
600		1	0.3%
920		1	0.3%
951		1	0.3%
000		2	0.6%
040		1	0.3%
045		2	0.6%
285		1	0.3%
670		1	0.3%
700		1	0.3%
710		1	0.3%
727		1	0.3%
730		1	0.3%
805		1	0.3%
850		2	0.6%
000		1	0.3%
100		1	0.3%
204		1	0.3%
400		2	0.6%
109		1	0.3%
571		1	0.3%
500		1	0.3%
300		2	0.6%
889		1	0.3%
000		2	0.6%
)19		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentag
90		2	0.6%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		2	0.6%
1		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		3	0.8%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
5 0		2	0.6%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
}		2	0.6%
		2	0.6%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
•		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
5		1	0.3%
5		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
3		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
73		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
		1	0.570

alue	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
2		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
3		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
01		1	0.3%
15		2	0.6%
90			
		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
7		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
7		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
)		2	0.6%
		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
1		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
5		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
1		1	0.3%
1		1	0.3%
))		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
			0.3%
0 0		1	
		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
2		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
		3	0.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0700		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
58		1	0.3%
88		1	0.3%
88		1	0.3%
707		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
000		3	0.8%
090		2	0.6%
.90		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
80		1	0.3%
50			0.3%
		1	
000		1	0.3%
740		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
2		1	0.3%
9		1	0.3%
90		1	0.3%
00		2	0.6%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
80		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
)		2	0.6%
2		1	0.3%
27		1	0.3%
			0.8%
0		3	
00		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
70		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
6		1	0.3%
25		1	0.3%
807		1	0.3%
500		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
45		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
318		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
27		1	0.3%
70		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
335		1	0.3%
97		1	0.3%
00		2	0.6%
30		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
4		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
36			
00		1	0.3%
15		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
80		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
3		1	0.3%
2		1	0.3%
)		2	0.6%
0		2	0.6%
0		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
188		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
300		1	0.3%
58		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
100		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
46		1	0.3%
27		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
20		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%

alue	Label	Cases	Percentage
500		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
)		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
)		2	0.6%
0		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
55		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
00		2	0.6%
23		1	0.3%
800		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
400		1	0.3%
500			0.3%
00		1	0.3%
00		1	
		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
81		1	0.3%
40		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
553		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
588		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%
18		1	0.3%
000		1	0.3%
80		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
53		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
45		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
78		1	0.3%
00		1	0.3%
17		1	0.3%
0		1	0.3%

# BMGe1: Electricity, In KWh	, Consumed By Th	ne Establishment In Last FY?
------------------------------	------------------	------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
568181		1	0.3%
592500		1	0.3%
623170		1	0.3%
625103		1	0.3%
636363		1	0.3%
641000		1	0.3%
700000		1	0.3%
760790		1	0.3%
814285		1	0.3%
833450		1	0.3%
852272		1	0.3%
892000		1	0.3%
1026180		1	0.3%
1071500		1	0.3%
1085227		1	0.3%
1136360		1	0.3%
1157887		1	0.3%
1200000		1	0.3%
1300000		1	0.3%
1502600		1	0.3%
1705000		1	0.3%
1743988		1	0.3%
1780000		1	0.3%
1795000		1	0.3%
2272700		1	0.3%
2435760		1	0.3%
2568000		1	0.3%
3324172		1	0.3%
3410000		2	0.6%
3433500		1	0.3%
3500000		1	0.3%
3642850		1	0.3%
4545000		1	0.3%
4545454		1	0.3%
5681818		1	0.3%
8522727		1	0.3%
11484000		1	0.3%
16909000		1	0.3%
685454000		1	0.3%
	res indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a		

#BMGe2: Fuels Made From Petroleum Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-67485000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question	BMGE.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what amount of fuels made from petroleum did this establishment consume?	

#BMGe2: Fuels Made From Petroleum Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY

Post-question If 0, GO BMGE.3; If -9, GO TO BMGE.3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	12	3.3%
0		19	5.3%
l		1 0.3%	
2		1 0.3%	
4		1 0.3%	
6		2 0.69	%
13		1 0.3%	
18		1 0.3%	
25		1 0.3%	
28		1 0.3%	
30		1 0.3%	
45		1 0.3%	
50		1 0.3%	
60		1 0.3%	
73		1 0.3%	
100		2 0.69	%
108		1 0.3%	
130		1 0.3%	
135		1 0.3%	
140		1 0.3%	
150		3 0	.8%
185		1 0.3%	
250		2 0.69	%
266		1 0.3%	
300		3 0	.8%
320		1 0.3%	
357		1 0.3%	
405		1 0.3%	
410		1 0.3%	
420		2 0.69	%
425		1 0.3%	
428		1 0.3%	
431		1 0.3%	
440		1 0.3%	
500		2 0.69	%
536		1 0.3%	
550		2 0.69	%
554		1 0.3%	
600		1 0.3%	
660		1 0.3%	
670		1 0.3%	
700		1 0.3%	
705		1 0.3%	
720		1 0.3%	

#BMGe2: Fuels Made From Petroleum Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
730		1	0.3%	
743		1	0.3%	
750		1	0.3%	
770		1	0.3%	
800		1	0.3%	
810		1	0.3%	
830		1	0.3%	
890		1	0.3%	
900		1	0.3%	
948		1	0.3%	
950		3	0.8%	
972		1	0.3%	
973		1	0.3%	
980		1	0.3%	
1000		5	1.4%	
1050		1	0.3%	
1062		1	0.3%	
1075		1	0.3%	
1090		2	0.6%	
1100		3	0.8%	
1130		1	0.3%	
1188		1	0.3%	
1200		3	0.8%	
1230		1	0.3%	
1250		4	1.1%	
1260		2	0.6%	
1280		1	0.3%	
1297		1	0.3%	
1300		1	0.3%	
1330		1	0.3%	
1400		2	0.6%	
1500		3	0.8%	
1600		1	0.3%	
1630		1	0.3%	
1636		1	0.3%	
1660		1	0.3%	
1700		1	0.3%	
1800		2	0.6%	
1840		1	0.3%	
1850		2	0.6%	
1880		1	0.3%	
1900		2	0.6%	
2000		2	0.6%	
2040		1	0.3%	
2100		1	0.3%	

# BMGe2: Fuels Made From Petroleum Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2200		1	0.3%	
2270		1	0.3%	
2300		1	0.3%	
2340		1	0.3%	
2400		3	0.8%	
2418		1	0.3%	
2421		1	0.3%	
2500		2	0.6%	
2541		1	0.3%	
2600		2	0.6%	
2717		2	0.6%	
2770		1	0.3%	
2777		1	0.3%	
2894		1	0.3%	
2971		1	0.3%	
3000		4	1.1%	
3160		1	0.3%	
3250		1	0.3%	
3300		1	0.3%	
3333		1	0.3%	
3375		1	0.3%	
3400		2	0.6%	
3478		1	0.3%	
3480		1	0.3%	
3500		1	0.3%	
3600		2	0.6%	
3620		1	0.3%	
3637		1	0.3%	
3685		1	0.3%	
3700		1	0.3%	
3750		1	0.3%	
3790		2	0.6%	
3800		3	0.8%	
3900		1	0.3%	
3964		1	0.3%	
4000		2	0.6%	
4005		1	0.3%	
4230		1	0.3%	
4300		1	0.3%	
4310		1	0.3%	
4340		1	0.3%	
4400		2	0.6%	
4550		1	0.3%	
4640		1	0.3%	
5000		7	1.9%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5050			.3%
5100			.3%
5217			.3%
5250			.3%
5300			.3%
5410			.3%
5438		1 0.	.3%
5500		2	0.6%
6000		4	1.1%
6250		1 0.	.3%
6320		1 0.	.3%
6660		1 0.	.3%
6800		1 0.	.3%
6850		1 0.	.3%
7063		1 0.	.3%
7126		1 0.	.3%
7142		1 0.	.3%
7300			.3%
7440			.3%
7600			0.6%
8000			0.6%
8267			.3%
8300			.3%
8330			.3%
8500			.3%
8603			.3%
8980			.3%
9000 9090			.3%
9200		1 0.	
9365			.3%
9500		_	.3%
10000		3	0.8%
10186			.3%
10500			.3%
10650			.3%
10860			.3%
11215			.3%
11400			.3%
11420			.3%
11900			.3%
12000			.3%
12500			.3%
13000			.3%
14057		1 0.	.3%

#BMGe2: Fuels Made From Petroleum Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
14223		1	0.3%	
14550		1	0.3%	
14705		1	0.3%	
15000		3	0.8%	
15623		1	0.3%	
15790		1	0.3%	
15800		1	0.3%	
15909		1	0.3%	
16000		1	0.3%	
16350		1	0.3%	
16935		1	0.3%	
17500		1	0.3%	
17990		1	0.3%	
18000		2	0.6%	
18200		1	0.3%	
18600		1	0.3%	
19000		2	0.6%	
19352		1	0.3%	
19559		1	0.3%	
20000		2	0.6%	
20618		1	0.3%	
20996		1	0.3%	
21119		1	0.3%	
22000		1	0.3%	
23000		1	0.3%	
23800		2	0.6%	
25000		2	0.6%	
27000		1	0.3%	
29250		1	0.3%	
29317		1	0.3%	
29500		1	0.3%	
31000		3	0.8%	
31500		1	0.3%	
33700		1	0.3%	
33900		1	0.3%	
35000		1	0.3%	
37500		1	0.3%	
38540		1	0.3%	
38600		1	0.3%	
44900		1	0.3%	
45500		1	0.3%	
48000		1	0.3%	
48700		1	0.3%	
49745		1	0.3%	
49770		1	0.3%	

#BMGe2:	# BMGe2: Fuels Made From Petroleum Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
50000		2	0.6%		
60000		1	0.3%		
72000		1	0.3%		
75650		1	0.3%		
83000		1	0.3%		
90000		1	0.3%		
97649		1	0.3%		
98500		1	0.3%		
102564		1	0.3%		
110810		1	0.3%		
127500		1	0.3%		
129000		1	0.3%		
130000		1	0.3%		
130860		1	0.3%		
133000		1	0.3%		
134500		1	0.3%		
142850		1	0.3%		
160000		1	0.3%		
190270		1	0.3%		
200000		1	0.3%		
257500		1	0.3%		
300000		1	0.3%		
353600		1	0.3%		
360000		1	0.3%		
412229		1	0.3%		
500000		2	0.6%		
550000		1	0.3%		
850000		1	0.3%		
1500000		1	0.3%		
2400000		1	0.3%		
2500000		1	0.3%		
2714000		1	0.3%		
3913169		1	0.3%		
7500000		1	0.3%		
67485000		1	0.3%		
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	opulation of interest.		

#BMGe2u: Units For Fuel Made From Petroleum

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=329 /-] [Invalid=31 /-]
Literal question	BMGE.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what amount of fuels made from petroleum did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurment for fuel made from petroleum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tonnes	19	5.8%
2	Liters	310	94.2%

#BMGe2u:	Units For	Fuel Made	From 1	Petroleum
----------	------------------	-----------	--------	-----------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Other unit (spontaneous-specify)	0	
Sysmiss		31	

#BMGe2x: Specify Other Units For Fuel (If Not Included Above)

formation [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	BMGE.2. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], what amount of fuels made from petroleum did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurment for fuel made from petroleum (other unit - spontaneous - specify)

#BMGe3: Natural Gas Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-400] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]	
Literal question	BMGE.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much natural gas did this establishment consume?
Post-question	If 0 or -9, GO BMGE.4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	11	9.1%
0		103	85.1%
4		1	0.8%
120		1	0.8%
150		1	0.8%
180		1	0.8%
200		1	0.8%
320		1	0.8%
400		1	0.8%
Sysmiss		239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGe3u: Units For Natural Gas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7 /-] [Invalid=353 /-]	
Literal question	BMGE.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much natural gas did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurement for natural gas	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	kWh	2	28.6%
2	MMBtu(million British Thermal Units)	0	
3	Standard cubic feet (SCF)	0	
4	Cubic meter	5	71.4%
5	Other	0	
Sysmiss		353	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

#BMGe3x: Specify Other Units For Natural Gas (If Not Included Above)		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question BMGE.3. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much natural gas did this establishment consume? Specify units of measurement for natural gas (Other unit, spontaneous - specify)		
#BMGe4: Coal, In Tonnes, Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY		

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-500000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question BMGE.4. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much coal, in tonnes, did this establishment c		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	18	5.0%
0		265	73.6%
1		3	0.8%
2		4	1.1%
3		6	1.7%
5		1	0.3%
6		4	1.1%
7		1	0.3%
8		1	0.3%
9		1	0.3%
10		4	1.1%
15		1	0.3%
16		1	0.3%
20		8	2.2%
25		2	0.6%
28		1	0.3%
30		2	0.6%
35		1	0.3%
40		4	1.1%
45		1	0.3%
48		2	0.6%
50		1	0.3%
60		6	1.7%
72		1	0.3%
103		1	0.3%
110		1	0.3%
120		2	0.6%
140		1	0.3%
150		1	0.3%
160		2	0.6%
200		3	0.8%
300		2	0.6%
450		1	0.3%
2415		1	0.3%
3600		1	0.3%
14000		1	0.3%

#BMGe4: Coal, In Tonnes, Consumed By The Establishment In Last FY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16000		1	0.3%
20000		1	0.3%
25000		1	0.3%
500000		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGe5: In Last FY, Use Energy From Its Own Renewable Sources?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question BMGE.5. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment use energy from its own renewable such as power plants using solar, wind, hydro, biomass or geothermal energy?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	16	4.4%
2	No	344	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMGe6: Kilograms of Solid Waste Generated By The Establishment In Last FY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2018000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	BMGE.6. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], how much solid waste, in kilograms, did this establishment generate?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	5	1.4%
0		1	0.3%
120		1	0.3%
180		1	0.3%
201		1	0.3%
227		2	0.6%
250		1	0.3%
258		1	0.3%
321		1	0.3%
360		1	0.3%
450		1	0.3%
464		1	0.3%
465		1	0.3%
500		3	0.8%
600		1	0.3%
756		1	0.3%
800		1	0.3%
844		2	0.6%
850		1	0.3%
889		1	0.3%
900		1	0.3%
920		1	0.3%
928		1	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
000		3	0.8%
050		1	0.3%
060		1	0.3%
080		2	0.6%
100		1	0.3%
135		4	1.1%
160		1	0.3%
200		3	0.8%
250		1	0.3%
321		1	0.3%
351		1	0.3%
362		1	0.3%
125		1	0.3%
140		1	0.3%
500		3	0.8%
516		1	0.3%
540		1	0.3%
565		1	0.3%
520		1	0.3%
689		1	0.3%
750		1	0.3%
800		3	0.8%
858		1	0.3%
870		1	0.3%
950		1	0.3%
000		13	3.6%
120		1	0.3%
270		3	0.8%
300		1	0.3%
400		1	0.3%
700		2	0.6%
725		1	0.3%
800		1	0.3%
872		1	0.3%
880		1	0.3%
942		1	0.3%
000		10	2.8%
046		1	0.3%
130		1	0.3%
50		1	0.3%
178		1	0.3%
218		1	0.3%
354		1	0.3%
100		1	0.3%
412		1	0.3%

#BMGe6:	BMGe6: Kilograms of Solid Waste Generated By The Establishment In Last FY			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3415		1	0.3%	
3450		1	0.3%	
3489		1	0.3%	
3500		1	0.3%	
3530		1	0.3%	
3540		1	0.3%	
3559		1	0.3%	
3600		3	0.8%	
3612		1	0.3%	
3717		1	0.3%	
3970		1	0.3%	
4000		5	1.4%	
4055		1	0.3%	
4086		1	0.3%	
4210		1	0.3%	
4313		1	0.3%	
4420		1	0.3%	
4600		1	0.3%	
4623		1	0.3%	
4644		1	0.3%	
4649		1	0.3%	
4700		1	0.3%	
4800		1	0.3%	
4923		1	0.3%	
5000		11	3.1%	
5040		1	0.3%	
5068		2	0.6%	
5191		1	0.3%	
5400		1	0.3%	
5590		1	0.3%	
5760		1	0.3%	
6000		4	1.1%	
6130		1	0.3%	
6200		1	0.3%	
6640		2	0.6%	
6650		2	0.6%	
6670		1	0.3%	
6674		1	0.3%	
6700		1	0.3%	
6708		1	0.3%	
6800		1	0.3%	
7000		7	1.9%	
7350		1	0.3%	
7500		2	0.6%	
7719		1	0.3%	

BMGe6: Kilograms of Solid Waste Generated By The Establishment In Last FY			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7730		1	0.3%
7787		1	0.3%
8000		6	1.7%
8009		1	0.3%
8840		1	0.3%
9000		7	1.9%
9600		2	0.6%
10000		10	2.8%
11350		1	0.3%
11800		1	0.3%
12000		9	2.5%
12260		1	0.3%
12500		1	0.3%
13000		1	0.3%
13500		1	0.3%
13622		1	0.3%
14400		2	0.6%
14500		1	0.3%
14625		1	0.3%
15000		5	1.4%
15400		1	0.3%
15700		1	0.3%
15892		1	0.3%
16000		2	0.6%
16680		1	0.3%
17000		3	0.8%
17920		1	0.3%
18000		2	0.6%
18585		1	0.3%
18920		1	0.3%
19200		1	0.3%
19264		1	0.3%
20000		4	0.20
20610		1	0.3%
20660 21000		1	0.3%
		1	0.3%
21800 22700		1	0.3%
22700		1 2	0.3%
23220		1	0.3%
23410		1	0.3%
24000		5	1.4%
25000			0.6%
25280		1	0.3%
25400			0.3%
23400		1	0.370

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26110		1	0.3%
27017		1	0.3%
27800		1	0.3%
30000		4	1.1%
31780		1	0.3%
31785		1	0.3%
32200		1	0.3%
33540		1	0.3%
34000		1	0.3%
36000		1	0.3%
38590		1	0.3%
38740		1	0.3%
39000		1	0.3%
40000		3	0.8%
43000		1	0.3%
43560		1	0.3%
45400		1	0.3%
46440		1	0.3%
47355		1	0.3%
47678		1	0.3%
48000		2	0.6%
48132		1	0.3%
49174		1	0.3%
49950		1	0.3%
50000		4	1.1%
52560		1	0.3%
60000		3	0.8%
65300		1	0.3%
66000		1	0.3%
70000			0.3%
75000		1	0.3%
76400		1	0.3%
78950		1	0.3%
79464		1	0.3%
80000		1	0.3%
85360		1	0.3%
90000		1	0.3%
92928		1	0.3%
96000		1	0.3%
100000		2	0.6%
106300		1	0.3%
120000		1	0.3%
123400		1	0.3%
124100		1	0.3%
126000		1	0.3%
-20000		1	0.070

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
128000			1	0.3%	
129600			1	0.3%	
138547			1	0.3%	
144000			2	0.6%	
148000			1	0.3%	
150000			1	0.3%	
177345			1	0.3%	
181632			2	0.6%	
200000			2	0.6%	
201600			1	0.3%	
218679			1	0.3%	
220000			1	0.3%	
240000			1	0.3%	
300000			1	0.3%	
306500			1	0.3%	
401500			1	0.3%	
405000			1	0.3%	
502260			1	0.3%	
600000			1	0.3%	
720000			1	0.3%	
2000000			1	0.3%	
2018000 Warning: these figure	es indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	he interpreted as summary statistics of the pa	0.3%	
		, Did This Establishment Mea		<u> </u>	
Information	Lastri	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric]			
	***		[Range= -9-2] [Wissing="]		
Statistics [NW/	WJ	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]			
Pre-question		GO TO QUESTION BMGE.7 IF a6			
Literal question	1	BMGE.7. In fiscal year [Insert last c	omplete fiscal year], did this estab	lishment measure its CO2 emission	s?
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
-9	Don't kno	w (spontaneous)	0		
1	Yes		1	16.7%	
2	No		5		83.3%
Sysmiss			354		
Warning: these figure	es indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statistics of the po	pulation of interest.	
# BMGe8a: I	In Last FY	Y, Emit Conventional Air Poll	ution (E.G. Nox, Sox)?		
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric]	[Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]			
Pre-question		ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES"			
	i	BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last c		•	
Literal question		- Conventional air pollution other th carbon monoxide (CO) and similar	an CO2, such as nitrogen oxide (N	Ox), sulphur oxides (SOx), particu	late matter (PM10

0

16.7%

-9 1

Don't know (spontaneous)

Yes

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
2	No		5	83.3%		
Sysmiss			354			
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the ni	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the population	of interest.		
# BMGe8b	: In Last FY	7, Emit Other Greenhouse Gases (Ghgs)?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	= -9-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NV	V / W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]				
Pre-question		ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES"				
Literal questi	ion		BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment emit any of the following? - Other air pollutants, such as other greenhouse gases (GHGs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), heavy metals,			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
-9	Don't kno	w (spontaneous)	0			
1	Yes		1	16.7%		
2	No		5	83.3%		
Sysmiss			354			
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the ni	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the population	of interest.		
# BMGe8c:	: In Last FY	7, Emit Soil or Land Pollutants?				
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ran		9_21 [Missing=*]				
vi mativii		[1 ypc= discrete] [1 offilat=litation [1 kange	= -7-2] [Wissing=]			
	V/ W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-]	,			
Statistics [NV Pre-question	V/ W]					
Statistics [NV	_	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet	e fiscal year], did this establishmer	nt emit any of the following? bons, solvents, pesticides, heavy metals, etc.		
Statistics [NV Pre-question	_	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet	e fiscal year], did this establishmer	•		
Statistics [NW Pre-question Literal questi	Label	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet	e fiscal year], did this establishmer or land pollutants include hydrocar	bons, solvents, pesticides, heavy metals, etc.		
Statistics [NV Pre-question Literal questi Value	Label	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of	e fiscal year], did this establishmer or land pollutants include hydrocarl Cases	bons, solvents, pesticides, heavy metals, etc.		
Statistics [NW Pre-question Literal questi Value	Label Don't kno	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of	e fiscal year], did this establishmer or land pollutants include hydrocard Cases	bons, solvents, pesticides, heavy metals, etc. Percentage		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss	Label Don't kno Yes No	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of weights) w (spontaneous)	ce fiscal year], did this establishmer or land pollutants include hydrocard Cases 0 2 4 354	Percentage 33.3% 66.7%		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig	Label Don't kno Yes No	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the complet of the complete of th	ce fiscal year], did this establishmer or land pollutants include hydrocard Cases 0 2 4 354	Percentage 33.3% 66.7%		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig	Label Don't kno Yes No	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of weights) w (spontaneous)	ce fiscal year], did this establishmer or land pollutants include hydrocard Cases 0 2 4 354	Percentage 33.3% 66.7%		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig	Label Don't kno Yes No	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the complet of the complete of th	Cases O 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population	Percentage 33.3% 66.7%		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig # BMGe8d: Information	Label Don't kno Yes No sures indicate the m: In Last FY	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the complet of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed. T, Emit Water Pollutants?	Cases O 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population	Percentage 33.3% 66.7%		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig	Label Don't kno Yes No sures indicate the m: In Last FY	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the complet of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted by the complete of	Cases O 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population	Percentage 33.3% 66.7%		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig # BMGe8d: Information Statistics [NW	Label Don't kno Yes No wares indicate the many In Last FY	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the complet of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the case for the	e fiscal year], did this establishment and pollutants include hydrocard Cases 0 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population = -9-2] [Missing=*]	Percentage 33.3% 66.7% of interest.		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig # BMGe8d: Information Statistics [NW Pre-question Literal questi	Label Don't kno Yes No wares indicate the many In Last FY	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the complet of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases fo	e fiscal year], did this establishment and pollutants include hydrocard Cases 0 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population = -9-2] [Missing=*]	Percentage 33.3% 66.7% of interest.		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig # BMGe8d: Information Statistics [NW	Label Don't kno Yes No Yes No The Last FY W/W] Label	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the complet of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the complete of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases found in the data file. They cannot be interposed for the cases fo	Cases Cases 0 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population e fiscal year], did this establishment than the include organic carbon, nitro	Percentage 33.3% 66.7% of interest. at emit any of the following? ogen, phosphorous, chlorides, heavy metals,		
Statistics [NW Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig # BMGe8d: Information Statistics [NW Pre-question Literal questi	Label Don't kno Yes No Yes No The Last FY W/W] Label	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the	Cases Cases 0 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population e fiscal year], did this establishmentation include organic carbon, nitro Cases Cases	Percentage 33.3% 66.7% of interest. at emit any of the following? ogen, phosphorous, chlorides, heavy metals,		
Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig # BMGe8d: Information Statistics [NW Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1	Label Don't kno Yes No Rures indicate the m : In Last FY V/W] Label Don't kno	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the	Cases Cases 0 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population e= -9-2] [Missing=*] e fiscal year], did this establishment attants include organic carbon, nitro Cases 0	Percentage 33.3% 66.7% of interest. Percentage Percentage Percentage Percentage		
Statistics [NV Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss Warning: these fig # BMGe8d: Information Statistics [NV Pre-question Literal questi Value -9 1 2 Sysmiss	Label Don't kno Yes No Yes No Label Don't kno Yes No	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=354 /-] ASK IF BMGC.12 IS "YES" BMGE.8. In fiscal year [Insert last complet - Soil or land pollutants (Examples of soil of the	cases Cases 0 2 4 354 reted as summary statistics of the population e fiscal year], did this establishment that include organic carbon, nitro Cases 0 2 4 354 Cases 0 2 4 354	Percentage 33.3% 66.7% of interest. Percentage 33.3% 66.7% Percentage 33.3% 66.7% 66.7%		

#BMGe9a: In Last FY,	BMGe9a: In Last FY, Emit Conventional Air Pollution Other than CO2 (e.g. NOx, SOx)?			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=359 /-]			
Pre-question	ASK IF ONE OR MORE OPTIONS OF BMGE.8 IS YES, READ OUT ONLY THE OPTIONS TO WHICH THE ANSWER IN BMGE.8 WAS "YES"			
Literal question	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted? - Conventional air pollution other than CO2			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	1	100.0%
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		359	
Warning: these figures	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the p	population of interest.

#BMGe9b: In Last FY, Emit Other Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=359 /-]
Pre-question	ASK IF ONE OR MORE OPTIONS OF BMGE.8 IS YES, READ OUT ONLY THE OPTIONS TO WHICH THE ANSWER IN BMGE.8 WAS "YES"
Literal question	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted? - Other air pollutants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	0	
2	No	1	100.0%
Sysmiss		359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGe9c: In Last FY, Emit Soil or Land Pollutants?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]
Pre-question	ASK IF ONE OR MORE OPTIONS OF BMGE.8 IS YES, READ OUT ONLY THE OPTIONS TO WHICH THE ANSWER IN BMGE.8 WAS "YES"
Literal question	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted? - Soil or land pollutants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	2	100.0%
2	No	0	
Sysmiss		358	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGe9d: In Last FY, Emit Water Pollutants?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=358 /-]	
Pre-question	ASK IF ONE OR MORE OPTIONS OF BMGE.8 IS YES, READ OUT ONLY THE OPTIONS TO WHICH THE ANSWER IN BMGE.8 WAS "YES"	
Literal question	BMGE.9. In fiscal year [Insert last complete fiscal year], did this establishment measure its emissions of the pollutants it emitted?	

#BMGe9d: In Last FY, Emit Water Pollutants?

- Water pollutants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
1	Yes	0	
2	No	2	100.0%
Sysmiss		358	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa15gd: Day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Type—discrete [1 of material [Range=1-51] [Wissing=1	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.764 /-] [StdDev=9.084 /-]
Literal question BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Day (dd)	

Value	Label	Cases	Per	centage	
		9	2.5%		
2		13		3.6%	
3		10	2.8%		
1		18		5.0%	
5		9	2.5%		
;		7	1.9%		
,		8	2.2%		
		11	3.19	6	
1		11	3.1%	6	
0		5	1.4%		
.1		17		4.7%	
2		8	2.2%		
3		10	2.8%		
4		16		4.4%	
5		12	3.3	3%	
6		14		3.9%	
.7		7	1.9%		
8		12	3.3	3%	
9		10	2.8%		
0.0		9	2.5%		
.1		5	1.4%		
2		19		5.3%	
3		10	2.8%		
24		6	1.7%		
25		10	2.8%		
6		25			6.9%
7		20		5.6%	
28		13		3.6%	
29		15		4.2%	
0		15		4.2%	
1		6	1.7%		

# BMGa15gm: Month	
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.342 /-] [StdDev=1.991 /-] Literal question BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Month (mm)	

Value	Label	Cases	Perc	entage
1		66		18.3%
2		35	9.7%	
3		96		26.7%
4		116		32.2%
5		33	9.2%	
6		3	0.8%	
12		11	3.1%	

#BMGa15gy: Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2018-2019] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2018.969 /-] [StdDev=0.172 /-]	
Literal question BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Year (yyyy)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2018		11	3.1%
2019		349	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa15gh: Hour

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 9-23] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14.225 /-] [StdDev=2.998 /-] Literal question BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Hour (00 to 23)	
---	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		4	1.1%
10		39	10.8%
11		36	10.0%
12		44	12.2%
13		38	10.6%
14		33	9.2%
15		41	11.4%
16		39	10.8%
17		33	9.2%
18		22	6.1%
19		13	3.6%
20		9	2.5%
21		7	1.9%
22		1	0.3%
23		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BMGa15gmin: Minutes

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-59] [Missing=*]

# BMGa15gmin: Minutes		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=30.056 /-] [StdDev=16.913 /-]	
Literal question	BMGA.15g Time Green Economy Module interview ends: Minutes (00 to 59)	
# 1 4 CDDD 4 C F M 11 D 1 4		

gdpr2: GDPR consent, Green Economy Module Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=355 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Literal question	GDPR.2. Do you agree to transfer your personal information to the World Bank Group, the EBRD and the EIB?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5	100.0%
Sysmiss		355	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa15a1dx: Green Economy Module Respondent Position In The Firm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Literal question BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Position in the establishment		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Accountant		1	20.0%
Director Of Production And Marketing		1	20.0%
Manager		1	20.0%
Owner		2	40.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa15a2d: Green Economy Module Respondent Years Working In The Firm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=355 /-]	
Literal question	BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Years with the establishment	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	One year or less	0	
3		1	20.0%
10		1	20.0%
12		1	20.0%
13		1	20.0%
15		1	20.0%
Sysmiss		355	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa15a4d: Green Economy Module Respondent Years In Position In The Firm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -9-15] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=355 /-]	
Literal question	BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Years in the position	

#BMGa15a4d: Green Economy Module Respondent Years In Position In The Firm

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-9	Don't know (spontaneous)	0	
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	One year or less	0	
3		1	20.0%
5		1	20.0%
12		1	20.0%
13		1	20.0%
15		1	20.0%
Sysmiss		355	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa15a3d: Green Economy Module Respondent's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -8-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=355 /-]		
Literal question BMGA.15a. Information about the respondent for the green economy module - Gender		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-8	Refusal (spontaneous)	0	
1	Male	2	40.0%
2	Female	3	60.0%
Sysmiss		355	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#BMGa12: Interviewer Number (Green Economy Module)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=11.483 /-] [StdDev=6.525 /-]	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		5	1.4%	
2		15	4.2%	
3		47	1:	3.1%
4		5	1.4%	
5		9	2.5%	
6		7	1.9%	
7		35	9.7%	
8		27	7.5%	
9		13	3.6%	
10		10	2.8%	
11		4	1.1%	
12		7	1.9%	
13		7	1.9%	
14		30	8.3%	
15		38	10.6%	
16		28	7.8%	
17		13	3.6%	
18		5	1.4%	
19		2	0.6%	

#BMGa12: Interviewer Number (Green Economy Module)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		20	5.6%
21		1	0.3%
22		3	0.8%
23		16	4.4%
24		13	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a13: Supervisor Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	A.13. Supervisor code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Mnsr0016		44	12.2%
Mnsr0017		316	87.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3b: official Capital City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Is this city the official capital city?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	134	37.2%
2	No	226	62.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3c: Main Business City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Is this city the main business city?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	134	37.2%
2	No	226	62.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

a3: Size of Locality

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Size of locality

with population over 1 million	140		38.9%
r 250.000 to 1 million	3	0.8%	
00 to 250.000	44	12.2%	
than 50.000	173		48.1%
r 2	250.000 to 1 million 0 to 250.000 han 50.000	250.000 to 1 million 3 0 to 250.000 44 han 50.000 173	250.000 to 1 million 3 0.8% 0 to 250.000 44 12.2%

arning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest

#d1a2: Main Product/Service ISIC (Rev. 3.1) Code		
Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1511-7240] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4043.778 /-] [StdDev=1566.278 /-]		
Literal question D.1a2. PLEASE CHOOSE THE 4-DIGIT ISIC REV. 3.1 SECTOR CODE THAT BEST APPLIES TO THE ESTABLISHMENT'S MAIN ACTIVITY AND PRODUCT.		

stratificationregioncode: stratification region code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central Mongolia	81	22.5%
2	Ulaanbaatar	133	36.9%
3	East Mongolia	30	8.3%
4	Khangai	65	18.1%
5	West Mongolia	51	14.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

stratification size code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Small (5-19)	145	40.3%
2	Medium (20-99)	121	33.6%
3	Large (100 or more)	84	23.3%
4		10	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

stratificationsectorcode: stratification sector code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Manufacturing	116	32.2%
2	Retail	110	30.6%
3	Other Services	134	37.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

stratificationpanelcode: panel code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Fresh	188	52.2%
1	Panel	172	47.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

wstrict: Weight according to strict eligibility

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-226.776840209961] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=9.705 /-] [StdDev=17.713 /-]

# wmedian: Weight according to median eligibility	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-338.13330078125] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14.651 /-] [StdDev=28.87 /-]
# wweak: Weight according to weak eligibility	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-372.788940429688] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.765 /-] [StdDev=32.856 /-]
# strata: see notes	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-76] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=33.678 /-] [StdDev=21.039 /-]