

Food Insecurity Experience Scale 2022

FAO Statistics Division

report_generated_on: September 25, 2023

visit_data_catalog_at: <https://microdata.worldbank.org/index.php>

Identification

SURVEY ID NUMBER

LTU_2022_FIES_v01_M_v01_A_OCS

TITLE

Food Insecurity Experience Scale 2022

COUNTRY/ECONOMY

Name	Country code
Lithuania	LTU

STUDY TYPE

Socio-Economic/Monitoring Survey [hh/sems]

ABSTRACT

Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) target 2.1 commits countries to end hunger, ensure access by all people to safe, nutritious and sufficient food all year around. Indicator 2.1.2, "Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity based on the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES)", provides internationally-comparable estimates of the proportion of the population facing difficulties in accessing food. More detailed background information is available at <http://www.fao.org/in-action/voices-of-the-hungry/fies/en/>.

The FIES-based indicators are compiled using the FIES survey module, containing 8 questions. Two indicators can be computed:

1. The proportion of the population experiencing moderate or severe food insecurity (SDG indicator 2.1.2),
2. The proportion of the population experiencing severe food insecurity.

These data were collected by FAO through the Gallup World Poll. General information on the methodology can be found here: <https://www.gallup.com/178667/gallup-world-poll-work.aspx>. National institutions can also collect FIES data by including the FIES survey module in nationally representative surveys.

Microdata can be used to calculate the indicator 2.1.2 at national level. Instructions for computing this indicator are described in the methodological document available in the documentations tab. Disaggregating results at sub-national level is not encouraged because estimates will suffer from substantial sampling and measurement error.

KIND OF DATA

Sample survey data [ssd]

UNIT OF ANALYSIS

Individuals

Scope

NOTES

This dataset contains demographic variables related to number of adults and children in the household, age, education, area (urban/rural), gender, and income. Also, the FIES survey module includes the following questions to compute the FIES-based indicators:

During the last 12 months, was there a time when, because of lack of money or other resources:

1. You were worried you would not have enough food to eat?
2. You were unable to eat healthy and nutritious food?
3. You ate only a few kinds of foods?
4. You had to skip a meal?
5. You ate less than you thought you should?
6. Your household ran out of food?
7. You were hungry but did not eat?
8. You went without eating for a whole day?

TOPICS

Topic
SDGs
Food Access

KEYWORDS

Keyword
Food Insecurity
SDG

Coverage

GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE

National

UNIVERSE

Individuals of 15 years or older with access to landline and/or mobile phones.

Producers and sponsors

PRIMARY INVESTIGATORS

Name	Affiliation
FAO Statistics Division	FAO

Sampling

SAMPLING PROCEDURE

NA

Exclusions: Very small settlements (with less than 100 inhabitants) were excluded. The excluded areas represent approximately 9% of the total population.

Design effect: 1.24

WEIGHTING

The sample data was weighted to minimize bias in survey-based estimates. The weighting procedure was formulated based on the sample design and was carried out in multiple stages. A probability weight factor (base weight) was constructed to account for selection of telephone numbers from the respective frames and correct for unequal selection probabilities as a result of selecting one adult in landline households and for dual-users coming from both the landline and mobile frame. At the next step, the base weights were post-stratified to adjust for non-response and to match the weighted sample totals to known target population totals obtained from country level census data.

data_collection

DATES OF DATA COLLECTION

Start	End
2022-08-03	2022-10-16

DATA COLLECTION MODE

Face-to-Face [f2f]

data_processing

DATA EDITING

Statistical validation assesses the quality of the FIES data collected by testing their consistency with the assumptions of the Rasch model. This analysis involves the interpretation of several statistics that reveal 1) items that do not perform well in a given context, 2) cases with highly erratic response patterns, 3) pairs of items that may be redundant, and 4) the proportion of total variance in the population that is accounted for by the measurement model.

data_appraisal

ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERROR

The margin of error is estimated as 3.4. This is calculated around a proportion at the 95% confidence level. The maximum margin of error was calculated assuming a reported percentage of 50% and takes into account the design effect.

Access policy

CONTACTS

Name	Affiliation	Email	URL
FAO Statistics Division	FAO	Carlo.Cafiero@fao.org	Link

CONFIDENTIALITY

The users shall not take any action with the purpose of identifying any individual entity (i.e. person, household, enterprise, etc.) in the micro dataset(s). If such a disclosure is made inadvertently, no use will be made of the information, and it will be reported immediately to FAO.

ACCESS CONDITIONS

Micro datasets disseminated by FAO shall only be allowed for research and statistical purposes. Any user which requests access working for a commercial company will not be granted access to any micro dataset regardless of their specified purpose. Users requesting access to any datasets must agree to the following minimal conditions:

- The micro dataset will only be used for statistical and/or research purposes;
- Any results derived from the micro dataset will be used solely for reporting aggregated information, and not for any specific individual entities or data subjects;
- The users shall not take any action with the purpose of identifying any individual entity (i.e. person, household, enterprise, etc.) in the micro dataset(s). If such a disclosure is made inadvertently, no use will be made of the information, and it will be reported immediately to FAO;
- The micro dataset cannot be re-disseminated by users or shared with anyone other than the individuals that are granted access to the micro dataset by FAO.

Disclaimer and copyrights

DISCLAIMER

The user of the data acknowledges that the original collector of the data, the authorized distributor of the data, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for use of the data or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Metadata production

DDI DOCUMENT ID

DDI_LTU_2022_FIES_v01_M_v01_A_OCS

PRODUCERS

Name	Abbreviation	Affiliation	Role
------	--------------	-------------	------

Office of the Chief Statistician	OCS	FAO	Metadata producer
Development Economics Data Group	DECDG	The World Bank	Metadata adapted for World Bank Microdata Library

DDI DOCUMENT VERSION

This metadata was downloaded from the FAO catalog (<https://microdata.fao.org/index.php/catalog>) and it is identical to FAO version (LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS). The following two metadata fields were edited - Document ID and Survey ID.

data_dictionary

Data file	Cases	variables
LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS This dataset contains the variables used to calculate the FIES-based indicator, demographic variables and some derived variables calculated by FAO from the survey.	1000	24

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

This dataset contains the variables used to calculate the FIES-based indicator, demographic variables and some derived variables calculated by FAO from the survey.

Cases: 1000

variables: 24

variables

ID	Name	Label	Question
53	Random_ID	Unique respondent identifier	
54	WORRIED	Worried you would not have enough food to eat because of a lack of money or other resources	
55	HEALTHY	Unable to eat healthy and nutritious food because of a lack of money or other resources	
56	FEWFOOD	Ate only a few kinds of foods because of a lack of money or other resources	
57	SKIPPED	Skipped a meal because there was not enough money or other resources to get food	
58	ATELESS	Ate less than you thought you should because of a lack of money or other resources	
59	RUNOUT	Household ran out of food because of a lack of money or other resources	
60	HUNGRY	Hungry but did not eat because there was not enough money or other resources for food?	
61	WHLDAY	Went without eating for a whole day because of a lack of money or other resources?	
62	wt	Post-stratification sampling weights	
63	year	Year when the study was administered in the country	
64	N_adults	Number of adults 15 years of age and above in household	
65	N_child	Number of children under 15 years of age in household	
66	Raw_score	Sum of Affirmative responses to FIES questions	
67	Raw_score_par	Estimated person parameters using the Rasch model	
68	Raw_score_par_error	Estimated person parameter errors using the Rasch model	
69	Prob_Mod_Sev	Probability of being moderately or severely food insecure	
70	Prob_sev	Probability of being severely food insecure	
71	Age	Age of the respondent	
72	Education	Education of the respondent	
73	Area	Area	
74	Gender	Gender of the respondent	
75	Income	Income quintile	
76	DEGURBA	Degree of Urbanisation	

total: 24

RANDOM_ID: Unique respondent identifier**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0
 Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: NA - NA Format:

WORRIED: Worried you would not have enough food to eat because of a lack of money or other resources**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 949 Invalid: 51
 Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	789	83.1%
1	Yes	160	16.9%
Sysmiss		51	

HEALTHY: Unable to eat healthy and nutritious food because of a lack of money or other resources**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 919 Invalid: 81
 Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	777	84.5%
1	Yes	142	15.5%
Sysmiss		81	

FEWFOOD: Ate only a few kinds of foods because of a lack of money or other resources**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 940 Invalid: 60
 Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	809	86.1%
1	Yes	131	13.9%
Sysmiss		60	

SKIPPED: Skipped a meal because there was not enough money or other resources to get food

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 972 Invalid: 28
 Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	916	94.2%
1	Yes	56	5.8%
Sysmiss		28	

ATELESS: Ate less than you thought you should because of a lack of money or other resources

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 969 Invalid: 31
 Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	891	92%

1	Yes	78	8%
Sysmiss		31	

RUNOUT: Household ran out of food because of a lack of money or other resources

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 959 Invalid: 41

Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	905	94.4%
1	Yes	54	5.6%
Sysmiss		41	

HUNGRY: Hungry but did not eat because there was not enough money or other resources for food?

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 972 Invalid: 28

Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	936	96.3%
1	Yes	36	3.7%
Sysmiss		28	

WHLDAY: Went without eating for a whole day because of a lack of money or other resources?

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 975 Invalid: 25

Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 1 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
0	No	944	96.8%
1	Yes	31	3.2%
Sysmiss		25	

WT: Post-stratification sampling weights

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0 Minimum: 0.314 Maximum: 2.273 Mean: 1 Standard deviation: 0.486
 Type: Continuous Decimal: 0 Width: 10 Range: 0.313944239345179 - 2.27290458979704 Format: Numeric
 Weighted: yes

YEAR: Year when the study was administered in the country

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0
 Type: Discrete Decimal: 0 Width: 12 Range: 1 - 1 Format: Numeric

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
1	2022	1000	100%
Sysmiss		0	

N_ADULTS: Number of adults 15 years of age and above in household

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 999 Invalid: 1
 Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 1 - 6 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
-------	----------	-------	--

01	01	290	29%
02	02	487	48.7%
03	03	121	12.1%
04	04	87	8.7%
05	05	13	1.3%
06	06	1	0.1%
Sysmiss		1	

N_CHILD: Number of children under 15 years of age in household

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 989 Invalid: 11
Type: Discrete Width: 12 Range: 0 - 4 Format: character

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
00	00	785	79.4%
01	01	109	11%
02	02	84	8.5%
03	03	10	1%
04	04	1	0.1%
Sysmiss		11	

RAW_SCORE: Sum of Affirmative responses to FIES questions

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0 Minimum: 0 Maximum: 8 Mean: 0.688 Standard deviation: 1.57
Type: Continuous Decimal: 0 Width: 10 Range: 0 - 8 Format: Numeric

RAW_SCORE_PAR: Estimated person parameters using the Rasch model

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0 Minimum: -2.853 Maximum: 2.955 Mean: -2.352 Standard deviation: 1.125
Type: Continuous Decimal: 0 Width: 10 Range: -2.85266411122028 - 2.95493006855936 Format: Numeric

RAW_SCORE_PAR_ERROR: Estimated person parameter errors using the Rasch model**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0 Minimum: 0.723 Maximum: 1.335 Mean: 1.226 Standard deviation: 0.21
 Type: Continuous Decimal: 0 Width: 10 Range: 0.722520543130593 - 1.33458203421484 Format: Numeric

PROB_MOD_SEV: Probability of being moderately or severely food insecure**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0 Minimum: 0 Maximum: 0.993 Mean: 0.086 Standard deviation: 0.232
 Type: Continuous Decimal: 0 Width: 10 Range: 0 - 0.992812533554836 Format: Numeric

PROB_SEV: Probability of being severely food insecure**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0 Minimum: 0 Maximum: 0.791 Mean: 0.016 Standard deviation: 0.096
 Type: Continuous Decimal: 0 Width: 10 Range: 0 - 0.790681629908084 Format: Numeric

AGE: Age of the respondent**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0 Minimum: 15 Maximum: 100 Mean: 51.572 Standard deviation: 19.742
 Type: Continuous Decimal: 0 Width: 10 Range: 15 - 100 Format: Numeric

EDUCATION: Education of the respondent**Data file:** LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS**Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0
 Type: Discrete Decimal: 0 Width: 12 Range: 1 - 5 Format: Numeric

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
1	Elementary_or_less	50	5%

2	Secondary	696	69.6%
3	College	172	17.2%
4	Dont_know	5	0.5%
5	Refused	77	7.7%
Sysmiss		0	

AREA: Area

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0

Type: Discrete Decimal: 0 Width: 12 Range: 1 - 2 Format: Numeric

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
1	Urban/Suburbs	641	64.1%
2	Towns/Rural	359	35.9%
3	Dont_know	0	0%
4	Refused	0	0%
Sysmiss		0	

GENDER: Gender of the respondent

Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0

Type: Discrete Decimal: 0 Width: 12 Range: 1 - 2 Format: Numeric

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
1	Male	436	43.6%
2	Female	564	56.4%
Sysmiss		0	

INCOME: Income quintile**Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS****Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0

Type: Discrete Decimal: 0 Width: 12 Range: 1 - 5 Format: Numeric

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
1	Poorest_20%	173	17.3%
2	Second_20%	207	20.7%
3	Middle_20%	203	20.3%
4	Fourth_20%	201	20.1%
5	Richest_20%	216	21.6%
Sysmiss		0	

DEGURBA: Degree of Urbanisation**Data file: LTU_2022_FIES_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS****Overview**

Valid: 1000 Invalid: 0

Type: Discrete Decimal: 0 Width: 12 Range: 1 - 3 Format: Numeric

Questions and instructions

CATEGORIES

Value	Category	Cases	
1	Rural areas	480	48%
2	Cities	300	30%
3	Towns and semi-dense areas	220	22%
Sysmiss		0	

study_resources

questionnaires

Food Insecurity Experience Scale: Questionnaire

title Food Insecurity Experience Scale: Questionnaire
 language English
 description This document contains the 8 FIES questions as they were asked during the survey
 filename FIES_Questions.pdf

technical_documents

Computed variables at respondent level

title Computed variables at respondent level
 language English
 description This document contains the methodology of the derived variables and the computation of the indicator 2.1.2.
 filename Derived_variables_and_Computation_indicator.pdf

Degree of Urbanisation: Harmonized Variable for Cross-country Survey Research

title Degree of Urbanisation: Harmonized Variable for Cross-country Survey Research
 language English
 filename World_Poll_Degree_of_Urbanisation.pdf
